Court File No. CV-19-617792-00CL

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (Commercial List)

BETWEEN:

1291079 ONTARIO LIMITED

Plaintiff

- and -

SEARS CANADA INC., SEARS HOLDING CORPORATION, ESL INVESTMENTS INC., WILLIAM C. CROWLEY, WILLIAM R. HARKER, DONALD CAMPBELL ROSS, EPHRAIM J. BIRD, DEBORAH E. ROSATI, R. RAJA KHANNA, JAMES MCBURNEY and DOUGLAS CAMPBELL

Defendants

Proceeding under the Class Proceedings Act, 1992

SUPPLEMENTARY RECORD OF THE DEFENDANTS WILLIAM C. CROWLEY, WILLIAM R. HARKER, DONALD CAMPBELL ROSS, EPHRAIM J. BIRD, JAMES MCBURNEY and DOUGLAS CAMPBELL

COMPENDIUM OF CROSS-EXAMINATION TRANSCRIPTS

(MOTION FOR CERTIFICATION RETURNABLE APRIL 17-18, 2019)

April 12, 2019

CASSELS BROCK & BLACKWELL LLP

2100 Scotia Plaza, 40 King Street West Toronto, ON M5H 3C2

William J. Burden LSO #: 15550F

Tel: 416.869.5963 Fax: 416.640.3019 bburden@casselsbrock.com

Wendy Berman LSO #: 32748J

Tel: 416.860.2926 Fax: 416.640.3107 wberman@casselsbrock.com

John N. Birch LSO #: 38968U

Tel: 416.860.5225 Fax: 416.640.3057 jbirch@casselsbrock.com

Lawyers for the Defendants William C. Crowley, William R. Harker, Donald Campbell Ross, Ephraim J. Bird, James McBurney and Douglas Campbell TO: SERVICE LIST

INDEX

- A. Cross-Examination of Mr. James Kay on March 29, 2018
- B. Cross-Examination of Mr. Andy Seretis on March 29, 2018
- C. Exhibit 1 to the Examination of James Kay

In the Matter Of: 1291079 Ontario Limited v. Sears Canada Inc., et al.

> JAMES KAY March 29, 2019



77 King Street West, Suite 2020 Toronto, ON M5K 1A2 1.888.525.6666 | 416.413.7755

1	Court File No. 4114/15
2	
3	ONTARIO
4	SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
5	
6	BETWEEN:
7	1291079 ONTARIO LIMITED
8	Plaintiff
9	
10	- and -
11	
12	SEARS CANADA INC., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, ESL
13	INVESTMENTS INC., WILLIAM C. CROWLEY, WILLIAM R.
14	HARKER, DONALD CAMPBELL ROSS, EPHRAIM J. BIRD,
15	DEBORAH E. ROSATI, R. RAJA KHANNA, JAMES MCBURNEY
16	and DOUGLAS CAMPBELL
17	Defendants
18	
19	
20	This is the Cross-Examination of JAMES KAY, on
21	his affidavit sworn January 18, 2019, taken at the
22	offices of Neesons Court Reporting, 77 King Street
23	West, Suite 2020, Toronto, Ontario, on the 29th day
24	of March, 2019.
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	Page 2
2		
3	LOU BRZEZINSKI, Esq.,	For the Plaintiff
4	& STEPHEN GAUDREAU, Esq.,	
5		
6		
7	DEREK RONDE, Esq.,	for the Defendants
8	& JOHN PICONE, Esq.,	William Crowley,
9	& JOSEPH HAMALIUK, Esq.,	William R. Harker,
10		Donald Campbell Ross,
11		Ephraim J. Bird, James
12		McBurney and Douglas
13		Campbell
14		
15	ANDREW FAITH, Esq.,	for the Defendant
16	& EMMA CARVER, Esq.,	ESL Investments Inc.
17	& SANDY LOCKHART, Esq.,	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	REPORTED BY: Deana Santedic	cola, RPR, CRR, CSR
23		
24		
25		

1	Page 3 INDEX
2	
3	WITNESS: JAMES KAY
4	PAGES
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RONDE6 - 89
6	
7	**The following list of undertakings, advisements
8	and refusals is meant as a guide only for the
9	assistance of counsel and no other purpose**
10	
11	INDEX OF UNDERTAKINGS
12	The questions/requests undertaken are noted by U/T
13	and appear on the following pages: 7:20, 18:18,
14	55:10
15	
16	INDEX OF ADVISEMENTS
17	The questions/requests taken under advisement are
18	noted by U/A and appear on the following pages:
19	20:13, 20:18, 83:13
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Page 4 INDEX (Cont'd)
2	
3	INDEX OF REFUSALS
4	The questions/requests refused are noted by R/F and
5	appear on the following pages: 9:11, 9:17, 17:24,
6	19:11, 19:23, 23:24, 24:10, 27:21, 27:25, 28:4,
7	30:15, 31:2, 31:13, 31:17, 31:20, 31:24, 33:1,
8	33:5, 34:4, 34:8, 34:17, 34:24, 35:4, 36:25, 37:3,
9	37:7, 37:11, 37:14, 37:18, 38:14, 38:20, 38:24,
10	43:8, 46:4, 49:12, 49:18, 51:4, 52:11, 53:16,
11	53:20, 54:15, 54:21, 55:23, 56:2, 58:1, 58:5, 58:9,
12	58:13, 58:22, 59:1, 59:6, 61:24, 62:2, 62:5, 62:9,
13	63:1, 63:11, 64:7, 64:18, 65:23, 66:3, 66:7, 66:13,
14	66:16, 66:19, 68:23, 69:3, 69:8, 69:18, 69:23,
15	70:2, 70:5, 70:9, 70:18, 70:22, 71:1, 71:20, 72:11,
16	73:3, 73:7, 73:12, 75:15, 75:20, 75:24, 76:14,
17	76:19, 78:10, 79:14, 79:24, 80:4, 80:10, 80:24,
18	81:6, 81:25, 82:12, 84:24, 88:1, 88:17, 88:21, 89:4
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS		Page 5
2				
3	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE/LINE	NO.
4	1	(FOR IDENTIFICATION):		
5		Claims Order Procedure	48:5	
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1		Page 6 Upon commencing at 10:08 a.m.
2		
3		JAMES KAY; Sworn.
4		CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RONDE:
5	1	Q. So this is the examination of
6		Mr. James, Jim, Kay
7		A. James is fine or Jim is fine,
8		whatever you like.
9	2	Q on March 28th, 2019.
10		Mr. Brzezinski, if we could perhaps
11		take care of some preliminary matters.
12		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Go ahead.
13		MR. RONDE: I think, first, you wanted
14		to you had mentioned to me off the record that
15		there was a correction that you wanted to do to, or
16		wanted to report in respect of Mr. Kay's affidavit.
17		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes, let's do it now.
18		There is a correction to be made to Mr.
19		Kay's affidavit. At page 2 of his affidavit,
20		paragraph 7, the words immediately before "the
21		Hometown Dealers," that date of June 22nd, 2017, is
22		not correct. It will be corrected by this date,
23		March 17, 2015.
24		MR. RONDE: And just for additional
25		clarity, that is the January 18th, 2019, affidavit?

-	Page 7
1	I think it is on the first page.
2	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes.
3	MR. PICONE: Which is in the motion
4	record at tab 1 on page 9.
5	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes.
6	BY MR. RONDE:
7	Q. And in respect of just to make
8	sure that this examination goes smoothly, I just
9	want to make sure I know what is in front or what
10	materials you have today.
11	I understand that Mr. Horkins from our
12	office was in touch and asked you to bring or
13	sent an email and asked you to make sure that you
14	brought all the motion records. I just wanted to
15	make sure that they are accessible to Mr. Kay?
16	MR. BRZEZINSKI: We have his material
17	that he swore, and that is the only relevant
18	material that I believe is appropriate for him to
19	be cross-examined on.
20	MR. RONDE: Okay, and do you have the
21	supplementary affidavit or, sorry, the
22	supplementary motion record dated March 11, 2019?
23	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes.
24	MR. RONDE: Are you taking the position
25	that that material is not that Mr. Kay cannot be

1		Page 8 cross-examined on it?
2		MR. BRZEZINSKI: He can be
3		cross-examined on the issues relating to the
4		certification.
5		MR. RONDE: Okay.
6		MR. BRZEZINSKI: That is my answer.
7		MR. RONDE: Just to be clear, you
8		actually had said that the material that he swore
9		was the only relevant material that you thought was
10		appropriate for him to be cross-examined on.
11		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes.
12		MR. RONDE: Do you stand by that?
13		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes, unless there are
14		documents that you want to put to him that are
15		relevant to the certification motion.
16		MR. RONDE: I would like to take you to
17		that supplementary motion record.
18		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes.
19		BY MR. RONDE:
20	4	Q. And I guess the first question is,
21		in your affidavit, Mr. Kay, did you include a
22		litigation plan or a plan of proceeding?
23		A. Yes, I believe I did.
24	5	Q. Okay. And if we look at the
25		supplementary record, the supplementary motion

1		Page9 record, at exhibit or tab "A" or Exhibit "A" to
2		Mr. Seretis' affidavit there is a document called
3		the "Plaintiff's Amended Litigation Plan."
4		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Here it is.
5		BY MR. RONDE:
6	6	Q. Have you seen this document
7		before, sir?
8		A. Yes, I have.
9	7	Q. And could you explain to me what
10		this document is?
11		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer. It says
12		what it is.
13		BY MR. RONDE:
14	8	Q. And does this litigation plan
15		purport to amend the litigation plan that you have
16		included in your initial affidavit?
17		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
18		That is a legal issue.
19		BY MR. RONDE:
20	9	Q. So you can't tell me what the
21		purpose of this document is?
22		MR. BRZEZINSKI: We have already
23		answered that.
24		BY MR. RONDE:
25	10	Q. And at tab B, Exhibit "B" there,

		Page 10
1		there is a black-lined version that appears.
2		A. Yes.
3	11	Q. And it is my understanding that
4		that's a black-lined version of the previous tab.
5		A. Yes.
6	12	Q. Have you read Mr. Seretis'
7		affidavit?
8		A. Yes.
9	13	Q. If you could go to tab C, and that
10		is on page 23 of the supplementary motion record,
11		there is a document called the "Amended and
12		Restated Settlement Agreement." Do you see that,
13		sir?
14		A. Yes.
15	14	Q. Have you seen this document
16		before?
17		A. Yes.
18	15	Q. It purports to be, I think, an
19		agreement between 1291079 Ontario Inc. in its
20		capacity as a Representative Plaintiff, and that is
21		who you are here representing today, correct?
22		A. Correct.
23	16	Q. And if you go to page 28 and 29 of
24		the motion record.
25		A. Yes.

1	17	Page 11 Q. Is that your signature, sir?
2		A. Yes, it is.
3	18	Q. And you executed this document on
4		behalf of that corporation?
5		A. Yes, I did.
6	19	Q. All right. And that corporation
7		seeks to be the Representative Plaintiff in the
8		proposed class proceeding that we are dealing with
9		today?
10		A. Yes.
11	20	Q. If I could take you to tab D, are
12		you familiar with this document?
13		A. Yes.
14	21	Q. It says it is a Fresh as Amended
15		Statement of Claim, and that is your understanding
16		of what it is?
17		A. Yes.
18	22	Q. And the Plaintiff in that case or
19		the Statement of Claim is 1291079 Ontario Limited,
20		and that is your company, as we have confirmed?
21		A. Yes.
22	23	Q. So this is a Statement of Claim
23		that, the Fresh as Amended Statement of Claim that
24		you or your company has brought?
25		A. My counsel prepared it, and as

1		Page 12 such, I approved it.
2	24	Q. Okay. Actually, have you been
3		cross-examined before, Mr. Kay?
4		A. Yes.
5	25	Q. I would like to go over just some
6		sort of initial I don't know if they would be
7		guidelines or just in respect of our process.
8		First of all, I would like to say if
9		you need a break, please let me know. If you need
10		to step away for a minute, just advise or let your
11		counsel know, and we can certainly deal with that.
12		Secondly, in respect of any questions
13		that I may ask and I apologize if this is
14		repetitive from your previous examinations, but if
15		you could allow me to finish any of the questions
16		and then answer afterwards.
17		If you do have any questions in respect
18		of clarifying a question, certainly you can let me
19		know or your counsel can let me know.
20		I think, as we have confirmed, that you
21		are the representative of 1291079 Ontario Limited
22		for the purposes of this Class proceeding?
23		A. Yes.
24	26	Q. And you swore an affidavit on
25		January 18th, 2019, in respect of this matter, and

		A1 01 Maich 29, 2019
1		Page 13 just for ease of reference, it would be tab 2 of
2		the January 18, 2019 motion record?
3		A. Yes.
4	27	Q. So you are familiar with this
5		affidavit?
6		A. Yes.
7	28	Q. That is your signature at the last
8		page, on page 18 of the record?
9		A. Yes, it is.
10	29	Q. 1291079 Ontario Limited and I
11		think in your affidavit, at paragraph 1, you make
12		reference to it as 129. I think that may be an
13		easier way to identify the company, so I'll either
14		refer to it as the Representative Plaintiff or as
15		129. If you have any questions, please let me
16		know.
17		A. That's fine.
18	30	Q. 1291079 Ontario Inc., is it still
19		an active company?
20		A. It is active, but it is not
21		actively doing business.
22	31	Q. Okay. When did it last actively
23		do business?
24		A. Sometime in 2013, late 2013.
25	32	Q. In 2013. And I understand that

	• • • • • •			
1		you terminat	ced y	Page 14 our agreement with Sears in 2013.
2		Was that the	e las	t, I guess, activity concerning
3		that company	7?	
4			Α.	Yes.
5	33		Q.	And you are the president of the
6		company?		
7			Α.	Yes.
8	34		Q.	And who are the directors and
9		officers of	the (company?
10			Α.	Myself and my wife.
11	35		Q.	And who are the shareholders of
12		the company?	?	
13			A.	Myself and my wife.
14	36		Q.	Would you agree that you are the
15		person who o	contro	ols the decision-making in respect
16		of that comp	pany?	
17			A.	Yes.
18	37		Q.	And that company operated a Sears
19		Hometown Sto	ore?	
20			Α.	Yes, it did.
21	38		Q.	When did it start operating that
22		store?		
23			A.	129 was I don't remember the
24		exact date,	proba	ably 2004 or 2006 no, more than
25		no, way -	no	, sorry. I apologize. We bought

	r	
1		Page 15 the corporation from the previous owners, so I
2		don't I can't remember exactly when they started
3		it.
4	39	Q. Okay, so you essentially bought it
5		as a going concern that operated a Sears Hometown
6		Store?
7		A. Yes.
8	40	Q. You acquired it as a share
9		purchase rather than an asset purchase?
10		A. Correct.
11	41	Q. And when was that acquisition?
12		A. That acquisition was in 2006.
13	42	Q. 2006, so you operated the company
14		for roughly seven years, from 2006 to 2013?
15		A. Yes.
16	43	Q. And that company presumably had
17		inventory and assets in 2013. What has happened to
18		those assets?
19		A. They were liquidated.
20	44	Q. And when you say "liquidated,"
21		what do you mean?
22		A. Scrapped, basically.
23	45	Q. Did you sell any of the assets of
24		the company?
25		A. We sold assets that were required

1		Page 16 to maintain the business because Sears brought in
2		another owner, so we sold some store fixtures and
3		things like that that had no value to us at all,
4		which left us with basically nothing anyways. So
5		whatever was remaining was pretty much meaningless.
6	46	Q. Well, what about the inventory? I
7		understand from these stores that there was
8		inventory in respect of the retail location. What
9		happened to that material?
10		A. Sears owned all the inventory.
11	47	Q. Okay.
12		A. The only exception to that is the
13		spare parts, and that was included in the sale. We
14		sold those to the owner that was taking over.
15	48	Q. And what were the proceeds?
16		A. Of that sale?
17	49	Q. Yes.
18		A. Approximately \$20,000.
19	50	Q. And did that remain with the
20		company?
21		A. It was used to pay debt, yes.
22	51	Q. So are there any assets in the
23		company anymore?
24		A. No.
25	52	Q. Are there any liabilities?

		Page 17
1		A. No.
2	53	Q. The company does not have any
3		ongoing revenue?
4		A. No.
5	54	Q. And presumably, doesn't have any
6		ongoing expenses either?
7		A. No.
8	55	Q. Are you still filing corporate
9		returns in respect of the company?
10		A. We are.
11	56	Q. I'm sorry, did you have a
12		A. Correction. We are not filing
13		no, the corporation, our accountant filed it as not
14		being in business, so we don't file actual
15		corporate returns every year.
16	57	Q. Okay. Could I have a copy of the
17		last corporate return that you filed?
18		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Do you have that?
19		THE DEPONENT: I should, yeah.
20		U/T MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes.
21		BY MR. RONDE:
22	58	Q. And could I have those returns
23		since 2013?
24		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: No. You'll get the
25		last one.

	r	
1		Page 18 BY MR. RONDE:
2	59	Q. And, sorry, when you were saying
3		corporate returns, you are referring to tax
4		returns?
5		A. Yes.
6	60	Q. And do you do financial statements
7		for your company?
8		A. No.
9	61	Q. When was the last financial
10		statement you have done for it?
11		A. 2013.
12	62	Q. May I have a copy of that
13		financial statement?
14		A. I believe it is in it is
15		already part of the package.
16	63	Q. Okay. And if it hasn't been
17		produced, you'll produce it?
18		U/T MR. BRZEZINSKI: If it hasn't been
19		produced.
20		THE DEPONENT: Yes.
21		BY MR. RONDE:
22	64	Q. I understand that there was a
23		and I may be I don't want to put words in your
24		mouth here, but I understand that the Sears
25		Hometown Store was only one part of your business,

1		Page 19 and you also had an awnings business. Is that
2		correct?
3		A. Yes.
4	65	Q. Did they share a space?
5		A. Yes.
6	66	Q. But were they separate
7		corporations?
8		A. Yes.
9	67	Q. And what has happened to that
10		business?
11		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
12		BY MR. RONDE:
13	68	Q. If you are no longer involved in
14		this business, what do you currently do for a
15		living?
16		A. I am the President of Tebulo
17		Industrial Robotics.
18	69	Q. What sort of company is that?
19		A. We supply robotic automation to,
20		primarily, the steel industry and the wood
21		manufacturing industry.
22	70	Q. And how many employees?
23		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
24		MR. RONDE: That is a refusal?
25		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes.

	[Page 20
1		BY MR. RONDE:
2	71	Q. And you said you were president
3		and CEO, or did you just say president?
4		A. Just president.
5	72	Q. Do you own the company?
6		A. No, I do not.
7	73	Q. As president, do you devote your
8		full-time attention to that company?
9		A. Yes.
10	74	Q. Do you have any corporate
11		resolutions in respect of 129, regarding engaging
12		this litigation?
13		U/A MR. BRZEZINSKI: I am going to take
14		that under advisement.
15		BY MR. RONDE:
16	75	Q. I would like that produced if
17		there are.
18		U/A MR. BRZEZINSKI: We'll take that under
19		advisement.
20		BY MR. RONDE:
21	76	Q. So it would be a fair
22		characterization to say that 129 has no money, has
23		no assets?
24		A. Yes.
25	77	Q. And you understand that if 129, in

		Page 21
1		the course of this litigation, if there is an
2		adverse costs award if Sears is successful and
3		there is an adverse costs award, that the company
4		would be liable for it?
5		A. Yes.
6	78	Q. Where was the head office of that
7		company?
8		A. 716 Dundas Street, Woodstock,
9		Ontario.
10	79	Q. Is that still the head office of
11		the company? Actually, do you know what, I'll take
12		a step back on that question.
13		A. Okay.
14	80	Q. Was 716 Dundas, was that the
15		retail location?
16		A. Yes.
17	81	Q. Okay. So its head office was the
18		retail location?
19		A. Yes.
20	82	Q. And it has continued to be the
21		head office of the company continues to be the
22		retail location?
23		A. No.
24	83	Q. Then what is currently the head
25		office of the company?

1		Page 22 A. I suppose it would be 451 Parrott
2		Place.
3	84	Q. Like the bird plus a "t"?
4		A. Like Harry Parrott, who was a
5		member of parliament.
6	85	Q. Okay, and is that your home?
7		A. Yes.
8	86	Q. To your knowledge, have you
9		personally guaranteed the obligations of the
10		company to Sears through your Dealership Agreement?
11		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Refused.
12		I'm sorry, can you repeat that
13		question?
14		BY MR. RONDE:
15	87	Q. Under the Dealer Agreement or any
16		agreement, is there any personal guarantee of you
17		personally guaranteeing the obligations of the
18		corporation?
19		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Sorry, you can answer
20		that.
21		THE DEPONENT: No.
22		MR. BRZEZINSKI: I'm sorry, I misheard
23		it, Counsel.
24		BY MR. RONDE:
25	88	Q. Not a problem.

1		Page 23 And are you aware of any attempts by
2		the company to seek funding in respect of this
3		Class Action litigation?
4		A. Could you define what funding
5		would mean?
6	89	Q. Well, to pay for the costs of
7		doing the litigation, to pay for your lawyers, and
8		to indemnify the company in respect of any adverse
9		costs award?
10		A. To support the litigation, there
11		were other dealer stores, other dealer store owners
12		who put some money up originally, for the original
13		retainer, and that's it.
14	90	Q. When you say "the original
15		retainer," is that in respect of the and I think
16		we'll call it the 2013 Wishart Act Class Action?
17		A. Yes.
18	91	Q. But in respect of this litigation
19		at hand, the Oppression Class Action, are you aware
20		of any attempts by the company to seek funding for
21		that litigation?
22		A. No.
23	92	Q. Are your lawyers indemnifying you?
24		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
25		BY MR. RONDE:

	0,	
1	93	Page 24 Q. Did you seek funding from the
2		Class Proceeding Fund?
3		A. No.
4	94	Q. Did you seek funding from any
5		third party funding provider?
6		A. No.
7	95	Q. If there is an adverse costs award
8		against the company, how does 129 intend on paying
9		that amount?
10		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
11		BY MR. RONDE:
12	96	Q. If you are successful in this
13		Class Action, would 129 be dividending or paying
14		out any successful award to its shareholders?
15		A. I don't know.
16		MR. RONDE: Just if I could go off the
17		record for a moment.
18		(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD.)
19		BY MR. RONDE:
20	97	Q. I would like to get an idea of
21		we were talking about I call it the Wishart Act
22		Class Action, and you refer to that in your
23		affidavit at paragraph 5. If you could flip that
24		up, it is on page 9 of your motion record.
25		A. Yes.

1	98	Page 25 Q. And paragraph 5 says:
2		"The Franchise Action was
3		commenced on July 5, 2015. Attached
4		as Exhibit 'A' is a copy of the
5		Fresh as Amended Statement of Claim
6		in the Franchise Action."
7		So if we can flip to tab "A."
8		A. Yes.
9	99	Q. The only Defendant in that Class
10		Action as of March 8th, 2016, was Sears Canada
11		Inc.?
12		MR. BRZEZINSKI: That is what it says,
13		Counsel.
14		BY MR. RONDE:
15	100	Q. I'm asking as a question.
16		Regardless of what it says, I would like to get his
17		understanding of the proceeding.
18		A. Yes.
19	101	Q. The claim was commenced on July
20		5th, 2013. That was ultimately certified as a
21		Class Action?
22		A. Yes, it was.
23	102	Q. And in respect of that
24		certification, you swore an affidavit in that
25		proceeding for the certification motion?

1		Page 26 A. Yes.
2	103	Q. You were cross-examined in respect
3		of that as well?
4		A. Yes.
5	104	Q. And in that case, if we go to tab
6		"A" again, the claim was for \$100,000, for damages
7		not exceeding \$100,000?
8		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Million.
9		BY MR. RONDE:
10	105	Q. Sorry, I wish it was \$100,000. We
11		might be able to
12		MR. BRZEZINSKI: We wouldn't be here.
13		BY MR. RONDE:
14	106	Q. We could all chip in. Thank you
15		for your correction.
16		They were seeking damages of 100
17		million dollars; is that correct?
18		A. Yes.
19	107	Q. And there were a multitude of
20		claims, I guess, under that proceeding; and you
21		agree with me it is breach of contract?
22		A. Yes.
23	108	Q. And that there was a breach of
24		section 3 and section 7 of the Wishart Act, and
25		that would be the duty of good faith and fair

		,,		D 07
1		dealing?		Page 27
2			Α.	Yes.
3	109		Q.	And statutory misrepresentations
4		under secti	on 7?	
5			Α.	Yes.
б	110		Q.	You were also looking at or were
7		claiming da	mages	in respect of statutory breaches
8		under other	fran	chise statutes?
9			Α.	Yes.
10	111		Q.	And alternatively, looking for
11		compensation	n and	restitution for unjust enrichment
12		in the amou	nt of	the same, 100 million dollars?
13			Α.	Yes.
14	112		Q.	And there's not to short-sell
15		it, there a	re ot	her damages or other relief sought,
16		as well, in	your	claim?
17			Α.	Yes.
18	113		Q.	In respect of the 100 million
19		dollar figu	re th	at you included in your affidavit
20		here, how w	as th	at figure arrived at?
21		R/F	MR.	BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
22			BY M	R. RONDE:
23	114		Q.	Was there ever an expert
24		determinati	on of	that figure?
25		R/F	MR.	BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.

1291079 Ontario Limited v. Sears Canada Inc., et al. JAMES KAY on March 29, 2019

1			Page 28 BY MR. RONDE:
2	115		Q. Was there ever a damages report
3		produced in	that litigation?
4		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
5			BY MR. RONDE:
6	116		Q. Was there ever a production of
7		financial ir	nformation in that case?
8			MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
9		Hold on. I	don't know the answer to that, Counsel.
10			Do you know the answer to that?
11			THE DEPONENT: Financial information
12		from my comp	pany?
13			MR. BRZEZINSKI: Is that what you mean?
14		From the Pla	aintiff?
15			BY MR. RONDE:
16	117		Q. From your company or any of the
17		other Class	members.
18			A. Yes.
19	118		Q. So in respect of that, had
20		documentary	production occurred in that case?
21			A. I don't understand that term.
22	119		Q. Well, had you sworn an affidavit
23		of documents	s in that litigation?
24			A. Yes.
25	120		Q. And so you had produced documents

	·····	
1		Page 29 to the other side for the purposes of the hearing
2		of the case?
3		A. Yes, we produced all of our
4		financials for the time.
5	121	Q. And did you produce other
6		documents as well?
7		A. Yes.
8	122	Q. And did you receive productions
9		from Sears as well?
10		A. Yes.
11	123	Q. Was there a mediation in that
12		case?
13		A. Yes.
14	124	Q. The mediation, I can fairly
15		assume, was not successful?
16		A. Correct.
17	125	Q. Do you roughly know how many Class
18		members were there in the Wishart Act Class Action?
19		A. Approximately 350.
20	126	Q. Were there any opt-outs?
21		A. None that I know of.
22	127	Q. I am going to take you to
23		paragraph 9 of your affidavit.
24		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Sorry, what page is
25		that on, Counsel?

1		Page 30 MR. RONDE: It is on page 10 of the
2		motion record.
3		MR. BRZEZINSKI: We have it.
4		BY MR. RONDE:
5	128	Q. So at paragraph 9 it says:
6		"The franchise action alleged
7		that the Dealer Agreement creates a
8		franchisor/franchisee relationship
9		between the Hometown Dealers and
10		Sears that is subject to the Wishart
11		Act and other provincial
12		legislation."
13		There was never any judicial finding in
14		respect of that issue, correct?
15		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
16		BY MR. RONDE:
17	129	Q. And you didn't go to trial on that
18		issue, did you?
19		A. No.
20	130	Q. And Sears indicated in a Statement
21		of Defence that it disagrees with your claim?
22		A. Yes.
23	131	Q. And in respect of paragraph 10,
24		about the statutory duty of fair dealing, you would
25		agree with me there was no legal determination on

1		that issue	Page 31 either?
2		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
3			BY MR. RONDE:
4	132		Q. And you didn't go to trial on that
5		issue?	
6			A. No.
7	133		Q. And Sears disagreed with you on
8		that issue?	
9			A. Yes.
10	134		Q. You would agree with me that it
11		was possibl	e that the franchise Class Action could
12		have been u	nsuccessful?
13		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
14			BY MR. RONDE:
15	135		Q. The claims were speculative; there
16		was no judi	cial finding?
17		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
18			BY MR. RONDE:
19	136		Q. There was no guarantee of success?
20		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
21			BY MR. RONDE:
22	137		Q. And your counsel explained that to
23		you?	
24		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
25			BY MR. RONDE:

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	138	Page 32 Q. Could I take you to paragraph 29
2		of your motion record. That would be page 15 of
3		the motion record, paragraph 29 of your affidavit.
4		A. Yes, I've got it.
5	139	Q. I would like to look at 29 (a)
6		there. You have the proposed common issue that
7		says:
8		"Are the Class members
9		'complainants' within the meaning of
10		section 238(d) of the Canada
11		Business Corporations Act [] in
12		respect of the claims made in the
13		action as against the defendants,
14		and each of them?"
15		And that is the common issue you are
16		proposing, correct?
17		A. Yes.
18	140	Q. And paragraph 32, just over on the
19		next page, says:
20		"Each Class member is an
21		individual contingent creditor of
22		Sears through their respective
23		claims in the Franchise Action."
24		So it is your view that all the Class
25		members are individual contingent creditors?

1		Page 33 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
2		BY MR. RONDE:
3	141	Q. Well, that is what you say at
4		paragraph 32, correct?
5		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: It is a legal issue.
6		Don't answer that.
7		MR. RONDE: If it is a legal issue, why
8		is it in an affidavit?
9		MR. BRZEZINSKI: He is giving
10		background.
11		MR. RONDE: He is giving background to
12		a legal issue?
13		MR. BRZEZINSKI: He is giving
14		background. That specific question is a legal
15		question.
16		BY MR. RONDE:
17	142	Q. If it is a legal question, do you
18		want to strike that from if it is a legal point,
19		would you like to strike that from the affidavit as
20		being argumentative?
21		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Your question is a
22		legal question.
23		MR. RONDE: Please let me finish my
24		sentences.
25		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Go ahead.

1			Page 34 BY MR. RONDE:
2	143		Q. What does "contingent" mean in
3		paragraph 32	?
4		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
5			BY MR. RONDE:
б	144		Q. When you wrote "contingent," what
7		did you mean	by "contingent"?
8		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
9			BY MR. RONDE:
10	145		Q. You would agree that no judgment
11		has been obt	ained in respect of being a creditor?
12		They are not	judgment creditors?
13			A. I don't understand the terminology
14		there.	
15	146		Q. Okay, but you understood what an
16		individual c	ontingent creditor is?
17		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
18			BY MR. RONDE:
19	147		Q. Have those creditors have the
20		Class member	s obtained a judgment against Sears?
21			A. No.
22	148		Q. So it is still speculative as to
23		whether Sear	s breached its contract with you?
24		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
25			BY MR. RONDE:

1	149	Page 35
	149	Q. And it is still speculative
2		whether there was breach of the duty of good faith
3		and fair dealing by Sears?
4		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
5		BY MR. RONDE:
6	150	Q. I would like to take you to
7		Exhibit "C" to Mr. Seretis' affidavit. That is the
8		Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement.
9		You had indicated that you are familiar
10		with this document, correct?
11		A. Yes.
12	151	Q. And that you had, in fact,
13		executed it on behalf of 129?
14		A. Yes.
15	152	Q. And it says there that you
16		executed it in your capacity as Representative
17		Plaintiff?
18		A. Yes.
19	153	Q. And that is a Representative
20		Plaintiff in respect of both the Wishart Act Class
21		Action and this Class Action?
22		A. Yes.
23	154	Q. You are not the Representative
24		Plaintiff in this Class Action.
25		MR. BRZEZINSKI: He is the proposed

		Page 36
1		Representative Plaintiff.
2		BY MR. RONDE:
3	155	Q. Right. It doesn't say "proposed
4		Representative Plaintiff" there, does it?
5		MR. BRZEZINSKI: It says what it says.
6		BY MR. RONDE:
7	156	Q. So there has been no finding by a
8		Court that you are, in fact, the Representative
9		Plaintiff?
10		MR. BRZEZINSKI: In which action?
11		MR. RONDE: In this action that we are
12		here today.
13		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Correct.
14		MR. RONDE: That is one of the issues
15		that will be heard at the certification hearing?
16		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes.
17		BY MR. RONDE:
18	157	Q. But you executed this agreement as
19		of December 14th, 2018, as the Representative
20		Plaintiff in this action?
21		A. Yes.
22	158	Q. And this says "Amended and
23		Restated Settlement Agreement." What is it an
24		amendment to?
25		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.

1		Page 37 BY MR. RONDE:
2	159	Q. And what is it a restatement to?
3		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
4		BY MR. RONDE:
5	160	Q. Can I have production of any other
6		settlement agreements that were entered into?
7		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Refused.
8		BY MR. RONDE:
9	161	Q. When were those settlement
10		agreements entered into?
11		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Refused.
12		BY MR. RONDE:
13	162	Q. Who were those agreements between?
14		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Refused.
15		BY MR. RONDE:
16	163	Q. What are the contents of those
17		agreements?
18		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Refused.
19		MR. FAITH: Could we just have the
20		basis of the refusal?
21		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Settlement
22		discussions, privileged.
23		MR. RONDE: Well, how could it be
24		settlement discussions if you actually had a
25		settlement agreement?

1		Page 38 MR. BRZEZINSKI: The settlement
2		agreement is available. It is on the record.
3		Everything else
4		MR. RONDE: It is a settlement
5		agreement. This implies that there is more one
6		agreement.
7		MR. BRZEZINSKI: It implies there were
, 8		settlement discussions, which are not permissible
9		to be addressed.
10		MR. RONDE: No, actually, it doesn't
11		imply that at all. It says that it was amended and
12		
		restated. It implies previous settlement
13		agreements.
14		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: We take that as
15		settlement discussions and as privileged, and we
16		are not going to answer any questions on that.
17		BY MR. RONDE:
18	164	Q. It says "amended and restated."
19		How many times was it amended?
20		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: We are not going to
21		answer that.
22		BY MR. RONDE:
23	165	Q. How many times was it restated?
24		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: We are not going to
25		answer that.

_		Page 39
1		MR. RONDE: Why won't you provide that
2		information?
3		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Because it is
4		settlement privilege.
5		MR. RONDE: If this entire thing is
6		settlement privilege, why are you providing the
7		final agreement?
8		MR. BRZEZINSKI: That is the final
9		agreement; that is why.
10		MR. RONDE: And that isn't privileged,
11		in your view?
12		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Of course not.
13		MR. RONDE: Okay.
14		MR. BRZEZINSKI: It is an agreement.
15		MR. RONDE: But the other things were
16		agreements as well?
17		MR. BRZEZINSKI: The others were
18		negotiations.
19		BY MR. RONDE:
20	166	Q. I would like to take you to the
21		first recital there. If you can read that, it
22		says:
23		"WHEREAS the []"
24		And do you see where I am talking when
25		I say "recitals"?

		Page 40
1		A. Yes.
2	167	Q. And they are not numbered, but
3		I'll be referring to them numerically. If there
4		are any questions, certainly let me know, and we'll
5		try to read them out.
6		A. Okay.
7	168	Q. On the recitals, on the first
8		recital it says:
9		"WHEREAS the Representative
10		Plaintiff in its capacity as class
11		representative commenced (i) on or
12		about July 5, 2013, a class action
13		lawsuit, bearing court file number
14		3769/13 CP, against SCI and Sears
15		Roebuck and Co. (collectively, the
16		'Wishart Class Action Defendants'),
17		for, among other things, breach of
18		contract and breaches under the
19		Arthur Wishart Act [] which class
20		action was certified pursuant to a
21		decision of the Ontario Superior
22		Court of Justice released on
23		September 8, 2014 (the 'Wishart
24		Class Action')."
25		And to confirm here, there was no Class

		Page 41
1		Action certified as against Sears Roebuck and
2		Company?
3		A. Correct.
4	169	Q. Right, so there is a mistake in
5		this agreement?
6		MR. BRZEZINSKI: In the recital?
7		MR. RONDE: Yes.
8		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Quite frankly,
9		Counsel, I don't know. I'll have to look at it. I
10		think Sears Roebuck was a Defendant, a party at one
11		time.
12		MR. RONDE: Well, I can refer you to
13		the Fresh as Amended Statement of Claim that we
14		have actually already looked at, that doesn't have
15		Sears as a Defendant.
16		You would agree with me that they are
17		not a Defendant?
18		MR. BRZEZINSKI: At this time, they are
19		not a Defendant.
20		BY MR. RONDE:
21	170	Q. What does "at this time" mean?
22		Were they ever a Defendant?
23		A. Yes.
24		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes, they were.
25		BY MR. RONDE:

1	171	Page 42 Q. Okay, and they were dropped as a
2		Defendant?
3		A. I wasn't privy to the
4		conversations.
5	172	Q. Okay.
6		A. But yes, there was a discussion
7		with the Court, with the Judge.
8		MR. BRZEZINSKI: They were a Defendant
9		at one time.
10		MR. RONDE: But they are not now?
11		MR. BRZEZINSKI: No.
12		BY MR. RONDE:
13	173	Q. Okay. And then continuing that
14		recital describing the Representative Plaintiff in
15		its capacity as a Class representative commenced on
16		or about October, and this is the sub (ii):
17		"on or about October 21, 2015,
18		a class action lawsuit, bearing
19		court file number 4114/15 CP,
20		against SCI, Sears Holding
21		Corporation, ESL Investments and the
22		Directors and Officers (as defined
23		below) (collectively [defined as]
24		the 'Oppression Class Action
25		Defendants'), for, among other

		1 on Maron 20, 2010	
1			Page 43 things, oppression under the Canada
2			Business Corporations Act (the
3			'Oppression Class Action', and
4			collectively with the Wishart Class
5			Action, the 'Class Actions')."
6			And that is your understanding of how
7		things have	developed?
8		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
9			BY MR. RONDE:
10	174	(Q. You were not a class
11		Representati	ve Plaintiff yet in respect of what is
12		defined here	as the Oppression Class Action?
13]	MR. BRZEZINSKI: We have told you that
14		already.	
15			BY MR. RONDE:
16	175		Q. Right. That is still an issue
17		that has to i	be addressed?
18]	MR. BRZEZINSKI: We have told you that
19		already.	
20]	MR. RONDE: So that is a yes?
21]	MR. BRZEZINSKI: That is a yes.
22			BY MR. RONDE:
23	176		Q. And your counsel, Sotos and Blaney
24		McMurtry, th	ey haven't they are not Class
25		counsel yet,	are they? They are proposed Class

1		Page 44 counsel, correct?
2		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Do you know?
3		THE DEPONENT: I don't.
4		MR. BRZEZINSKI: That's it. He doesn't
5		know.
6		BY MR. RONDE:
7	177	Q. So you don't you have brought a
8		100 million dollar or 80 million dollar proposed
9		Class Action, and you don't know whether your
10		counsel can be considered Class counsel yet?
11		MR. BRZEZINSKI: That is a legal issue;
12		you know that.
13		MR. RONDE: I don't know that.
14		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Well, we know that.
15		MR. RONDE: What I do know is that
16		there are serious responsibilities for a
17		Representative Plaintiff, particularly in a Class
18		Action with these stakes, and I would assume that
19		there would be some basic knowledge of simple facts
20		concerning that litigation.
21		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Thank you
22		BY MR. RONDE:
23	178	Q. So are you saying that you have no
24		knowledge whether your lawyers are Class counsel or
25		not?

1		Page 45 A. Okay, let me answer that.
2	179	Q. Okay.
3	1,2	A. It is my understanding that they
4		are the Class counsel. We filed the Wishart Act
		Class Action first.
5	100	
6	180	Q. Okay.
7		A. And then because Sears was going
8		out of business, we filed the Oppression claim.
9	181	Q. Right.
10		A. So in my understanding, they
11		carried on as Class counsel. I have I don't see
12		where the I don't understand where the problem
13		is from your side
14	182	Q. Okay.
15		A or your question.
16	183	Q. Do you understand the upcoming
17		motion in this, what the purpose of the upcoming
18		motion in this litigation is, in I believe it is
19		April 17th.
20		Do you know what the purpose of that
21		motion is?
22		A. I have a basic understanding of it
23		as a non-legal person, yes.
24	184	Q. And what is that understanding?
25		A. That we are claiming that ESL,

		Page 46
1		Eddie Lampert, all the Defendants and the directors
2		of the corporation stripped the company of
3		assets
4		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: No, don't answer.
5		He doesn't understand, obviously.
6		MR. RONDE: Well
7		MR. BRZEZINSKI: He doesn't understand.
8		THE DEPONENT: In non-legal parlance
9		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't go on.
10		THE DEPONENT: Okay, sorry.
11		BY MR. RONDE:
12	185	Q. So if I can direct your attention
13		to the third recital. You still have that in front
14		of you?
15		A. Yes.
16	186	Q. Okay, thank you. It says:
17		"AND WHEREAS on December 8,
18		2017, the Court issued a claims
19		procedure order (the 'Claims Order')
20		requiring certain creditors with
21		claims against the Sears Canada
22		Entities to file proof of their
23		claim with the Monitor by the Claims
24		Bar Date []"
25		And I would like to you didn't

		Page 47
1		include a copy of the claims order with your
2		affidavit, did you?
3		MR. BRZEZINSKI: I don't think he did.
4		THE DEPONENT: I don't think so.
5		BY MR. RONDE:
6	187	Q. I would like to provide you with a
7		document.
8		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Before you mark it as
9		an exhibit, perhaps the witness should be given an
10		opportunity to identify if he has ever seen it
11		before.
12		THE DEPONENT: (Witness reviews
13		document.)
14		No, I haven't seen that.
15		MR. BRZEZINSKI: We'll agree to have it
16		marked for identification purposes.
17		MR. RONDE: Okay.
18		BY MR. RONDE:
19	188	Q. Counsel, is it your understanding
20		that this is the claims order that is referenced in
21		the document that you included in your
22		MR. BRZEZINSKI: I haven't read this
23		particular document, but I presume you wouldn't
24		have handed it to me if it wasn't an accurate copy
25		of the order.

1		Page 48 MR. RONDE: And we'll call that Exhibit
2		No. 1, and you have
3		MR. BRZEZINSKI: For identification
4		purposes.
5		EXHIBIT NO. 1 (FOR IDENTIFICATION):
б		Claims Order Procedure.
7		BY MR. RONDE:
8	189	Q. And I understand that this
9		document sorry, maybe I'll actually give it to
10		you so you can look at it. My apologies.
11		My understanding of this document is
12		this is the procedure in respect of filing proofs
13		of claim against the Sears Defendants.
14		Did you file proofs of claim?
15		MR. BRZEZINSKI: We filed on his
16		behalf.
17		MR. RONDE: And when you say you, who
18		is
19		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Counsel, Blaney
20		McMurtry.
21		BY MR. RONDE:
22	190	Q. Did you have any participation in
23		that process?
24		A. No, I did not.
25	191	Q. So you had never approved the

1		Page 49 filings?
2		A. I relied on my counsel.
3	192	Q. Okay, did you have any discussions
4		with your counsel on that issue?
5		A. Yeah.
6		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't go ahead.
7		THE DEPONENT: Sorry.
8		MR. BRZEZINSKI: That's okay.
9		BY MR. RONDE:
10	193	Q. Sorry, and what was your answer
11		there?
12		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yeah, he answered that
13		he did, but I am not going to let him answer as to
14		the nature of those discussions.
15		BY MR. RONDE:
16	194	Q. Okay. Did you review the proofs
17		of claim before they were submitted?
18		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: What is the relevance
19		of this? We are not going to let him answer that.
20		MR. RONDE: I think the relevance is
21		quite clear that you are relying on the decision by
22		the Monitor that was based on the proofs of claim,
23		and you are relying on that for the purposes of
24		attempting to establish damages in the Oppression
25		action. It is entirely relevant.

1291079 Ontario Limited v. Sears Canada Inc., et al. JAMES KAY on March 29, 2019

1	Page 50 It is our view, and we have
2	consistently put it on the record by way of
3	correspondence by myself and by Mr. Faith and
4	you may have seen this correspondence in which
5	we take the position that the merits of the
6	underlying Wishart Act Class Action are entirely
7	relevant to the Oppression analysis and that that
8	should be taken into account.
9	And that is why it is relevant, and we
10	are entitled to explore it. You included this
11	agreement, if I may say. This agreement was
12	included by Mr. Seretis in his affidavit. I would
13	assume that because it was included and because it
14	was executed by Mr. Kay that it is important to
15	your case. And we see how it flows through to both
16	the notice of motion and to the amended pleadings.
17	So I, quite frankly, do not understand
18	any objection in respect of relevance here.
19	MR. BRZEZINSKI: The Class Proceeding
20	Act is a procedural statute. It doesn't deal or
21	address any of the merits of the case. A
22	certification motion is simply a procedural motion.
23	You don't have the ability to ask or you have
24	the ability, you can certainly ask whatever you
25	want, but it is inappropriate to ask questions on

1	the substa	Page 51 ntive issues, and certainly, the
2		n of damages or the issue of damages is a
3	substantiv	
4	R/F	We are not answering the question.
5		MR. RONDE: And I am going to
6	fundamenta	lly disagree with that proposition that
7		out forth simply because you have included
8		notice of motion as a proposed common
9		u have included it in your pleadings.
10		r there is an analysis of the merits of
11	the underl	ying class proceeding is directly
12	relevant t	o the process that the parties have to
13	follow.	
14		There has to be discovery on those
15	issues. I	here has to be notice of those issues.
16	There have	to be expert reports on those issues,
17	and all of	those have to be reflected in the
18	litigation	plan. We don't see that in the
19	litigation	plan. So it is fundamentally relevant
20	because it	informs the entire process.
21		Are you still taking the position that
22	it is not	relevant?
23		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes.
24		BY MR. RONDE:
25	195	Q. So did you file a proof of claim

Page 52 1 in respect --2 MR. BRZEZINSKI: He already answered 3 that. 4 BY MR. RONDE: 5 196 0. And sorry, to be clear, what was the answer? 6 7 MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes. BY MR. RONDE: 8 9 197 Okay. Can I get production of 0. 10 that proof of claim? 11 MR. BRZEZINSKI: It is irrelevant, no. R/F 12 BY MR. RONDE: 13 198 And I understand, if we go to Q. 14 Schedule "C" of this claims procedure order -- and you can certainly turn to it. It is approximately 15 16 halfway through the document. 17 And Counsel, to the extent --18 MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes, we see it. 19 BY MR. RONDE: 20 199 Okay, sorry, Counsel, to the 0. 21 extent to which you can assist in terms of pointing 2.2 him to it, that is certainly fine. 23 It says "Documentation" on the third 24 page of that, and it asked to provide to the Monitor: 25

		•	
1			Page 53 "[] all particulars of the
2			Claim and supporting documentation,
3			including amount, and description of
4			<pre>transaction(s) or agreement(s), or</pre>
5			legal breach(es) giving rise to the
6			Claim, including any claims
7			assignment/transfer agreement or
8			similar document, if applicable, and
9			amount of invoices, particulars of
10			all credits, discounts, etc.,
11			claimed, description of the
12			security, if any, granted by the
13			affected Debtor to the Claimant and
14			estimated value of such security."
15			Did you provide documentation?
16		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
17			BY MR. RONDE:
18	200		Q. May we have a copy of the
19		documentati	on provided?
20		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: No.
21			BY MR. RONDE:
22	201		Q. Did you review this, review the
23		proof of cl	aim that was submitted?
24			A. I believe the proof of claim was
25		our Class A	ction.

1291079 Ontario Limited v. Sears Canada Inc., et al. JAMES KAY on March 29, 2019

	r	
1	202	Page 54 Q. Okay, so you didn't review any
2		particular proof of claim form?
3		A. Not the particular details, no. I
4		relied on my counsel.
5	203	Q. And did you execute those forms on
б		behalf of the Representative Plaintiff?
7		There is a certification part under
8		number 5 there. Did you execute that?
9		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Do you remember?
10		THE DEPONENT: I don't, I don't
11		remember.
12		BY MR. RONDE:
13	204	Q. Can I get an undertaking as to
14		whether you did?
15		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: No.
16		BY MR. RONDE:
17	205	Q. You did proofs of claim with
18		respect to both the Wishart Class Action proceeding
19		and the matter that we are dealing with here, the
20		Oppression Class Action?
21		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
22		BY MR. RONDE:
23	206	Q. Well, I am going to take you back
24		to tab C.
25		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes.

1		Page 55 BY MR. RONDE:
2	207	Q. And the recital number 5 says:
3		"AND WHEREAS the Representative
4		Plaintiff filed proofs of claims in
5		respect of each of the Class Actions
6		[]"
7		So is that what you did? Did you file
8		proofs of claim with respect to each of the Class
9		Actions?
10		U/T MR. BRZEZINSKI: We'll give you an
11		undertaking to advise you as to whether we filed
12		one or two.
13		BY MR. RONDE:
14	208	Q. So there is a chance that this
15		statement may be wrong?
16		MR. BRZEZINSKI: No.
17		MR. RONDE: Well, as I understand, this
18		was executed, correct, by 129?
19		MR. BRZEZINSKI: It was.
20		BY MR. RONDE:
21	209	Q. You wouldn't execute something
22		that you didn't read, would you?
23		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
24		BY MR. RONDE:
25	210	Q. And you wouldn't execute something

1		Page 56 that you didn't believe to be true, correct?
2		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
3		BY MR. RONDE:
4	211	
5		the rest of the provision, that there were two
6		separate amounts claimed.
7		Was this done separately or done as a
8		joint submission?
9		MR. BRZEZINSKI: We have already
10		advised you that we will look through our records
11		and provide you information as to whether there
12		were one or two
13		MR. RONDE: And I retain the right to
14		ask follow-up questions in respect of that,
15		including to continue this examination at a later
16		date, once we get that information.
17		MR. BRZEZINSKI: We will dispute that.
18		MR. RONDE: I don't know why you would
19		dispute something when we actually asked for the
20		production of this material ahead of examination.
21		MR. BRZEZINSKI: It is irrelevant.
22		MR. RONDE: Well, if it is irrelevant,
23		you have undertaken to do it. I am not I think
24		you are being incredibly difficult here.
25		MR. BRZEZINSKI: No, I think I'm being

1		Page 57 legally accurate and correct.
2		BY MR. RONDE:
3	212	Q. So it is your position that this
4		amended and restated settlement agreement is
5		relevant, but we can't ask any questions about it?
6		MR. BRZEZINSKI: You can ask questions
7		with respect to what it says but not go behind it,
8		and only if the party knows and understands the
9		issues and if they are not legal issues and if they
10		are relevant to the issues in the certification
11		motion. And if you give me a little more time,
12		I'll think of something else.
13		MR. RONDE: Right, so it is your
14		position that you know what, I think we'll move
15		on.
16		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Good.
17		BY MR. RONDE:
18	213	Q. In respect of that I guess it
19		would be recital number 5 there it says:
20		"[] the Representative
21		Plaintiff filed proofs of claims in
22		respect of [] the Wishart Act
23		Class Action, [for] \$101,100,446.77
24		[]"
25		How was that amount arrived at?

	[•	Dage 50
1		R/F	Page 58 MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
2			BY MR. RONDE:
3	214		Q. Were there any financial
4		statements	provided as part of that?
5		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
6			BY MR. RONDE:
7	215		Q. And were there any damages reports
8		included?	
9		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
10			BY MR. RONDE:
11	216		Q. What steps did 129 do to make a
12		determinati	on of that figure?
13		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
14			BY MR. RONDE:
15	217		Q. You would agree with me that
16		figure is h	igher than the figure claimed in the
17		Wishart Cla	ss Action in the Statement of Claim?
18			A. Yes.
19	218		Q. Okay. And it says there
20		"contingent	and unliquidated claims"; what is your
21		understandi	ng of what "contingent" meant?
22		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
23			BY MR. RONDE:
24	219		Q. Is it meant that it is
25		speculative	?

1		Page 59 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
2		BY MR. RONDE:
3	220	Q. And in respect of "unliquidated,"
4		my understanding of unliquidated is it has not been
5		ascertained. Is that your understanding?
6		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
7		BY MR. RONDE:
8	221	Q. So you have no understanding of
9		what "contingent" or "unliquidated claim" meant?
10		MR. BRZEZINSKI: He hasn't answered the
11		question.
12		BY MR. RONDE:
13	222	Q. Right, you won't answer that
14		question, but this is in a document that you
15		executed?
16		MR. BRZEZINSKI: That's correct. You
17		know those are legal issues.
18		MR. RONDE: I am entitled to explore
19		what this document is about.
20		MR. BRZEZINSKI: And we are entitled to
21		refuse.
22		MR. RONDE: You are entitled to refuse,
23		but you did include it in your affidavit material,
24		correct?
25		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Correct.

		Page 60
1		MR. RONDE: So you thought it was
2		important?
3		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't cross-examine
4		me.
5		MR. RONDE: Right, well, I am only
6		getting answers from you, apparently.
7		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Well, because these
8		are legal issues that this witness is incapable,
9		unable, and is improperly asked. So he is not
10		going to answer those legal issues.
11		BY MR. RONDE:
12	223	Q. So you also submitted a proof of
13		claim on behalf in the case of the Oppression
14		Class Action, and that is in respect of this case
15		that we are dealing with today?
16		MR. BRZEZINSKI: I'm sorry, what is the
17		question?
18		BY MR. RONDE:
19	224	Q. It says there:
20		"[] the Representative
21		Plaintiff filed proofs of claims in
22		respect of each of the Class Actions
23		[]"
24		And then it says "[] in the case of
25		the Oppression Class Action []", and that refers

	0,	
1		Page 61 to the case that we are here for today, correct?
2		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes.
3		THE DEPONENT: Yes.
4		BY MR. RONDE:
5	225	Q. And you filed that claim on behalf
6		of the Class?
7		MR. BRZEZINSKI: He did.
8		THE DEPONENT: Yes.
9		BY MR. RONDE:
10	226	Q. Right, so that is not an
11		individual 509 million dollar claim that you have?
12		A. No.
13	227	Q. No, it is on behalf of all of the
14		Class members?
15		A. I represent the Class, yes.
16	228	Q. So it is your position that you
17		represent the Class?
18		A. Yes.
19	229	Q. Okay. And that is the Class in
20		the Oppression Class Action?
21		A. Yes.
22	230	Q. How was that 509 million dollar
23		figure arrived at?
24		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
25		BY MR. RONDE:

1	231	Page 62 Q. Were there financial statements?
2		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
3		BY MR. RONDE:
4	232	Q. Were there damages reports?
5		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
6		BY MR. RONDE:
7	233	Q. Could we have those provided in
8		respect of any of the proofs of claim?
9		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: No.
10		BY MR. RONDE:
11	234	Q. That 509 million dollar figure,
12		that is in excess of what is being claimed in the
13		Oppression Class Action, correct?
14		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes.
15		BY MR. RONDE:
16	235	Q. And the Oppression Class Action, I
17		understand, was originally for not an amount
18		exceeding \$100,000?
19		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Million.
20		BY MR. RONDE:
21	236	Q. 100 million dollars.
22		MR. BRZEZINSKI: That is what it says.
23		BY MR. RONDE:
24	237	Q. Right. Can you explain to me why
25		it is 509 million, five times the amount?

1		Page 63 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
2		BY MR. RONDE:
3	238	Q. These figures, so a total of over
4		600 million dollars' worth of claims, were provided
5		to the Monitor?
6		MR. BRZEZINSKI: I'm sorry, what was?
7		BY MR. RONDE:
8	239	Q. 600 million dollars' worth of
9		proofs of claim were submitted to the Monitor; is
10		that correct?
11		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Irrelevant. Don't
12		answer.
13		BY MR. RONDE:
14	240	Q. If I can take you to page 25 of
15		the motion record, that is the second page of this
16		settlement agreement.
17		It is the first full recital there, and
18		it says:
19		"AND WHEREAS, the Monitor, SCI,
20		and the Representative Plaintiff
21		[]"
22		The "Representative Plaintiff" there is
23		you, correct?
24		A. Yes.
25		Q. "[] have agreed on the

		Page 64
1		treatment of the Class Action claims
2		for the purpose of voting on, and
3		receiving distributions pursuant to,
4		a Plan."
5		So what was your understanding of what
6		that provision meant?
7		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
8		BY MR. RONDE:
9	241	Q. You would agree with me that the
10		purpose of the settlement agreement was to
11		determine what distributions Class members were to
12		receive?
13		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Whatever it says.
14		BY MR. RONDE:
15	242	Q. Well, I would like to know what
16		the witness thought when he executed the agreement
17		on behalf of 129.
18		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
19		BY MR. RONDE:
20	243	Q. And I would like to know what he
21		thought on behalf of the Class members he was
22		purporting to bind with this agreement.
23		MR. BRZEZINSKI: The agreement says
24		what it says.
25		BY MR. RONDE:

1	244	Page 65 Q. It is your view that this
2		agreement is binding, correct? It is binding on
3		you and 129?
4		A. I believe it is binding, yes.
5	245	Q. And you executed it on behalf of
6		Class members, so it is your view that it is
7		binding on Class members as well?
8		A. Yes.
9	246	Q. I'll take you to paragraph 2 or
10		section 2. It says:
11		"Class Action Claim Value: In
12		the event a Plan is implemented, the
13		Representative Plaintiff in the
14		Wishart Class Action, on its own
15		behalf and on behalf of all other
16		class members in the Wishart Class
17		Action, shall have a Proven Affected
18		Unsecured Claim against the Wishart
19		Class Action Defendants of
20		\$80,000,000."
21		Do you know how that 80 million dollars
22		was determined?
23		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
24		BY MR. RONDE:
25	247	Q. Do you have any records or

		,	
1		corresponde	Page 66 nce in regards to how that 80 million
2		dollar was a	arrived at?
3		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Do not answer.
4			BY MR. RONDE:
5	248		Q. I would like those records
6		provided.	
7		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Refused.
8			BY MR. RONDE:
9	249		Q. And there is a capitalized term,
10		so I assume	it is a defined term, of "proven
11		affected una	secured claim." What is a proven
12		affected una	secured claim?
13		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
14			BY MR. RONDE:
15	250		Q. And how was it proven?
16		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
17			BY MR. RONDE:
18	251		Q. What does "proven" mean?
19		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
20			BY MR. RONDE:
21	252		Q. I would like to understand how
22		this agreem	ent works, and my understanding is that
23		paragraph 3	provides some sort of guidance.
24			And you have read paragraph 3 there?
25			A. Yes.

	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
1	253	Page 67 Q. You are familiar with this because
2	you execute	d it?
3		A. Yes.
4	254	Q. Right. It says:
5		"Treatment for Distribution
6		Purposes: In the event a Plan is
7		implemented, the Representative
8		Plaintiff shall only be entitled to
9		receive, in its capacity as
10		Representative Plaintiff, out of
11		Estate Litigation Proceeds, if any,
12		a distribution based on its Proven
13		Affected Unsecured Claim; provided,
14		however, that, (i) the
15		Representative Plaintiff shall not
16		be entitled to receive, or
17		participate in, any distribution on
18		the first \$10,000,000 of Estate
19		Litigation Proceeds (the 'Class
20		Action Dividend'); and (ii) the
21		Upfront Payment (as defined below)
22		shall be treated as an advance
23		payment on the Class Action Dividend
24		and shall be credited against any
25		Class Action Dividend that the

1	Page 68 Representative Plaintiff would
2	otherwise be entitled to receive out
3	of Estate Litigation Proceeds
4	pursuant to this Agreement. The
5	Upfront Payment is non-refundable in
б	the event that there are no Estate
7	Litigation Proceeds."
8	So just to break this down, to make
9	sure that we have an understanding of this, under
10	the sub (i) there, it says:
11	"the Representative Plaintiff
12	shall not be entitled to receive, or
13	participate in, any distribution on
14	the first \$10,000,000 of Estate
15	Litigation Proceeds (the 'Class
16	Action Dividend')."
17	So I am going to put a proposition to
18	you, and as my understanding; you can tell me if
19	I'm wrong. It is that all the Class members in
20	both Class proceedings are not entitled to
21	participate in the first 10 million dollars that
22	comes into the estate litigation proceeds?
23	R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
24	It says what it says.
25	BY MR. RONDE:

			Page 69
1	255		Q. But this is an agreement that you
2		made?	
3		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Go ahead. He is not
4		answering.	
5			BY MR. RONDE:
б	256		Q. What is the Class Action dividend?
7		What does t	hat refer to?
8		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
9			That is irrelevant.
10			BY MR. RONDE:
11	257		Q. And the upfront payment, you
12		received an	or under this plan you are to
13		receive an	upfront payment of \$334,495; is that
14		correct?	
15			MR. BRZEZINSKI: It says what it says.
16			BY MR. RONDE:
17	258		Q. Is that correct?
18		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: It says what it says.
19			Don't answer the question.
20			BY MR. RONDE:
21	259		Q. Is this payment to be shared among
22		Class member	rs?
23		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer the
24		question.	
25			BY MR. RONDE:

1	260		Page 70
1	260		Q. Are you keeping it yourself?
2		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
3			BY MR. RONDE:
4	261		Q. Is it to pay for legal fees?
5		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
6			BY MR. RONDE:
7	262		Q. Is it to pay for adverse cost
8		awards?	
9		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
10			BY MR. RONDE:
11	263		Q. And it says that the amount is to
12		be paid to	your counsel; is that correct? That is
13		your unders	tanding of what you agreed to?
14			MR. BRZEZINSKI: It says what it says.
15			BY MR. RONDE:
16	264		Q. So I'm asking you are you keeping
17		the upfront	payment?
18		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
19			BY MR. RONDE:
20	265		Q. Did the Class members approve that
21		that this w	as going to be an upfront payment?
22		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
23			BY MR. RONDE:
24	266		Q. Did the Class members approve that
25		you submitt	ed a claim for 80 million dollars?

1291079 Ontario Limited v. Sears Canada Inc., et al. JAMES KAY on March 29, 2019

		•	
1		R/F	Page 71 MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
2			BY MR. RONDE:
3	267		Q. And in respect of paragraph 4
4		actually, s	orry, I'll move to paragraph 5, and it
5		says:	
б			"No Other Claim: The
7			Representative Plaintiff, on its
8			behalf and on behalf of all other
9			Class Action Plaintiffs, agrees, if
10			a Plan is implemented, not to assert
11			or pursue any further or other claim
12			against the Sears Canada Entities or
13			any of their assets other than its
14			entitlement to receive the Class
15			Action Dividend and the Upfront
16			Payment."
17			So you purported to not assert or
18		pursue any	claims against Sears Canada and those
19		entities by	way of this agreement?
20		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: If it says that, that
21		is what it	is.
22			Don't answer anything further on that.
23			BY MR. RONDE:
24	268		Q. And it says:
25			"While the Representative

		Page 72
1		Plaintiff, on its behalf and on
2		behalf of all other Class Action
3		Plaintiffs, agrees that it shall not
4		require any discovery, production or
5		payment from the Sears Canada
6		Entities []"
7		I take it that you, on behalf of Class
8		members, the Class Action Plaintiffs, waived any
9		right to discovery, production, or payment from the
10		Sears Canada entities?
11		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: It says what it says.
12		Don't answer further questions.
13		BY MR. RONDE:
14	269	Q. And you did this on behalf of the
15		Oppression Class Action Plaintiffs as well?
16		MR. BRZEZINSKI: He signed it the way
17		he signed it.
18		BY MR. RONDE:
19	270	Q. Okay. And paragraph 7 says:
20		"Treatment for Voting Purposes:
21		The Monitor, SCI and the
22		Representative Plaintiff agree that
23		the Representative Plaintiff shall
24		not be entitled to vote on a Plan."
25		Is it your understanding that the Class

1		Page 73 members or the Class Action Plaintiffs can still
2		vote on plans?
3		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
4		BY MR. RONDE:
5	271	Q. Did you provide notice to the
6		Class of this settlement?
7		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
8		BY MR. RONDE:
9	272	Q. Do you know if your counsel is
10		receiving any funds or payment as a result of this
11		settlement?
12		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that
13		question.
14		BY MR. RONDE:
15	273	Q. I would like to take you to
16		paragraph 10, which says:
17		"No Findings: Nothing in this
18		Agreement shall constitute an
19		admission by SCI or a finding by the
20		Monitor concerning any alleged
21		conduct of the defendants to the
22		Class Actions."
23		And the "defendants to the Class
24		Actions," you would agree that includes my clients?
25		MR. BRZEZINSKI: I'm sorry, who are

1		Page 74 your clients?
2		MR. RONDE: You can give it
3		specifically.
4		MR. PICONE: The former directors.
5		
		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Do you know?
6		THE DEPONENT: I don't know.
7		BY MR. RONDE:
8	274	Q. You would agree with me that they
9		are Defendants in the Class Action? You are
10		bringing a Class Action, right?
11		A. Yes.
12	275	Q. You sued certain people?
13		A. Yes.
14	276	Q. You sued the former directors?
15		A. Yes.
16	277	Q. So you would agree with me they
17		are Defendants in this Class Action, the Oppression
18		Class Action?
19		A. Yes.
20	278	Q. Right, so the agreement doesn't
21		constitute an admission or a finding concerning
22		alleged conduct of the Defendants, my client?
23		MR. BRZEZINSKI: It appears to say
24		that.
25		BY MR. RONDE:

1291079 Ontario Limited v. Sears Canada Inc., et al. JAMES KAY on March 29, 2019

			D
1	279		Page 75 Q. I would like to take you to
2		paragraph 1	2, and it says:
3			"Representation of
4			Representative Plaintiff:"
5			And you read this provision, sir?
б			A. Yes.
7	280		Q. All right, it says:
8			"The Representative Plaintiff
9			represents and warrants that:
10			(a) it has the authority to enter
11			into, execute and deliver this
12			Agreement on behalf of all Class
13			Action Plaintiffs."
14			How do you have that authority?
15		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
16			BY MR. RONDE:
17	281		Q. Did you speak to the proposed
18		Class membe	rs in the Oppression Class Action and
19		get their a	uthority?
20		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that
21		question.	
22			BY MR. RONDE:
23	282		Q. Was it done written or orally?
24		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that
25		question.	

		,	
1			Page 76 MR. RONDE: I would like to know the
2		objection to	b that.
3			MR. BRZEZINSKI: Irrelevant.
4			BY MR. RONDE:
5	283		Q. Okay. And you have also
6		represented	and warranted:
7			"that no further or other
8			consent is required for the
9			Representative Plaintiff to enter
10			into, execute and deliver this
11			Agreement on behalf of all Class
12			Action Plaintiffs."
13			Is that your belief?
14		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
15			BY MR. RONDE:
16	284		Q. So you don't believe that there
17		has to be a <u>r</u>	pproval by the Court in respect of this
18		document?	
19		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: He hasn't answered
20		that, and he	e is not going to.
21			BY MR. RONDE:
22	285		Q. Okay. And it says that the
23		Representat	ive Plaintiffs represents and warrants
24		that:	
25			"the terms of this Agreement

1		Page 77 and the effects thereof have been
2		fully explained to the Class Action
3		Plaintiffs."
4		Did you explain the terms of the
5		agreement and the effects thereof to the Class
6		Action Plaintiffs?
7		MR. BRZEZINSKI: There is only one
8		Class Action Plaintiff.
9		MR. RONDE: It says "Class Action
10		Plaintiffs."
11		MR. BRZEZINSKI: There is only one
12		Class Action Plaintiff.
13		BY MR. RONDE:
14	286	Q. Right, but you had executed this
15		in your capacity as class representative? You have
16		executed this agreement on behalf of the Class?
17		A. Correct.
18		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes.
19		BY MR. RONDE:
20	287	Q. Right.
21		MR. BRZEZINSKI: He is the Class
22		representative.
23		MR. RONDE: Right, but it says
24		"Representative Plaintiff" as the person who is
25		making the representations and warranties.

1		Page78 Are you saying he is making a
2		representation in respect of getting consent from
3		himself?
4		MR. BRZEZINSKI: It says what it says,
5		Counsel.
6		MR. RONDE: Well, Counsel, just because
7		you don't like it doesn't mean I don't get an
8		answer. I need to know if here was authority to do
9		this.
10		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Whether I like it or I
11		don't like it, your question is irrelevant.
12		BY MR. RONDE:
13	288	Q. If I can take you to the Schedule
14		"A" to this agreement, if you flip to page 30.
15		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes.
16		BY MR. RONDE:
17	289	Q. "Class Action Plaintiffs" is
18		defined as:
19		"[] all corporations,
20		partnerships, and individuals
21		carrying on business as 'Sears
22		Hometown' stores any time after July
23		5, 2011 pursuant to a standard
24		dealer agreement with SCI under the
25		Class Actions."

1		Page 79 MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes.
2		BY MR. RONDE:
3	290	Q. So you would agree with me when it
4		says Class Action Plaintiffs, it doesn't mean just
5		the Representative Plaintiff?
6		MR. BRZEZINSKI: That is what the
7		agreement says. It says what it says.
8		BY MR. RONDE:
9	291	Q. So you are saying and I just
10		want to be absolutely clear that you fully
11		explained the terms of this agreement to all
12		individuals defined as Class Action Plaintiffs in
13		this agreement?
14		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Refused.
15		BY MR. RONDE:
16	292	Q. And 12 (d), it says:
17		"the Class Action Plaintiffs
18		have received the benefit of counsel
19		in order to fully understand the
20		terms of this Agreement and their
21		effects."
22		So can you explain to me how the Class
23		Action Plaintiffs received the benefit of Counsel?
24		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer the
25		question.

			Page 80
1			BY MR. RONDE:
2	293		Q. Did they receive independent
3		counsel?	
4		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer the
5		question.	
б			BY MR. RONDE:
7	294		Q. Did they receive counsel from the
8		proposed Cl	ass counsel, which includes Mr.
9		Brzezinski'	s firm and Sotos LLP?
10		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
11			BY MR. RONDE:
12	295		Q. In paragraph 13, in respect of the
13		"Binding Ef	fect," it says:
14			"Binding Effect: This
15			Agreement shall be binding upon, and
16			enure to the benefit of the
17			Representative Plaintiff []"
18			That is you, correct?
19			A. Correct.
20	296		Q. Right, and "the Class Action
21		Plaintiffs"	and we have seen it is anyone that
22		was a Homet	own Dealer under that time period as
23		defined in	Schedule "A," correct?
24		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer anything.
25			BY MR. RONDE:

		Date 04
1		Page 81 Q. And "[] SCI, and the
2		Monitor, and their respective
3		successors and assigns."
4		So it is your view this is binding
5		on
б		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: He hasn't expressed
7		the view, and I am not letting him answer the
8		question.
9		MR. RONDE: Well, he executed an
10		agreement that says that exact thing, and I just
11		want to confirm that he knows what he executed. I
12		would think that is important.
13		MR. BRZEZINSKI: I am sure you think
14		that, but we are still refusing.
15		BY MR. RONDE:
16	297	Q. Okay, so I take your view that you
17		don't believe it is important as to whether he
18		knows whether this is a binding agreement or not on
19		Class members?
20		MR. BRZEZINSKI: That is an excellent
21		point that you should raise before the judge.
22		MR. RONDE: I am raising it on
23		cross-examination because I saw this document in
24		your materials.
25		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: That doesn't give you

		Dava 00
1		Page 82 free reign to ask whatever question you want to.
2		Keep it relevant to the certification motion.
3		BY MR. RONDE:
4	298	Q. Paragraph 14 under "Parties of
5		Interest," under the second sentence, it says:
6		"Nothing in this Agreement
7		shall be construed to create any
8		rights or obligations except amongst
9		the parties hereto []"
10		You would agree that my clients, the
11		former directors, are not party to this agreement?
12		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that
13		that.
14		MR. RONDE: I would like to take a
15		brief break. Thank you.
16		(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD.)
17		MR. RONDE: If we could take a brief
18		five or ten-minute break.
19		Mr. Kay, I would ask you not to speak
20		to your counsel with respect to the content of your
21		testimony while you are on break, and I trust that
22		Counsel will not do that. Thank you.
23		MR. BRZEZINSKI: We won't. Thank you.
24		RECESSED AT 11:28 A.M.
25		RESUMED AT 11:44 A.M.

1		Page 83 BY MR. RONDE:
2	299	Q. So we are back on the record at
3		approximately 11:45.
4		Just sort of a question going back, and
5		I just want to I apologize if there is some
6		repetition, but we had asked the question as to
7		whether there were opt-outs or not, and I think
8		there was some uncertainty concerning that
9		question.
10		You said you didn't believe so, but I
11		would ask for an undertaking to confirm whether or
12		not there were opt-outs and how many?
13		U/A MR. BRZEZINSKI: We'll take that under
14		advisement.
15		MR. RONDE: Okay, and that is in
16		respect of the Wishart Act Class Action.
17		MR. BRZEZINSKI: The first one, okay.
18		MR. RONDE: Yes.
19		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes, we'll take that
20		under advisement.
21		BY MR. RONDE:
22	300	Q. Thank you.
23		And we had asked a question in respect
24		of if there was any indemnity provided by
25		specifically, we asked in respect of Counsel, in

1		Page 84 respect of any sort of third party funder or in
2		respect of the class proceedings fund, and we would
3		just ask generally if 129 is being indemnified with
4		respect to either of the Class Actions by anyone at
5		all, and if so, to advise who and the terms of such
6		indemnity?
7		MR. BRZEZINSKI: The answer is no.
8		BY MR. RONDE:
9	301	Q. Your answer is no, there is no
10		indemnity?
11		A. Correct.
12	302	Q. Thank you.
13		MR. LOCKHART: For the record, I think
14		that was an answer from the deponent rather than
15		from Mr. Brzezinski.
16		THE COURT REPORTER: The first part was
17		from Mr. Brzezinski.
18		BY MR. RONDE:
19	303	Q. And in respect of tab C, the
20		settlement agreement, I would like to ask you, Mr.
21		Kay, what is your understanding of the consequences
22		if you breach any of the warranties that you have
23		made in that agreement?
24		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
25		BY MR. RONDE:

1	304	Page 85 Q. If I could have you turn to your
2		own affidavit, and specifically tab K.
3		MR. BRZEZINSKI: K?
4		BY MR. RONDE:
5	305	Q. K, page 184 of the motion record.
б		A. Yes.
7	306	Q. So this is your or 129's, I guess,
8		proposed litigation plan for the Oppression Class
9		Action?
10		A. Yes.
11	307	Q. And you have reviewed this
12		document?
13		A. Yes.
14	308	Q. And did you
15		MR. BRZEZINSKI: To be fair to the
16		witness, it has been amended.
17		MR. RONDE: Okay, but I am asking in
18		respect of this.
19		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Fine.
20		BY MR. RONDE:
21	309	Q. And it was your view, I guess, at
22		the time you swore the affidavit in January of
23		2019, of how you proposed the litigation to
24		proceed?
25		A. The procedure of the litigation,

1		Page 86 in my opinion, is something that is predetermined
2		by the Court or I didn't write this. This was
3		provided to me by counsel.
4	310	Q. Okay.
5		A. But I understood it.
6	311	Q. Did you have input into it?
7		A. No.
8	312	Q. If I could take you to tab C of
9		your affidavit.
10		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Oh, of his affidavit,
11		sorry.
12		MR. RONDE: Yes, sorry, tab C, and I'll
13		give you the page number for reference purposes.
14		It is page 84.
15		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes, we have it.
16		BY MR. RONDE:
17	313	Q. And just to be clear, the matter
18		was this order certifying this as a Class
19		Action, the order was made on September 8th, 2014?
20		A. Apparently, yes.
21	314	Q. So that is September 2014, and the
22		matter was stayed, as I understand and I think
23		you included in your affidavit the stay order it
24		was stayed on March 29th, 2016?
25		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Can you take us to

1		Page 87 that?
2		MR. RONDE: I believe it is at tab G.
3		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes, page 130.
4		BY MR. RONDE:
5	315	Q. Just to be clear, after it was
6		certified, you as Representative Plaintiff, you
7		sought to move the matter forward to obtain a
8		judgment against Sears?
9		A. Yes.
10	316	Q. And you used your best efforts?
11		A. Yes.
12	317	Q. As a Representative Plaintiff,
13		okay.
14		And it was stayed on and I have it
15		on March 29th, 2016, and that is about a year and a
16		half after it was certified?
17		A. Yes.
18	318	Q. At the time it was stayed, you
19		didn't have a trial date?
20		A. I don't remember.
21	319	Q. If I can get an undertaking in
22		respect of that answer.
23		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Why is that relevant?
24		MR. RONDE: The pace of the litigation
25		is relevant.

1291079 Ontario Limited v. Sears Canada Inc., et al. JAMES KAY on March 29, 2019

			Page 88
1		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Refused.
2			BY MR. RONDE:
3	320		Q. You had already mentioned you had
4		exchanged do	ocuments by March 29th, 2016?
5			A. Yes.
б	321		Q. Had you exchanged expert reports
7		in the litig	gation?
8			A. Not to my knowledge.
9	322		Q. So therefore, there hadn't been
10		any cross-ex	aminations on expert reports?
11			A. On expert reports, no.
12	323		Q. Had examinations for discovery
13		taken place?	
14			A. Yes.
15	324		Q. Okay. Can we get a copy of the
16		examination	for discovery in that matter?
17		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: No.
18			BY MR. RONDE:
19	325		Q. Can we have copies of the
20		documents th	nat were exchanged in that matter?
21		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: No.
22			BY MR. RONDE:
23	326		Q. I guess you said there weren't
24		expert repor	rts exchanged?
25			A. No.

1	327	Page 89 Q. Okay. Were there any refusals			
2		motions that had taken place in respect of the			
3		examination for discovery?			
4		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that. It			
5		is totally irrelevant.			
6		MR. RONDE: If we could just go off the			
7		record for a moment.			
8		(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD.)			
9		MR. RONDE: Subject to any questions			
10		arising out of undertakings, under advisements or			
11		refusals, and any documents produced, those are our			
12		questions.			
13		I would like to thank you, Mr. Kay, for			
14		attending today. I think we got you out just			
15		before noon, so I think we are owed a bottle of			
16		wine or something. Thanks very much.			
17		MR. BRZEZINSKI: All right.			
18					
19		Adjourned at 11:56 a.m.			
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	Page 90 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	I, DEANA SANTEDICOLA, RPR, CRR,
5	CSR, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify:
6	That the foregoing proceedings were
7	taken before me at the time and place therein set
8	forth, at which time the witness was put under oath
9	by me;
10	That the testimony of the witness
11	and all objections made at the time of the
12	examination were recorded stenographically by me
13	and were thereafter transcribed;
14	That the foregoing is a true and
15	correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken.
16	
17	
18	Dated this 31st day of March, 2019.
19	
20	
21	12 /2
22	1/ 1/2
23	NEESON COURT REPORTING INC.
24	PER: DEANA SANTEDICOLA, RPR, CRR, CSR
25	

Index: \$10,000,000..212

	111 27:10	135 31:15	167 40:2	198 52:13
\$	112 27:14	136 31:19	168 40:7	199 52:20
\$10,000,000	113 27:18	137 31:22	169 41:4	
67:18 68:14	114 27:23	138 32:1	17 6:23 11:1	2
\$100,000 26:6,7,	115 28:2	139 32:5	170 41:21	2 6:9,19 13:1
10 62:18	116 28:6	14 10:15 82:4	171 42:1	65:9,10
\$101,100,446. 77 57:23	117 28:16	140 32:18	172 42:5	20 11:11
\$20,000 16:18	118 28:19	141 33:3	173 42:13	200 53:18
\$334,495 69:13	119 28:22	142 33:17	174 43:10	2004 14:24
\$80,000,000	11:28 82:24	143 34:2	175 43:16	2006 14:24
65:20	11:44 82:25	144 34:6	176 43:23	15:12,13,14
	11:45 83:3	145 34:10	177 44:7	201 53:22
(11:56 89:19	146 34:15	178 44:23	2011 78:23
(a) 32:5 75:10	12 10:6 75:2	147 34:19	179 45:2	2013 13:24,25 14:1 15:14,17
(d) 79:16	79:16	148 34:22	17th 45:19	17:23 18:11 23:1
(i) 40:11 67:14	120 28:25	149 35:1	18 11:3 13:2,8	25:20 40:12
68:10	121 29:5	14th 36:19	180 45:6	2014 40:23 86:19,21
	122 29:8	15 10:18 32:2	181 45:9	2015 6:23 25:3
1	123 29:11	150 35:6	182 45:14	42:17
1 6:5 7:4 13:11	124 29:14	151 35:12	183 45:16	2016 25:10 86:2
48:2,5	125 29:17	152 35:15	184 45:24 85:5	87:15 88:4
10 9:25 30:1,23	126 29:20	153 35:19	185 46:12	2017 6:21 46:18
68:21 73:16	127 29:22	154 35:23	186 46:16	2018 36:19
100 25:15 26:16 27:12,18 44:8	128 30:5	155 36:3	187 47:6	2019 6:9,25 7:22 12:25 13:2 85:23
62:21	129 13:12,15	156 36:7	188 47:19	202 54:1
101 25:19	14:23 20:11,22,25 24:8,13 30:17	157 36:18	189 48:8	203 54:5
102 25:23	35:13 55:18 58:11 64:17 65:3 84:3	158 36:22	18th 6:25 12:25	204 54:13
103 26:2	129's 85:7	159 37:2	19 11:6	205 54:17
104 26:5	129 3 85.7 1291079 10:19	16 10:23	190 48:22	206 54:23
105 26:10	11:19 12:21	160 37:5	191 48:25	207 55:2
106 26:14	13:10,18	161 37:9	192 49:3	208 55:14
107 26:19	13 10:9 80:12	162 37:13	193 49:10	209 55:21
108 26:23	130 30:20 87:3	163 37:16	194 49:16	21 11:14 42:17
109 27:3	131 30:23	164 38:18	195 51:25	210 55:25
10:08 6:1	132 31:4	165 38:23	196 52:5	210 55:25 211 56:4
11 7:22 10:3	133 31:7	166 39:20	197 52:9	211 50:4 212 57:3
110 27:6	134 31:10			

213 57:18	244 65:1	277 74:16	303 84:19	
214 58:3	245 65:5	278 74:20	304 85:1	4
215 58:7	246 65:9	279 75:1	305 85:5	4 8:20 71:3
216 58:11	247 65:25	28 10:23 13:7	306 85:7	40 15:8
217 58:15	248 66:5	280 75:7	307 85:11	41 15:11
218 58:19	249 66:9	281 75:17	308 85:14	4114/15 42:19
219 58:24	25 12:5 63:14	282 75:23	309 85:21	42 15:13
22 11:18	250 66:15	283 76:5	31 13:22	43 15:16
220 59:3	251 66:18	284 76:16	310 86:4	44 15:20
221 59:8	252 66:21	285 76:22	311 86:6	45 15:23
222 59:13	253 67:1	286 77:14	312 86:8	451 22:1
223 60:12	254 67:4	287 77:20	313 86:17	46 16:6
224 60:19	255 69:1	288 78:13	314 86:21	47 16:11
225 61:5	256 69:6	289 78:17	315 87:5	48 16:15
226 61:10	257 69:11	28th 6:9	316 87:10	49 16:17
227 61:13	258 69:17	29 10:23 13:10	317 87:12	
228 61:16	259 69:21	32:1,3,5	318 87:18	5
229 61:19	26 12:24	290 79:3	319 87:21	E 0:04 04:00 05:4
22nd 6:21	260 70:1	291 79:9	32 13:25 32:18	5 8:24 24:23 25:1, 3 40:12 54:8 55:2
23 10:10 11:22	261 70:4	292 79:16	33:4 34:3	57:19 71:4 78:23
230 61:22	262 70:7	293 80:2	320 88:3	50 16:19
231 62:1	263 70:11	294 80:7	321 88:6	509 61:11,22
232 62:4	264 70:16	295 80:12	322 88:9	62:11,25
233 62:7	265 70:20	296 80:20	323 88:12	51 16:22
234 62:11	266 70:24	297 81:16	324 88:15	52 16:25
235 62:16	267 71:3	298 82:4	325 88:19	53 17:2
236 62:21	268 71:24	299 83:2	326 88:23	54 17:5
237 62:24	269 72:14	29th 86:24 87:15 88:4	327 89:1	55 17:8
238 63:3	27 13:4		33 14:5	56 17:11
238(d) 32:10	270 72:19	3	34 14:8	57 17:16
239 63:8	271 73:5		35 14:11	58 17:22
24 12:2	272 73:9	3 7:7 26:24 66:23, 24	350 29:19	59 18:2
240 63:14	273 73:15	30 13:18 78:14	36 14:14	5th 25:20
241 64:9	274 74:8	300 83:22	37 14:18	6
242 64:15	275 74:12	301 84:9	3769/13 40:14	
243 64:20	276 74:14	302 84:12	38 14:21	6 9:6
	1		39 15:4	60 18:6

Index: 600..arising

600 63:4,8	87 22:15	action 23:3,16,	advised 56:10	alleged 30:6
61 18:9	88 22:25	19 24:13,22 25:2, 6,10,21 29:18	advisement	73:20 74:22
62 18:12	89 23:6	30:6 31:11 32:13,	20:14,19 83:14,20	alternatively
63 18:16	8th 25:10 86:19	23 35:21,24	advisements	27:10
	011 25.10 60.19	36:10,11,20	89:10	amend 9:15
64 18:22	9	40:12,16,20 41:1 42:18,24 43:5,12	affected 53:13	amended 9:3
65 19:4	9	44:9,18 45:5	65:17 66:11,12	10:11 11:14,23
66 19:6	9 7:4 9:20 24:24	49:25 50:6 53:25	67:13	25:5 35:8 36:22 38:11,18,19 41:1
67 19:9	29:23 30:5	54:18,20 57:23	affidavit 6:16,	50:16 57:4 85:16
68 19:13	90 23:14	58:17 60:14,25 61:20 62:13,16	19,25 7:21 8:21 9:2,16 10:7 12:24	amendment
		64:1 65:11,14,17,	13:5,11 24:23	36:24
69 19:18	91 23:18	19 67:20,23,25	25:24 27:19 28:22	
	92 23:23	68:16 69:6 71:9,	29:23 32:3 33:8,	amount 24:9 27:12 53:3,9
7	93 24:1	15 72:2,8,15 73:1 74:9,10,17,18	19 35:7 47:2 50:12 59:23 85:2,	57:25 62:17,25
	94 24:4	75:13,18 76:12	22 86:9,10,23	70:11
7 6:20 9:9 26:24	95 24:7	77:2,6,8,9,12		amounts 56:6
27:4 72:19		78:17 79:4,12,17,	agree 14:14 26:21 30:25 31:10	analysis 50:7
70 19:22	96 24:12	23 80:20 83:16 85:9 86:19	34:10 41:16 47:15	51:10
71 20:2	97 24:20		58:15 64:9 72:22	answering 51:
716 21:8,14	98 25:1	Action' 40:24 43:3	73:24 74:8,16 79:3 82:10	69:4
72 20:5	99 25:9			answers 60:6
73 20:7	00 20.0	Actions 55:5,9 60:22 73:22,24	agreed 63:25 70:13	anymore 16:23
	A	78:25 84:4		_
74 20:10		Actions' 43:5	agreement 10:12,19 14:1	apologies 48:10
75 20:16	a.m. 6:1 82:24,25	active 13:19,20	22:10,15,16 30:7	
76 20:21	89:19		35:8 36:18,23	apologize 12:1 14:25 83:5
77 20:25	ability 50:23,24	actively 13:21, 22	37:25 38:2,5,6	
78 21:6	absolutely		39:7,9,14 41:5 50:11 53:7 57:4	apparently 60: 86:20
	79:10	activity 14:2	63:16 64:10,16,	
79 21:10	accessible 7:15	actual 17:14	22,23 65:2 66:22	appears 10:1 74:23
		additional 6:24	68:4 69:1 71:19 73:18 74:20 75:12	
8	account 50:8	address 50:21	76:11,25 77:5,16	applicable 53:
• • • • • • • •	accountant	addressed 38:9	78:14,24 79:7,11,	approval 76:17
8 9:14 40:23 46:17	17:13	43:17	13,20 80:15	approve 70:20,
-	accurate 47:24	Adjourned	81:10,18 82:6,11 84:20,23	24
80 21:14 44:8 65:21 66:1 70:25	57:1	89:19		approved 12:1
	acquired 15:8	admission	agreement(s) 53:4	48:25
81 21:17	acquisition	73:19 74:21		approximately
82 21:20	15:11,12	advance 67:22	agreements 37:6,10,13,17	16:18 29:19 52:1
83 21:24	Act 23:16 24:21		38:13 39:16	83:3
84 22:3 86:14	26:24 29:18 30:11	adverse 21:2,3 23:8 24:7 70:7	agrees 71:9 72:3	April 45:19
85 22:6	32:11 35:20 40:19 43:2 45:4 50:6,20		-	argumentative
86 22:8	57:22 83:16	advise 12:10 55:11 84:5	ahead 6:12 33:25 49:6 56:20	33:20
	1	00.1104.0	00.20 40.0 00.20	arising 89:10

AMES KAY on Marc	-,			Index: arrivedclien
arrived 27:20	basic 44:19	17:18,20,24 18:18	78:21	62:8 63:9 65:11,
57:25 61:23 66:2	45:22	19:11,23,25		18 66:11,12 67:13
Arthur 40:19	basically 15:22	20:13,18 22:11,	C	70:25 71:6,11
	16:4	19,22 23:24 24:10	—	Claimant 53:13
ascertained	-	25:12 26:8,12	aalaulatian	
59:5	basis 37:20	27:21,25 28:4,8,	calculation	claimed 53:11
assert 71:10,17	bearing 40:13	13 29:24 30:3,15	51:2	56:6 58:16 62:12
asset 15:9	42:18	31:2,13,17,20,24 33:1,5,9,13,21,25	call 23:16 24:21	claiming 27:7
133EL 15.9	behalf 11:4	34:4,8,17,24 35:4,	48:1	45:25
issets 15:17,18,	35:13 48:16 54:6	25 36:5,10,13,16,	called 9:2 10:11	claims 26:20
23,25 16:22 20:23	60:13 61:5,13	25 37:3,7,11,14,		31:15 32:12,23
46:3 71:13	64:17,21 65:5,15	18,21 38:1,7,14,	Canada 25:10	46:18,19,21,23
assignment/	71:8 72:1,2,7,14	20,24 39:3,8,12,	32:10 43:1 46:21	47:1,20 48:6
ransfer 53:7	75:12 76:11 77:16	14,17 41:6,8,18,	71:12,18 72:5,10	52:14 53:6 55:4
	belief 76:13	24 42:8,11 43:8,	capacity 10:20	57:21 58:20 60:21
Issigns 81:3		13,18,21 44:2,4,	35:16 40:10 42:15	63:4 64:1 71:18
assist 52:21	benefit 79:18,23	11,14,21 46:4,7,9	67:9 77:15	clarifying 12:18
SSUME 29:15	80:16	47:3,8,15,22 48:3,	capitalized	
44:18 50:13 66:10	bind 64:22	15,19 49:6,8,12, 18 50:19 51:23	66:9	clarity 6:25
	hinding of 0.47	52:2,7,11,18	care 6:11	class 11:8 12:22
Attached 25:3	binding 65:2,4,7 80:13,14,15 81:4,	53:16,20 54:9,15,		23:3,16,19 24:2,
attempting	18	21,25 55:10,16,	carried 45:11	13,22 25:9,21
49:24		19,23 56:2,9,17,	carrying 78:21	28:17 29:17,18
ttomate 02.4	bird 22:3	21,25 57:6,16		31:11 32:8,20,24
attempts 23:1, 20	black-lined	58:1,5,9,13,22	case 11:18 26:5	34:20 35:20,21,24
-	10:1,4	59:1,6,10,16,20,	28:7,20 29:2,12	40:10,12,16,19,
attending 89:14	Blaney 43:23	25 60:3,7,16 61:2,	50:15,21 60:13, 14,24 61:1	24,25 42:15,18,24 43:3,4,5,10,12,24,
attention 20:8	48:19	7,24 62:2,5,9,14,		25 44:9,10,17,24
46:12		19,22 63:1,6,11	CEO 20:3	45:4,5,11 50:6,19
uthority 75.40	bottle 89:15	64:7,13,18,23 65:23 66:3,7,13,	certification	51:11 53:25
uthority 75:10, 14,19 78:8	bought 14:25	16,19 68:23 69:3,	8:4,15 25:24,25	54:18,20 55:5,8
-	15:4	8,15,18,23 70:2,5,	36:15 50:22 54:7	57:23 58:17
automation		9,14,18,22 71:1,	57:10 82:2	60:14,22,25 61:6,
19:19	breach 26:21,23	20 72:11,16 73:3,	certified 25:20	14,15,17,19,20
award 21:2,3	35:2 40:17 84:22	7,12,25 74:5,23	40:20 41:1 87:6,	62:13,16 64:1,11,
23:9 24:7,14	breach(es) 53:5	75:15,20,24 76:3,	16	21 65:6,7,11,14,
wards 70:8	breached 34:23	14,19 77:7,11,18,	_	16,19 67:19,23,25
		21 78:4,10,15	certifying 86:18	68:15,19,20 69:6, 22 70:20 24 71:0
aware 23:1,19	breaches 27:7	79:1,6,14,24 80:4,	chance 55:14	22 70:20,24 71:9, 14 72:2,7,8,15,25
awnings 19:1	40:18	10,24 81:6,13,20,	characterizatio	73:1,6,22,23 74:9,
	break 12:9 68:8	25 82:12,23		10,17,18 75:12,18
	82:15,18,21	83:13,17,19 84:7, 15,17,24 85:3,15,	n 20:22	76:11 77:2,5,8,9,
В	bring 7:12	19 86:10,15,25	chip 26:14	12,15,16,21
	-	87:3,23 88:1,17,	claim 11:15,19,	78:17,25 79:4,12,
back 21:12 54:23	bringing 74:10	21 89:4,17	22,23 25:5,19	17,22 80:8,20
83:2,4	brought 7:14		26:6 27:16 30:21	81:19 83:16 84:2,
background	11:24 16:1 44:7	Brzezinski's	41:13 45:8 46:23	4 85:8 86:18
33:10,11,14	Brzezinski	80:9	48:13,14 49:17,22	clear 8:7 49:21
	6:10,12,17 7:2,5,	business 13:21,	51:25 52:10 53:2,	52:5 79:10 86:17
Bar 46:24	16,23 8:2,6,11,13,	23 16:1 17:14	6,23,24 54:2,17	87:5
				i
based 49:22	18 9:4,11,17,22	18:25 19:1,10,14 32:11 43:2 45:8	55:8 58:17 59:9 60:13 61:5,11	client 74:22

clients 73:24 74:1 82:10 collectively 40:15 42:23 43:4 commenced 25:3,19 40:11 21:20 42:15 commencing 21:21 6:1 **common** 32:6, 42:13 15 51:8 **company** 11:20, 24 13:13,19 14:3, 6,9,12,16,18 15:13,16,24 16:20,23 17:2,9 42:4 18:7 19:18 20:5,8 21:3,7,11,21,25 22:10 23:2,8,20 24:8 28:12.16 41:2 46:2 compensation 27:11 complainants 32:9 **concern** 15:5 conduct 73:21 74:22 78:19 **confirm** 40:25 81:11 83:11 confirmed 11:20 12:20 consent 76:8 78:2 consequences 84:21 considered 44:10 consistently 50:2 constitute 26:15 73:18 74:21 construed 82:7 **content** 82:20 cost 70:7 contents 37:16

contingent 23:6,9 24:7 32:21.25 34:2.6.7. counsel 11:25 16 58:20,21 59:9 12:11,19 22:23 continue 56:15 25:13 28:9 29:2 31:22 41:9 43:2 continued 25 44:1,10,24 45:4,11 47:19 continues 48:19 49:2,4 52:17,20 54:4 70:12 73:9 78:5 continuing 79:18,23 80:3,7 82:20,22 83:25 contract 26:21 86:3 34:23 40:18 court 36:8 40: controls 14:15 22 42:7,19 46:1 76:17 84:16 86: conversations **CP** 40:14 42:19 **copies** 88:19 **create** 82:7 creates 30:7 **COPY** 17:16 18:12 25:4 47:1,24 credited 67:24 53:18 88:15 creditor 32:2 corporate 17:8, 34:11,16 15,17 18:3 20:10 creditors 32: corporation 34:12,19 46:20 11:4,6 15:1 17:13 22:18 42:21 46:2 **credits** 53:10 corporations cross-19:7 32:11 43:2 examination 6:4 81:23 correct 6:22 cross-10:21,22 15:10 examinations 19:2 26:17 29:16 88:10 30:14 32:16 33:4 cross-examir 35:10 36:13 41:3 60:3 44:1 55:18 56:1 57:1 59:16,24,25 cross-61:1 62:13 63:10, examined 7:1 23 65:2 69:14.17 8:1,3,10 12:3 20 70:12 77:17 80:18,19,23 84:11 D corrected 6:22 correction damages 26:6, 6:15,18 17:12 16 27:7,15 28:2 49:24 51:2 58:7 corresponden 62:4 **Ce** 50:3,4 66:1 date 6:21,22 14:24 46:24 56:16 87:19 costs 21:2,3

18:20 22:21 28:11 44:3 46:8,10 47:4, 12 49:7 54:10 61:3,8 74:6 84:14 describing

42:14 description

	Inde	x: clientsdividendin
	dated 7:22	53:3,11
25	deal 12:11 50:20	details 54:3
3 25 23,	dealer 22:15 23:11 30:7 78:24	determination 27:24 30:25 58:12
	80:22 Dealers 6:21 30:9	determine 64:11 determined
5,6	Dealership	65:22
7,8 5		developed 43:7
J	dealing 11:8 27:1 30:24 35:3	devote 20:7
:13,	54:19 60:15	difficult 56:24
18	debt 16:21	direct 46:12
5:2	Debtor 53:13	directly 51:11
9	December 36:19 46:17	directors 14:8 42:22 46:1 74:4,
24	decision 40:21 49:21	14 82:11 disagree 51:6
1	decision-	disagreed 31:7
	making 14:15	disagrees
:25	Defence 30:21	30:21
)	Defendant 25:9	discounts 53:10
	41:10,15,17,19,22 42:2,8	discovery
	defendants	51:14 72:4,9
	32:13 46:1 48:13	88:12,16 89:3
	65:19 73:21,23 74:9,17,22	discussion 24:18 42:6 82:16
S	Defendants'	89:8
no	40:16 42:25	discussions
ne	define 23:4	37:22,24 38:8,15 49:3,14
19	defined 42:22, 23 43:12 66:10 67:21 78:18 79:12	dispute 56:17, 19
26:2	80:23	distribution
	deliver 75:11 76:10	67:5,12,17 68:13
		distributions
:6,	deponent 17:19	64:3,11

dividend 67:23, 25 69:6 71:15

Dividend' 67:20 68:16

dividending 24:13

Index: document..Holding

document 9:2,	entire 39:5 51:20	48:1,5	financials 29:4	
6,10,21 10:11,15 11:3,12 35:10	entities 46:22	expenses 17:6	finding 30:13	G
47:7,13,21,23	71:12,19 72:6,10	expert 27:23	31:16 36:7 73:19	
48:9,11 52:16	entitled 50:10	51:16 88:6,10,11,	74:21	generally 84:3
53:8 59:14,19	59:18,20,22 67:8,	24	Findings 73:17	give 48:9 55:10
76:18 81:23 85:12 documentary	16 68:2,12,20 72:24	explain 9:9 62:24 77:4 79:22	fine 6:7 13:17 52:22 85:19	57:11 74:2 81:25 86:13
28:20	entitlement			giving 33:9,11,
documentation	71:14	explained 31:22 77:2 79:11	finish 12:15 33:23	13 53:5
52:23 53:2,15,19	enure 80:16	explore 50:10	firm 80:9	good 26:25 35:2 57:16
documents 8:14 28:23,25	ESL 42:21 45:25	59:18	fixtures 16:2	granted 53:12
29:6 88:4,20	essentially	expressed 81:6	flip 24:23 25:7	-
89:11	15:4	extent 52:17,21	78:14	guarantee 22:16 31:19
dollar 27:19 44:8	establish 49:24		flows 50:15	guaranteed
61:11,22 62:11	estate 67:11,18	F	follow 51:13	22:9
66:2 dollars 26:17	68:3,6,14,22 estimated	fact 35:12 36:8	follow-up 56:14	guaranteeing
27:12 62:21 65:21	53:14	facts 44:19	form 54:2	22:17
68:21 70:25	event 65:12 67:6		forms 54:5	guess 8:20 14:2
dollars' 63:4,8	68:6	fair 20:21 26:25 30:24 35:3 85:15	forward 87:7	26:20 57:18 85:7 21 88:23
dropped 42:1	exact 14:24	fairly 29:14	franchise 25:2,	guidance 66:2
Dundas 21:8,14	81:10	faith 26:25 35:2	6 27:8 30:6 31:11	guidelines 12:
duty 26:25 30:24	examination 6:5 7:8 56:15,20	37:19 50:3	32:23	
35:2	88:16 89:3	familiar 11:12	franchisor/	н
	examinations	13:4 35:9 67:1	franchisee 30:8	
E	12:14 88:12	fees 70:4	frankly 41:8	half 87:16
ease 13:1	exceeding 26:7	figure 27:19,20,	50:17	halfway 52:16
	62:18	24 58:12,16 61:23	free 82:1	hand 23:19
easier 13:13	excellent 81:20	62:11	Fresh 11:14,23	handed 47:24
Eddie 46:1	exception	figures 63:3	25:5 41:13	happened
Effect 80:13,14	16:12	file 17:14 40:13 42:19 46:22 48:14	front 7:9 46:13	15:17 16:9 19:9
effects 77:1,5 79:21	excess 62:12	51:25 55:7	full 63:17	Harry 22:4
efforts 87:10	exchanged	filed 17:13,17	full-time 20:8	head 21:6,10,17
email 7:13	88:4,6,20,24	45:4,8 48:15 55:4,	fully 77:2 79:10, 19	21,24
	execute 54:5,8 55:21,25 75:11	11 57:21 60:21 61:5	fund 24:2 84:2	heard 36:15
employees 19:22	76:10	filing 17:8,12	fundamentally	hearing 29:1 36:15
engaging 20:11	executed 11:3 35:13,16 36:18	48:12	51:6,19	hereto 82:9
enrichment	50:14 55:18 59:15	filings 49:1	funder 84:1	
27:11	64:16 65:5 67:2	final 39:7,8	funding 23:2,4,	higher 58:16
enter 75:10 76:9	77:14,16 81:9,11	financial 18:6,9,	20 24:1,4,5	Hold 28:9
entered 37:6,10	exhibit 9:1,25	13 28:7,11 58:3	funds 73:10	Holding 42:20
	25:4 35:7 47:9	62:1		1

indemnify 23:8 legally 57:1 home 22:6 material 7:16. J 18.25 8:8.9 16:9 Hometown indemnifvina legislation 56:20 59:23 30:12 6:21 14:19 15:5 23:23 **James** 6:3,6,7 materials 7:10 18:25 30:9 80:22 indemnity letting 81:7 81:24 January 6:25 Hometown' 83:24 84:6,10 liabilities 16:25 78:22 12:25 13:2 85:22 matter 12:25 independent liable 21:4 54:19 86:17,22 **Jim** 6:6.7 Horkins 7:11 80:2 87:7 88:16,20 **Limited** 11:19 **joint** 56:8 individual matters 6:11 12:21 13:10 L 32:21.25 34:16 judge 42:7 81:21 liquidated **Mcmurtry** 43:24 61:11 judgment 15:19,20 48:20 idea 24:20 individuals 34:10,12,20 87:8 meaning 32:9 78:20 79:12 litigation 8:22 identification iudicial 30:13 9:3,14,15 20:12 47:16 48:3.5 Industrial 19:17 meaningless 31:16 21:1 23:3,7,10,18, 16:5 identify 13:13 **industry** 19:20, 21 28:3,23 44:20 **July** 25:3,19 47:10 meant 58:21.24 45:18 51:18,19 21 40:12 78:22 67:11,19 68:3,7, 59:9 64:6 **ii** 42:16 67:20 information **June** 6:21 15,22 85:8,23,25 28:7.11 39:2 mediation immediately 87:24 88:7 **Justice** 40:22 56:11,16 29:11,14 6:20 living 19:15 **informs** 51:20 member 22:5 implemented Κ **LLP** 80:9 32:20 65:12 67:7 71:10 initial 9:16 12:6 location 16:8 **members** 28:17 implies 38:5,7, **input** 86:6 **Kay** 6:3,6 7:15,25 21:15,18,22 29:18 32:8,25 12 8:21 12:3 50:14 intend 24:8 34:20 61:14 LOCKHART 82:19 84:21 89:13 **imply** 38:11 64:11,21 65:6,7, Interest 82:5 84:13 16 68:19 69:22 Kay's 6:16,19 important 50:14 inventory 15:17 longer 19:13 70:20,24 72:8 60:2 81:12,17 **keeping** 70:1,16 73:1 75:18 81:19 16:6,8,10 **looked** 41:14 improperly knowledge mentioned 6:14 Investments 60:9 22:8 44:19.24 42:21 88:3 Μ inappropriate 88:8 merits 50:5.21 invoices 53:9 50:25 51:10 made 6:18 32:12 involved 19:13 incapable 60:8 L 69:2 84:23 86:19 **million** 26:8,17 irrelevant 52:11 include 8:21 27:12,18 44:8 maintain 16:1 56:21.22 63:11 Lampert 46:1 61:11,22 62:11, 47:1 59:23 69:9 76:3 78:11 19,21,25 63:4,8 **make** 7:7,9,13,15 late 13:24 included 9:16 89:5 13:11 58:11 68:8 65:21 66:1 68:21 16:13 27:19 47:21 lawsuit 40:13 70:25 **issue** 9:18 30:14, **making** 77:25 50:10,12,13 51:7, 42:18 18 31:1,5,8 32:6, **minute** 12:10 9 58:8 86:23 78:1 15 33:5,7,12 lawyers 23:7,23 misheard 22:22 includes 73:24 manufacturing 43:16 44:11 49:4 44:24 19:21 80:8 51:2,3,9 misrepresentat **left** 16:4 including 53:3, **March** 6:9,23 **issued** 46:18 ions 27:3 legal 9:18 30:25 6 56:15 7:22 25:10 86:24 **issues** 8:3 36:14 mistake 41:4 33:5,7,12,14,17, 87:15 88:4 incredibly 51:1,15,16 57:9, 18,22 44:11 53:5 **moment** 24:17 mark 47:8 56:24 10 59:17 60:8,10 57:9 59:17 60:8, 89:7 indemnified 10 70:4 marked 47:16 **money** 20:22 84:3 23:12

Index: home..money

Index: Monitor..proofs

AMES KAY ON Marc				index: Monitorproof
Monitor 46:23	obtained 34:11,		personal 22:16	presume 47:23
49:22 52:25 63:5, 9,19 72:21 73:20	20	P	personally	pretty 16:5
81:2	occurred 28:20	pace 87:24	22:9,17	previous 10:4
motion 7:3,14, 22 8:15,17,25	October 42:16, 17	package 18:15	PICONE 7:3 74:4	12:14 15:1 38:12 primarily 19:20
10:10,24 13:2	office 7:12 21:6,	paid 70:12	place 22:2 88:13	privilege 39:4,6
24:24 25:25 30:2 32:2,3 45:17,18,	10,17,21,25	paragraph 6:20	89:2	privileged
21 50:16,22 51:8	officers 14:9 42:22	13:11 24:23 25:1 29:23 30:5,23	Plaintiff 10:20	37:22 38:15 39:10
57:11 63:15 82:2 85:5		32:1,3,18 33:4	11:7,18 13:14 28:14 35:17,20,24	privy 42:3
motions 89:2	ongoing 17:3,6 Ontario 10:19	34:3 65:9 66:23, 24 71:3,4 72:19	36:1,4,9,20 40:10	problem 22:25
mouth 18:24	11:19 12:21	73:16 75:2 80:12	42:14 43:11 44:17 54:6 55:4 57:21	45:12
move 57:14 71:4	13:10,18 21:9	82:4	60:21 63:20,22	procedural
87:7	40:21	parlance 46:8	65:13 67:8,10,15 68:1,11 71:7 72:1,	50:20,22
multitude 26:19	operated 14:18 15:5,13	parliament 22:5	22,23 75:4,8 76:9 77:8,12,24 79:5	46:19 48:6,12
	operating 14:21	Parrott 22:1,4	80:17 87:6,12	52:14 85:25
N	opinion 86:1	part 18:15,25 54:7 58:4 84:16	Plaintiff's 9:3	proceed 85:24
nature 49:14	opportunity	participate	Plaintiffs 71:9	proceeding 8:22 11:8 12:22
negotiations	47:10	67:17 68:13,21	72:3,8,15 73:1 75:13 76:12,23	24:2 25:17,25
39:18	oppression 23:19 42:24 43:1,	participation	77:3,6,10 78:17	26:20 50:19 51:11 54:18
non-legal 45:23	3,12 45:8 49:24	48:22	79:4,12,17,23 80:21	proceedings
46:8	50:7 54:20 60:13, 25 61:20 62:13,16	particulars 53:1,9	plan 8:22 9:3,14,	68:20 84:2
non- refundable	72:15 74:17 75:18	parties 51:12	15 51:18,19 64:4	proceeds 16:15
68:5	85:8	82:4,9	65:12 67:6 69:12 71:10 72:24 85:8	67:11,19 68:3,7, 15,22
noon 89:15	opt-outs 29:20 83:7,12	partnerships	plans 73:2	process 12:7
notice 50:16	orally 75:23	78:20	pleadings	48:23 51:12,20
51:8,15 73:5 •	order 46:19 47:1,	parts 16:13	50:16 51:9	produce 18:17
number 40:13 42:19 54:8 55:2	20,25 48:6 52:14	party 24:5 41:10 57:8 82:11 84:1	point 33:18 81:21	29:5
57:19 86:13	79:19 86:18,19,23	pay 16:21 23:6,7	pointing 52:21	produced 18:17,19 20:16
numbered 40:2	Order' 46:19	70:4,7	position 7:24	28:3,25 29:3
numerically	original 23:12, 14	paying 24:8,13	50:5 51:21 57:3,	89:11
40:3	originally 23:12	payment 67:21,	14 61:16	production 28:6,20 37:5 52:9
0	62:17	23 68:5 69:11,13, 21 70:17,21 71:16	predetermined 86:1	56:20 72:4,9
	owed 89:15	72:5,9 73:10	preliminary	productions
objection 50:18	owned 16:10	people 74:12	6:11	29:8 proof 46:22
76.0	owner 16:2,14	period 80:22	prepared 11:25	51:25 52:10
76:2				
76:2 obligations 22:9,17 82:8	owners 15:1	permissible	president 14:5	53:23,24 54:2 60:12
obligations		permissible 38:8 person 14:15		53:23,24 54:2 60:12 proofs 48:12,14

55:4.8 57:21 receive 29 60:21 62:8 63:9 Q 64:12 67:9. 68:2,12 69: proposed 11:8 71:14 80:2, question 8:20 32:6 35:25 36:3 12:18 21:12 22:13 43:25 44:8 51:8 received (25:15 33:14,15, 75:17 80:8 85:8, 79:18,23 17,21,22 45:15 23 receiving 51:4 59:11,14 proposing 73:10 60:17 69:19,24 32:16 73:13 75:21,25 RECESSE 78:11 79:25 80:5 proposition 82:24 81:8 82:1 83:4,6, 51:6 68:17 recital 39: 9,23 **proven** 65:17 40:8 41:6 42 questions 66:10,11,15,18 46:13 55:2 12:12,15,17 13:15 67:12 63:17 38:16 40:4 50:25 recitals 39 provide 39:1 56:14 57:5,6 47:6 52:24 53:15 40:7 72:12 89:9,12 56:11 73:5 record 6:1 provided 53:19 22 8:17,25 R 58:4 62:7 63:4 10:10,24 13 66:6 67:13 83:24 24:17,18,24 **R/f** 9:11,17 17:24 86:3 32:2.3 38:2 19:11,23 23:24 63:15 82:16 provider 24:5 24:10 27:21,25 84:13 85:5 28:4 30:15 31:2, providing 39:6 records 7: 13,17,20,24 33:1, provincial 56:10 65:25 5 34:4,8,17,24 30:11 35:4 36:25 37:3,7, refer 13:14 11,14,18 38:14, provision 56:5 41:12 69:7 20,24 43:8 46:4 64:6 75:5 reference 49:12,18 51:4 purchase 15:9 12 86:13 52:11 53:16,20 54:15,21 55:23 referenced purport 9:15 56:2 58:1,5,9,13, 47:20 purported 22 59:1,6 61:24 referring 71:17 62:2,5,9 63:1,11 40:3 64:7,18 65:23 purporting 66:3,7,13,16,19 refers 60:2 64:22 68:23 69:3,8,18, reflected purports 10:18 23 70:2,5,9,18,22 71:1,20 72:11 refusal 19 purpose 9:21 73:3,7,12 75:15, 37:20 45:17,20 64:2,10 20,24 76:14,19 refusals 8 purposes 12:22 78:10 79:14,24 29:1 47:16 48:4 80:4,10,24 81:6, refuse 59: 49:23 67:6 72:20 25 82:12 84:24 **Refused** 2 86:13 88:1,17,21 89:4 37:7,11,14, pursuant 40:20 raise 81:21 66:7 79:14 64:3 68:4 78:23 raising 81:22 refusing a pursue 71:11,18 read 10:6 39:21 reign 82:1 put 8:14 18:23 40:5 47:22 55:22 23:12 50:2 51:7 56:4 66:24 75:5 relating 8 68:17

Index: proposed..retail

	Ir	ndex: proposedreta
9:8 ,16	relationship 30:8	represented 76:6
:13 ,7	released 40:22 relevance	representing 10:21
69:12	49:18,20 50:18	represents 75:9 76:23
64:3	relevant 7:17 8:9,15 49:25 50:7,	require 72:4
Đ	9 51:12,19,22 57:5,10 82:2 87:23,25	required 15:25 76:8
21	relied 49:2 54:4	requiring 46:20
2:14 57:19	relief 27:15	resolutions 20:11
	relying 49:21,23	respect 6:16 7:7
9:25	remain 16:19	12:7,12,17,25 14:15 16:8 17:9
14 7:4,	remaining 16:5	20:11 23:2,8,15,
9:1	remember	18 25:23 26:2
3:2,8	14:23 15:2 54:9, 11 87:20	27:7,18 28:19 30:14,23 32:12
4 30:2 2 50:2	repeat 22:12	34:11 35:20 43:11
6 83:2	-	48:12 50:18 52:1
89:7,8	repetition 83:6	54:18 55:5,8 56:14 57:7,18,22
':14	repetitive 12:14	59:3 60:14,22
5 66:5	report 6:16 28:2	62:8 71:3 76:17 78:2 80:12 82:20
4 24:22	REPORTER 84:16	83:16,23,25 84:1, 2,4,19 85:18
13:1,	reports 51:16	87:22 89:2
d	58:7 62:4 88:6,10, 11,24	respective 32:22 81:2
	represent 61:15,17	responsibilitie
18:3		S 44:16
25	representation 75:3 78:2	rest 56:5
51:17	representation s 77:25	35:8 36:23 38:12, 18,23 57:4
9:24	representative	restatement
39:1,11	10:20 11:7 12:21 13:14 35:16,19,23	37:2
:21,22	36:1,4,8,19 40:9, 11 42:14,15 43:11	restitution 27:11
22:11 ,18	44:17 54:6 55:3 57:20 60:20	result 73:10
88:1	63:20,22 65:13	RESUMED
81:14	67:7,10,15 68:1,	82:25
:3	11 71:7,25 72:22, 23 75:4,8 76:9,23 77:15,22,24 79:5 80:17 87:6,12	retail 16:8 21:15, 18,22

Index: retain..term

JAMES KAY on Marc	ch 29, 2019			Index: retainterm
retain 56:13	20,23 63:2,7,13	seeks 11:7	space 19:4	submission
retainer 23:13,	64:8,14,19,25	sell 15:23	spare 16:13	56:8
15	65:24 66:4,8,14,		-	submitted
return 17:17	17,20 68:25 69:5, 10,16,20,25 70:3,	sentence 82:5	speak 75:17 82:19	49:17 53:23 60:12
	6,10,15,19,23	sentences		63:9 70:25
returns 17:9,15,	71:2,23 72:13,18	33:24	specific 33:14	substantive
22 18:3,4	73:4,8,14 74:2,7,	separate 19:6	specifically	51:1,3
revenue 17:3	25 75:16,22 76:1, 4,15,21 77:9,13,	56:6	74:3 83:25 85:2	success 31:19
review 49:16	19,23 78:6,12,16	separately 56:7	speculative	successful
53:22 54:1	79:2,8,15 80:1,6,	September	31:15 34:22 35:1	21:2 24:12,14
reviewed 85:11	11,25 81:9,15,22	40:23 86:19,21	58:25	29:15
reviews 47:12	82:3,14,17 83:1,	Seretis 50:12	stakes 44:18	successors
	15,18,21 84:8,18, 25 85:4,17,20	Seretis' 9:2 10:6	stand 8:12	81:3
rights 82:8	86:12,16 87:2,4,	35:7	standard 78:23	sued 74:12,14
rise 53:5	24 88:2,18,22			Superior 40:21
robotic 19:19	89:6,9	settlement 10:12 35:8 36:23	start 14:21	•
Robotics 19:17	roughly 15:14	37:6,9,21,24,25	started 15:2	supplementary
Roebuck 40:15	29:17	38:1,4,8,12,15	statement	7:21,22 8:17,25 10:10
41:1,10		39:4,6 57:4 63:16	11:15,19,22,23	
RONDE 6:4,13,	S	64:10 73:6,11 84:20	18:10,13 25:5 30:20 41:13 55:15	supply 19:19
24 7:6,20,24 8:5,			58:17	support 23:10
7,12,16,19 9:5,13,	sale 16:13,16	share 15:8 19:4	statements	supporting
19,24 17:21 18:1,	Schedule 52:14	shared 69:21	18:6 58:4 62:1	53:2
21 19:12,24 20:1, 15,20 22:14,24	78:13 80:23	shareholders	statute 50:20	suppose 22:1
23:25 24:11,16,19	SCI 40:14 42:20	14:11 24:14		swore 7:17 8:8
25:14 26:9,13	63:19 72:21 73:19	short-sell 27:14	statutes 27:8	12:24 25:24 85:22
27:22 28:1,5,15	78:24 81:1	side 29:1 45:13	statutory 27:3,7	sworn 6:3 28:22
30:1,4,16 31:3,14,	Scrapped 15:22		30:24	
18,21,25 33:2,7, 11,16,23 34:1,5,9,	Sears 14:1,18	signature 11:1 13:7	stay 86:23	т
18,25 35:5 36:2,6,	15:5 16:1,10		stayed 86:22,24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11,14,17 37:1,4,8,	18:24 21:2 22:10	signed 72:16,17	87:14,18	tab 7:4 9:1,25
12,15,23 38:4,10,	25:10 29:9 30:10, 20 31:7 32:22	similar 53:8	steel 19:20	10:4,9 11:11 13:1
17,22 39:1,5,10, 13,15,19 41:7,12,	34:20,23 35:3	simple 44:19	step 12:10 21:12	25:7 26:5 54:24
20,25 42:10,12	40:14 41:1,10,15	simply 50:22	-	84:19 85:2 86:8,
43:9,15,20,22	42:20 45:7 46:21	51:7	steps 58:11	12 87:2
44:6,13,15,22	48:13 71:12,18 72:5,10 78:21	sir 9:7 10:13 11:1	store 14:19,22	taking 7:24
46:6,11 47:5,17, 18 48:1,7,17,21	87:8	75:5	15:6 16:2 18:25 23:11	16:14 51:21
49:9,15,20 51:5,	section 26:24	smoothly 7:8		talking 24:21
24 52:4,8,12,19	27:4 32:10 65:10		stores 16:7 23:11 78:22	39:24
53:17,21 54:12,	security 53:12,	sold 15:25 16:2, 14		tax 18:3
16,22 55:1,13,17, 20,24 56:3,13,18,	14		Street 21:8	Tebulo 19:16
22 57:2,13,17	seek 23:2,20	sort 12:6 19:18 66:23 83:4 84:1	strike 33:18,19	ten-minute
58:2,6,10,14,23	24:1,4		stripped 46:2	82:18
59:2,7,12,18,22	seeking 26:16	Sotos 43:23 80:9	subject 30:10	term 28:21 66:9,
60:1,5,11,18 61:4, 9,25 62:3,6,10,15,	Secking 20.10	sought 27:15	89:9	10
0,20 02.0,0,10,10,		87:7		

Index: terminated..years

			index. le	rminatedyea
terminated	U/t 17:20 18:18 55:10	upfront 67:21 68:5 69:11,13	wrote 34:6	
terminology 34:13	ultimately 25:20	70:17,21 71:15	Y	
terms 52:21	unable 60:9	V	year 17:15 87:15	
76:25 77:4 79:11, 20 84:5	uncertainty 83:8	version 10:1,4	years 15:14	
testimony 82:21	underlying 50:6 51:11	view 32:24 39:11 50:1 65:1,6 81:4,		
thereof 77:1,5	understand	7,16 85:21		
thing 39:5 81:10	7:11 13:25 16:7	vote 72:24 73:2		
things 16:3 39:15 40:17 43:1, 7	18:22,24 20:25 28:21 34:13 45:12,16 46:5,7 48:8 50:17 52:13	voting 64:2 72:20		
thought 8:9 60:1	55:17 62:17 66:21	W		
64:16,21	79:19 86:22	waived 72:8		
time 29:4 41:11, 18,21 42:9 57:11 78:22 80:22 85:22	understanding 10:3 11:15 25:17 43:6 45:3,10,22,	wanted 6:13,15, 16 7:14		
87:18	24 47:19 48:11	warranted 76:6		
times 38:19,23 62:25	58:21 59:4,5,8 64:5 66:22 68:9, 18 70:13 72:25	warranties 77:25 84:22		
today 7:10 10:21 11:9 36:12 60:15 61:1 89:14	84:21 understands 57:8	warrants 75:9 76:23		
told 43:13,18	understood	wife 14:10,13		
total 63:3	34:15 86:5	wine 89:16		
totally 89:5	undertaken	Wishart 23:16 24:21 26:24 29:18		
touch 7:12	56:23	30:10 35:20		
transaction(s) 53:4	undertaking 54:13 55:11 83:11 87:21	40:16,19,23 43:4 45:4 50:6 54:18 57:22 58:17		
treated 67:22	undertakings	65:14,16,18 83:16		
treatment 64:1	89:10	wood 19:20		
67:5 72:20	unjust 27:11	Woodstock		
trial 30:17 31:4 87:19	unliquidated	21:8		
true 56:1	58:20 59:3,4,9	words 6:20 18:23		
trust 82:21	unsecured 65:18 66:11,12	works 66:22		
turn 52:15 85:1	67:13	worth 63:4,8		
turn 52.15 85.1	unsuccessful	write 86:2		
U	31:12	written 75:23		
U/a 20:13,18 83:13	upcoming 45:16,17	wrong 55:15 68:19		

In the Matter Of: 1291079 Ontario Limited v. Sears Canada Inc., et al.

> ANDY SERETIS March 29, 2019



77 King Street West, Suite 2020 Toronto, ON M5K 1A2 1.888.525.6666 | 416.413.7755

1	Court File No. 4114/15
2	
3	ONTARIO
4	SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
5	
6	BETWEEN:
7	1291079 ONTARIO LIMITED
8	Plaintiff
9	
10	- and -
11	
12	SEARS CANADA INC., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, ESL
13	INVESTMENTS INC., WILLIAM C. CROWLEY, WILLIAM R.
14	HARKER, DONALD CAMPBELL ROSS, EPHRAIM J. BIRD,
15	DEBORAH E. ROSATI, R. RAJA KHANNA, JAMES MCBURNEY
16	and DOUGLAS CAMPBELL
17	Defendants
18	
19	
20	This is the Cross-Examination of ANDY SERETIS,
21	on his affidavit sworn March 11, 2019, taken at the
22	offices of Neesons Court Reporting, 77 King Street
23	West, Suite 2020, Toronto, Ontario, on the 29th day
24	of March, 2019.
25	

		_
1	A P P E A R A N C E S:	Page 2
2		
3	LOU BRZEZINSKI, Esq.,	For the Plaintiff
4	& STEPHEN GAUDREAU, Esq.,	
5		
6	DEREK RONDE, Esq.,	for the Defendants
7	& JOHN PICONE, Esq.,	William Crowley,
8	& JOSEPH HAMALIUK, Esq.,	William R. Harker,
9		Donald Campbell Ross,
10		Ephraim J. Bird, James
11		McBurney and Douglas
12		Campbell
13		
14	ANDREW FAITH, Esq.,	for the Defendant
15	& EMMA CARVER, Esq.,	ESL Investments Inc.
16	& SANDY LOCKHART, Esq.,	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	REPORTED BY: Deana Santedic	ola, RPR, CRR, CSR
23		
24		
25		

1	Page 3 INDEX
2	
3	WITNESS: ANDY SERETIS
4	PAGES
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RONDE6-28
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FAITH28-35
7	
8	
9	
10	**The following list of undertakings, advisements
11	and refusals is meant as a guide only for the
12	assistance of counsel and no other purpose**
13	
14	INDEX OF UNDERTAKINGS
15	The questions/requests undertaken are noted by U/T
16	and appear on the following pages: [NONE]
17	
18	INDEX OF ADVISEMENTS
19	The questions/requests taken under advisement are
20	noted by U/A and appear on the following pages:
21	[NONE]
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Page 4 INDEX (Cont'd)
2	
3	INDEX OF REFUSALS
4	The questions/requests refused are noted by R/F and
5	appear on the following pages: 8:6, 8:10, 8:16,
6	8:22, 9:3, 9:24, 10:4, 10:8, 10:16, 10:23, 11:3,
7	11:17, 11:22, 12:13, 13:15, 14:3, 14:9, 15:17,
8	15:23, 16:5, 20:24, 21:6, 22:11, 23:2, 23:15,
9	23:24, 24:3, 24:25, 25:6, 25:10, 25:19, 25:25,
10	26:7, 26:12, 26:16, 26:21, 27:13
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25	

1291079 Ontario Limited v. Sears Canada Inc., et al. ANDY SERETIS on March 29, 2019

1	INDEX OF EXHIBITS		Page 5
2			
3	NO. DESCRIPTION	PAGE/LINE	NO
4	(No exhibits marked.)	FAGE/ DINE	NO.
5	(NO EXHIBITS Marked.)		
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1		Page 6 Upon commencing at 12:10 p.m.
2		
3		ANDY SERETIS; Sworn.
4		CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RONDE:
5	1	Q. This is the examination of
6		Mr. Andy Seretis on March 28th, 2019.
7		Good afternoon, Mr. Seretis.
8		A. Good afternoon.
9	2	Q. Oh, sorry, it is the 29th today.
10		Mr. Seretis, if you could confirm you
11		have been sworn in.
12		A. I have, yes.
13	3	Q. And you are counsel to the
14		proposed Representative Plaintiff in this action?
15		A. Yes, our firm is, together with
16		our co-counsel, Blaney McMurtry.
17	4	Q. And you have been you weren't
18		here for the examination of Mr. Kay, but just to
19		ensure that there is some consistency in the terms
20		that we use, it is your understanding that there
21		was originally a Class Action, a franchise-based
22		Class Action brought back in 2013?
23		A. There was a Class Action brought
24		by the Plaintiff in this action back in 2013, yes.
25	5	Q. We have been calling that the

	-	
1		Page7 Wishart Act Class Action, so when I refer to the
2		Wishart Act Class Action, it would be in respect of
3		that claim.
4		A. I understand.
5	6	Q. And this claim sorry, the
6		matter at hand, I guess, would be the oppression,
7		what we are referring to as the Oppression Class
8		Action, just to keep the two separate.
9		A. That works.
10	7	Q. And in respect of the Wishart Act
11		Class Action, you were also counsel for 129 as the
12		Representative Plaintiff in that action as well?
13		A. Correct, our firm was, yes.
14	8	Q. But you were?
15		A. I was as well, yes.
16	9	Q. And you worked on the
17		certification hearing?
18		A. Yes, I did.
19	10	Q. And you worked on it subsequent to
20		the certification hearing, until it was eventually
21		stayed?
22		A. Yes, I never stopped working on
23		the file.
24	11	Q. Are you aware as to the extent to
25		which 129 has any corporate assets?

1		Page 8 A. My understanding is that it
2		currently does not have any assets.
3	12	Q. And your firm has not indemnified
4		the Representative Plaintiff company in respect of
5		any potential future adverse cost award?
6		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
7		BY MR. RONDE:
8	13	Q. You have had experience in class
9		actions before, Mr. Seretis, correct?
10		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer. Don't
11		answer the question. It is irrelevant.
12		BY MR. RONDE:
13	14	Q. And you are aware that plaintiffs
14		in class actions have the potential to face adverse
15		cost awards by successful defendants?
16		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
17		BY MR. RONDE:
18	15	Q. In fact, you were counsel for Pet
19		Valu in respect of an adverse costs award awarded
20		against a Representative Plaintiff in a class
21		action brought against Pet Valu?
22		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
23		BY MR. RONDE:
24	16	Q. I was on that case against you,
25		Mr. Seretis, in which we sought to enforce the

2 company. Do you recall that? 3 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer. 4 Are you making speeches, or are you asking 5 questions? 6 MR. RONDE: I believe "Do you recall that?" is a question. 8 MR. BRZEZINSKI: I think the preamble 9 before that was a rather lengthy speech. 10 MR. RONDE: Well, I am trying to advise 11 Mr. Seretis what I am referring to. That is part 12 and parcel of asking the questions. 13 It is my examination, sir, and I would 14 ask for you not to constantly interrupt it. We 15 have a stream of hundreds of refusals, I would say, 16 reasonably, right now on questions that directly 17 relate to the issues at hand, so there has already 18 been enough obstruction in respect of answers. I 19 would appreciate there not being anymore. 20 MR. RONDE: 21 AP MR. RONDE: 23 17 Q. Do you recall that case, sir? 24 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer. 25 EY MR. RONDE:	1		Page9 award against the individual, the owner of that
4Are you making speeches, or are you asking5questions?6MR. RONDE: I believe "Do you recall7that?" is a question.8MR. BRZEZINSKI: I think the preamble9before that was a rather lengthy speech.10MR. RONDE: Well, I am trying to advise11Mr. Seretis what I am referring to. That is part12and parcel of asking the questions.13It is my examination, sir, and I would14ask for you not to constantly interrupt it. We15have a stream of hundreds of refusals, I would say,16reasonably, right now on questions that directly17relate to the issues at hand, so there has already18been enough obstruction in respect of answers. I19would appreciate there not being anymore.20MR. BRZEZINSKI: Ask proper questions,21you won't get refusals.22EY MR. RONDE:2317Q. Do you recall that case, sir?24R/FMR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.	2		company. Do you recall that?
5 questions? 6 MR. RONDE: I believe "Do you recall 7 that?" is a question. 8 MR. BRZEZINSKI: I think the preamble 9 before that was a rather lengthy speech. 10 MR. RONDE: Well, I am trying to advise 11 Mr. Seretis what I am referring to. That is part 12 and parcel of asking the questions. 13 It is my examination, sir, and I would 14 ask for you not to constantly interrupt it. We 15 have a stream of hundreds of refusals, I would say, 16 reasonably, right now on questions that directly 17 relate to the issues at hand, so there has already 18 been enough obstruction in respect of answers. I 19 would appreciate there not being anymore. 20 MR. BRZEZINSKI: Ask proper questions, 21 you won't get refusals. 22 BY MR. RONDE: 23 17 24 R/F 25 MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.	3		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
6 MR. RONDE: I believe "Do you recall 7 that?" is a question. 8 MR. BRZEZINSKI: I think the preamble 9 before that was a rather lengthy speech. 10 MR. RONDE: Well, I am trying to advise 11 Mr. Seretis what I am referring to. That is part 12 and parcel of asking the questions. 13 It is my examination, sir, and I would 14 ask for you not to constantly interrupt it. We 15 have a stream of hundreds of refusals, I would say, 16 reasonably, right now on questions that directly 17 relate to the issues at hand, so there has already 18 been enough obstruction in respect of answers. I 19 would appreciate there not being anymore. 20 MR. BRZEZINSKI: Ask proper questions, 21 pyou won't get refusals. 22 BY MR. RONDE: 23 17 Q. Do you recall that case, sir? 24 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.	4		Are you making speeches, or are you asking
7that?" is a question.8MR. BRZEZINSKI: I think the preamble9before that was a rather lengthy speech.10MR. RONDE: Well, I am trying to advise11Mr. Seretis what I am referring to. That is part12and parcel of asking the questions.13It is my examination, sir, and I would14ask for you not to constantly interrupt it. We15have a stream of hundreds of refusals, I would say,16reasonably, right now on questions that directly17relate to the issues at hand, so there has already18been enough obstruction in respect of answers. I19would appreciate there not being anymore.20MR. BRZEZINSKI: Ask proper questions,21you won't get refusals.22BY MR. RONDE:231724R/F24R/F	5		questions?
8 MR. BRZEZINSKI: I think the preamble 9 before that was a rather lengthy speech. 10 MR. RONDE: Well, I am trying to advise 11 Mr. Seretis what I am referring to. That is part 12 and parcel of asking the questions. 13 It is my examination, sir, and I would 14 ask for you not to constantly interrupt it. We 15 have a stream of hundreds of refusals, I would say, 16 reasonably, right now on questions that directly 17 relate to the issues at hand, so there has already 18 been enough obstruction in respect of answers. I 19 would appreciate there not being anymore. 20 MR. BRZEZINSKI: Ask proper questions, 21 you won't get refusals. 22 BY MR. RONDE: 23 17 24 R/F 25 MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.	б		MR. RONDE: I believe "Do you recall
9 before that was a rather lengthy speech. 10 MR. RONDE: Well, I am trying to advise 11 Mr. Seretis what I am referring to. That is part 12 and parcel of asking the questions. 13 It is my examination, sir, and I would 14 ask for you not to constantly interrupt it. We 15 have a stream of hundreds of refusals, I would say, 16 reasonably, right now on questions that directly 17 relate to the issues at hand, so there has already 18 been enough obstruction in respect of answers. I 19 would appreciate there not being anymore. 20 MR. BRZEZINSKI: Ask proper questions, 21 you won't get refusals. 22 BY MR. RONDE: 23 17 Q. Do you recall that case, sir? 24 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.	7		that?" is a question.
10MR. RONDE: Well, I am trying to advise11Mr. Seretis what I am referring to. That is part12and parcel of asking the questions.13It is my examination, sir, and I would14ask for you not to constantly interrupt it. We15have a stream of hundreds of refusals, I would say,16reasonably, right now on questions that directly17relate to the issues at hand, so there has already18been enough obstruction in respect of answers. I19would appreciate there not being anymore.20MR. BRZEZINSKI: Ask proper questions,21you won't get refusals.22BY MR. RONDE:231724R/FR/FMR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.	8		MR. BRZEZINSKI: I think the preamble
11Mr. Seretis what I am referring to. That is part12and parcel of asking the questions.13It is my examination, sir, and I would14ask for you not to constantly interrupt it. We15have a stream of hundreds of refusals, I would say,16reasonably, right now on questions that directly17relate to the issues at hand, so there has already18been enough obstruction in respect of answers. I19would appreciate there not being anymore.20MR. BRZEZINSKI: Ask proper questions,21you won't get refusals.22BY MR. RONDE:231724R/F25MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.	9		before that was a rather lengthy speech.
12and parcel of asking the questions.13It is my examination, sir, and I would14ask for you not to constantly interrupt it. We15have a stream of hundreds of refusals, I would say,16reasonably, right now on questions that directly17relate to the issues at hand, so there has already18been enough obstruction in respect of answers. I19would appreciate there not being anymore.20MR. BRZEZINSKI: Ask proper questions,21you won't get refusals.22BY MR. RONDE:231724R/F25MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.	10		MR. RONDE: Well, I am trying to advise
13It is my examination, sir, and I would14ask for you not to constantly interrupt it. We15have a stream of hundreds of refusals, I would say,16reasonably, right now on questions that directly17relate to the issues at hand, so there has already18been enough obstruction in respect of answers. I19would appreciate there not being anymore.20MR. BRZEZINSKI: Ask proper questions,21you won't get refusals.22BY MR. RONDE:231724R/F25MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.	11		Mr. Seretis what I am referring to. That is part
14ask for you not to constantly interrupt it. We15have a stream of hundreds of refusals, I would say,16reasonably, right now on questions that directly17relate to the issues at hand, so there has already18been enough obstruction in respect of answers. I19would appreciate there not being anymore.20MR. BRZEZINSKI: Ask proper questions,21you won't get refusals.22BY MR. RONDE:231724R/F25MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.	12		and parcel of asking the questions.
15 have a stream of hundreds of refusals, I would say, reasonably, right now on questions that directly relate to the issues at hand, so there has already been enough obstruction in respect of answers. I would appreciate there not being anymore. MR. BRZEZINSKI: Ask proper questions, you won't get refusals. 20 BY MR. RONDE: 23 17 Q. Do you recall that case, sir? 24 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.	13		It is my examination, sir, and I would
<pre>16 reasonably, right now on questions that directly 17 relate to the issues at hand, so there has already 18 been enough obstruction in respect of answers. I 19 would appreciate there not being anymore. 20 MR. BRZEZINSKI: Ask proper questions, 21 you won't get refusals. 22 BY MR. RONDE: 23 17 Q. Do you recall that case, sir? 24 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.</pre>	14		ask for you not to constantly interrupt it. We
17 relate to the issues at hand, so there has already 18 been enough obstruction in respect of answers. I 19 would appreciate there not being anymore. 20 MR. BRZEZINSKI: Ask proper questions, 21 you won't get refusals. 22 BY MR. RONDE: 23 17 Q. Do you recall that case, sir? 24 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.	15		have a stream of hundreds of refusals, I would say,
18 been enough obstruction in respect of answers. I 19 would appreciate there not being anymore. 20 MR. BRZEZINSKI: Ask proper questions, 21 you won't get refusals. 22 BY MR. RONDE: 23 17 Q. Do you recall that case, sir? 24 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.	16		reasonably, right now on questions that directly
 19 would appreciate there not being anymore. 20 MR. BRZEZINSKI: Ask proper questions, 21 you won't get refusals. 22 BY MR. RONDE: 23 17 Q. Do you recall that case, sir? 24 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer. 	17		relate to the issues at hand, so there has already
20 MR. BRZEZINSKI: Ask proper questions, 21 you won't get refusals. 22 BY MR. RONDE: 23 17 Q. Do you recall that case, sir? 24 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.	18		been enough obstruction in respect of answers. I
21 you won't get refusals. 22 BY MR. RONDE: 23 17 Q. Do you recall that case, sir? 24 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.	19		would appreciate there not being anymore.
22BY MR. RONDE:2317Q. Do you recall that case, sir?24R/FMR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.	20		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Ask proper questions,
2317Q. Do you recall that case, sir?24R/FMR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.	21		you won't get refusals.
24 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.	22		BY MR. RONDE:
	23	17	Q. Do you recall that case, sir?
25 BY MR. RONDE:	24		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
	25		BY MR. RONDE:

1291079 Ontario Limited v. Sears Canada Inc., et al. ANDY SERETIS on March 29, 2019

1	18		Page 10 Q. And do you recall that there was a
2		1.7 million	dollar adverse costs award against the
3		Representat	ive Plaintiff in that case?
4		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
5			BY MR. RONDE:
6	19		Q. You were counsel. You understand
7		what I am re	eferring to?
8		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: It is irrelevant, and
9		you know it	
10			Don't answer.
11			BY MR. RONDE:
12	20		Q. And you understand in respect of
13		the General	Motors case that your firm worked on,
14		there was a	multimillion dollar adverse cost award
15		against the	Plaintiff?
16		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
17			It is irrelevant, and you know it.
18			BY MR. RONDE:
19	21		Q. You would agree with me, sir, that
20		it would be	important for a Representative
21		Plaintiff to	o have assets to be able to pay these
22		adverse cost	t awards?
23		R/F	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that
24		question.	It is irrelevant.
25			BY MR. RONDE:

		Page 11
1	22	Q. Do you look to enforce cost awards
2		against your client when
3		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that. It
4		is irrelevant.
5		BY MR. RONDE:
6	23	Q. I would like to take you to
7		Exhibit "C" to your affidavit. So this is an
8		exhibit to your affidavit; correct, sir?
9		A. Yes, it is.
10	24	Q. You swore this affidavit on about
11		March 11, 2019?
12		A. Yes, I did.
13	25	Q. And the purpose of this affidavit
14		was to include what you thought were relevant
15		materials for the purposes of the certification
16		motion?
17		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: What he thought is
18		irrelevant. It is what it is.
19		BY MR. RONDE:
20	26	Q. Are you going to answer the
21		question?
22		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: No, don't answer.
23		BY MR. RONDE:
24	27	Q. The Amended and Restated
25		Settlement Agreement, this is at tab C. How did

1		Page 12 you obtain this document?
2		A. Our client, 129, is a party to the
3		agreement.
4	28	Q. Okay. And you are familiar with
5	20	this document? You have read it?
6		A. Yes, I have.
7	29	
	29	Q. Your client executed this
8		agreement, correct?
9		A. Yes, it did.
10	30	Q. Your firm or the other counsel,
11		2129, did they provide legal advice in respect of
12		the execution of this agreement?
13		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
14		BY MR. RONDE:
15	31	Q. Did you assist in the proof of
16		claims referred to in this agreement? Did you help
17		prepare those proofs of claim?
18		A. Sorry, which proof of claims?
19	32	Q. Well, I understand you have read
20		this agreement, correct?
21		A. I have, yes.
22	33	Q. I am going to refer you to the
23		fifth recital. It refers to proofs of claim being
24		submitted as part of the claims procedure process.
25		Are you familiar with that?

			.	
1		A	•	Page 13 I am familiar with the proofs of
2		claims, yes.		
3	34	Q	•	So you have seen those proofs of
4		claim?		
5		A	•	Yes, I have.
6	35	Q	.]	Did you help draft those proofs of
7		claim?		
8		A	•	I'm sorry, did I assist the client
9		in preparing a	and	filing the proof of claim? Is
10		that the quest	tion	?
11	36	Q	•	Yes.
12		A.	•	Yes.
13	37	Q	• (Okay, so you drafted the proofs of
14		claim?		
15		R/F MF	R.B	RZEZINSKI: I'm sorry, don't
16		answer that.		
17		MF	R. R(ONDE: I think it is relevant that
18		this is a docu	umen	t that he included in his own
19		affidavit; and	d we	are not allowed to explore its
20		contents, acco	ordi	ng to you?
21		MF	R.B	RZEZINSKI: I have only refused
22		one question s	so fa	ar. You are presupposing.
23		Wł	hy d	on't you go ahead, and you'll see
24		if you are rig	ght.	
25		B	Y MR	. RONDE:

1	38	Page 14 Q. So can you produce the proofs of
2		claim, sir?
3		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: That is not relevant.
4		BY MR. RONDE:
5	39	Q. In respect of the 101,100,446.77
6		figure for the claim under the Wishart Act, the
7		Wishart Class Action, can you tell me how that
8		figure was arrived at?
9		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer.
10		BY MR. RONDE:
11	40	Q. Will you be answering any
12		questions concerning that issue?
13		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Which issue?
14		MR. RONDE: The issue of the valuation
15		of the claims.
16		MR. BRZEZINSKI: We will allow you to
17		read this material, and you can argue it in front
18		of Mr. Justice McEwen.
19		MR. RONDE: Thank you, sir, for
20		allowing me to read material that you have included
21		in your affidavit. I don't know what that means.
22		MR. BRZEZINSKI: It means that you have
23		the evidence that will be before the Court.
24		MR. RONDE: Right, so you will not be
25		answering questions concerning that?

		Page 15
1		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Questions that are
2		relevant and proper to the kind of motion that will
3		be before the Court will be answered.
4		BY MR. RONDE:
5	41	Q. So it is your view that the
6		valuation of the Class Action claims is that
7		relevant to that motion?
8		MR. BRZEZINSKI: I think what is in the
9		material as the common issues is what is relevant.
10		MR. RONDE: That is not an answer.
11		MR. BRZEZINSKI: That is the answer you
12		are going to get.
13		MR. RONDE: Right, so I am going to
14		take that as a refusal.
15		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Go ahead.
16		MR. RONDE: Is it a refusal?
17		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: It is.
18		BY MR. RONDE:
19	42	Q. And in respect of the 509 million
20		contingent and unliquidated claim in the Oppression
21		Class Action, can you tell me how that 509 million
22		dollar amount was arrived at?
23		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that. It
24		is irrelevant.
25		BY MR. RONDE:

Page 16 43 Can you explain the difference 1 0. 2 between that amount and the amount claimed in the 3 oppression -- originally claimed in the oppression 4 Class Action, which I believe was 100 million? 5 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Once again, that is not a relevant question. 6 MR. RONDE: Well, there is a 400 7 million dollar difference that I think might be 8 9 important if we are going to be facing a claim in 10 respect of that, because it was an important enough 11 figure to be provided to the Monitor. 12 I think what is MR. BRZEZINSKI: 13 important is the settlement agreement as is 14 presented through the common issues. 15 MR. RONDE: Okay, but according to you, 16 we are not allowed to explore how that figure was 17 arrived at? 18 MR. BRZEZINSKI: The figure was arrived 19 at through settlement discussions. 20 MR. RONDE: But we don't know what 21 material was provided. 2.2 The material that was MR. BRZEZINSKI: 23 provided was part of the negotiations to arrive at 24 the settlement figure, so they are also privileged. 25 MR. RONDE: Right, but also proofs of

Page 17 claim were provided, and that is not privileged, 1 2 submitting a proof of claim, correct? 3 MR. BRZEZINSKI: If you wish to ask the Monitor for copies of proofs of claim, you can go 4 5 ahead, but it is irrelevant. I can ask you because you 6 MR. RONDE: 7 are a party to the litigation, and you made reference to specific proofs of claim that were 8 9 provided, and now you are refusing. I don't 10 understand that refusal. Well, perhaps if I 11 MR. BRZEZINSKI: 12 explained it to you again. The only questions that 13 are relevant are relevant to the motion before the 14 Court, which is a motion for certification, where 15 the merits of the underlying claim are not 16 addressed or inquired to. 17 This is a question about settlement 18 privilege and a guestion about the underlying 19 merits, so it is being refused. 20 BY MR. RONDE: 21 44 Okay, and I would like to take you 0. 2.2 to your motion record at tab 1. This is your 23 amended notice of motion. 24 You are familiar with that, Mr. Seretis? 25

		Page 18
1		A. I am, yes.
2	45	Q. This is different than the
3		original notice of motion that was brought in Mr.
4		Kay's or, sorry, in the motion in the original
5		motion record from January of 2019.
6		A. It is an amended notice of motion.
7	46	Q. Right.
8		And I am going to take you to 4(d).
9		That is new, correct? It is underlined. That is
10		an amendment?
11		A. That is one of the amendments,
12		yes.
13	47	Q. It says:
14		"In determining the
15		compensation []"
16		This is one of the common issues,
17		correct? This is a proposed common issue; am I
18		right?
19		A. That is a proposed common issue.
20	48	Q. Right, and it says:
21		"In determining the
22		compensation:
23		Is the quantum of such
24		compensation to be based on the
25		Plaintiffs's proven affected

1	Page 19 unsecured claim against Sears Canada
2	Inc. of \$80,000,000, as agreed by
3	the court-appointed monitor in the
4	filing by Sears under the CCAA
5	[]"
б	So you are relying on this amount as
7	determining the quantum of compensation. We want
8	to see if that is a viable common issue, and we are
9	allowed to examine those common issues.
10	So how was it a proven affected
11	unsecured claim? What is behind it? How was that
12	figure arrived at?
13	If you are going to rely on it, we are
14	entitled to know.
15	MR. BRZEZINSKI: We are relying on the
16	settlement agreement.
17	MR. RONDE: No, you are actually not
18	relying on the settlement agreement. It says you
19	are relying on the proven affected unsecured claim.
20	MR. BRZEZINSKI: That is out of the
21	settlement agreement, and you know it.
22	MR. RONDE: Do you propose changing
23	that to represent where you are getting it from, so
24	it is absolutely clear to all the parties where
25	this figure is coming up besides out of a hat of

Page 20 the Monitor? 1 2 MR. BRZEZINSKI: It is an agreement 3 reached between the Monitor and the Representative 4 Plaintiff, the contents of which speak for 5 themselves. BY MR. RONDE: 6 Q. You would agree with me, Mr. 7 49 Seretis, that 129 is a proposed Representative 8 9 Plaintiff? There hasn't been any finding by the 10 Court that he is a Representative Plaintiff or 129 11 is a Representative Plaintiff? 12 MR. BRZEZINSKI: In which action? 13 THE DEPONENT: Yes, in the oppression 14 action --BY MR. RONDE: 15 16 50 0. Yes. -- the certification motion hasn't 17 Α. 18 happened yet, so he would be the proposed 19 Representative Plaintiff until such time as the 20 Class Action is certified. 21 51 And is it your understanding that 0. 2.2 as a proposed Representative Plaintiff, he has the 23 ability to bind the Class to a settlement? 24 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: What has that got to 25 do with anything?

1		Page 21 BY MR. RONDE:
2	52	Q. Is it your position that this
3		settlement agreement binds the Class in the
4		oppression action in respect of its claim against
5		Sears?
6		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: The agreement speaks
7		for itself.
8		BY MR. RONDE:
9	53	Q. I would like to take you to
10		paragraph 8 on page 25 of the motion record, which
11		refers to the upfront payment.
12		You are aware of what the upfront
13		payment is?
14		A. Yes, I am.
15	54	Q. That is a payment to be made to
16		the Representative Plaintiff?
17		A. That is what it says. Well, to
18		clarify it is a payment to be made to counsel to
19		the Representative Plaintiff.
20	55	Q. So you were receiving those funds
21		under the agreement?
22		A. Well, paragraph 8 says what it
23		says.
24	56	Q. Okay, and I would like to know
25		your understanding. You included this. You

	-	
1		Page 22 thought it was important. I'm entitled to explore
2		it.
3		So this money is going straight to
4		Sotos?
5		MR. BRZEZINSKI: The agreement says
6		what it says.
7		BY MR. RONDE:
8	57	Q. Well, it is unclear what the
9		agreement says. I would like to know. I would
10		like to get an understanding.
11		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Refused.
12		BY MR. RONDE:
13	58	Q. I would like to take you to
14		paragraph 12, and it says:
15		"The Representative Plaintiff
16		represents and warrants that" and
17		I will take you down to d:
18		"The Class Action Plaintiffs
19		have received the benefit of counsel
20		in order to fully understand the
21		terms of this agreement and their
22		effects."
23		Did you or any other proposed Class
24		counsel provide advice to the Class Action
25		Plaintiffs in respect of the terms of the agreement

1291079 Ontario Limited v. Sears Canada Inc., et al. ANDY SERETIS on March 29, 2019

Page 23 and their effects? 1 2 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that. 3 BY MR. RONDE: 4 59 I am going to take you to your 0. 5 first motion record dated January 18, 2019, and Exhibit "F", please. 6 7 MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes. BY MR. RONDE: 8 9 60 That is on page 127. 0. 10 Α. Okay. 11 61 You know what, I'll strike that, Ο. 12 and we'll move on to something else. 13 Mr. Seretis, your firm has class action 14 experience; is that correct? 15 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that. 16 MR. RONDE: Well, I think the ability 17 of the Class counsel is a relevant issue in respect 18 of the 5.1 (e) test. 19 MR. BRZEZINSKI: Point me to that test, 20 please. 21 MR. RONDE: I'm not pointing you to any 2.2 You can look it up yourself under the class test. 23 proceedings act. 24 R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Refused. 25 BY MR. RONDE:

	-	
1	62	Page 24 Q. So I am going to take it your firm
2		doesn't have class action experience?
3		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: It is a ridiculous
4		question. Don't answer that.
5		MR. RONDE: It is not a ridiculous
6		question. The ability of counsel to conduct this
7		proceeding is one of the factors within the test.
8		MR. BRZEZINSKI: I think your own
9		affidavit about the experience of Sotos speaks to
10		that issue.
11		MR. RONDE: Then why is this a
12		difficult question to answer?
13		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Because it is
14		irrelevant.
15		MR. RONDE: First of all, you have
16		said, you have pointed to what we have said, and
17		then you have said it is not relevant. It either
18		is one or the other.
19		BY MR. RONDE:
20	63	Q. So I am going to ask questions
21		about your experience, if you can tell me one or
22		another.
23		Did your firm work on the Pet Valu
24		Class Action?
25		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.

1		Page 25 BY MR. RONDE:
2	64	Q. It is my understanding that it
3		took roughly six years to have a motion for summary
4		judgment in that matter. Is that your
5		understanding?
6		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
7		BY MR. RONDE:
8	65	Q. And your firm worked on the
9		Quiznos Class Action; is that correct?
10		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
11		BY MR. RONDE:
12	66	Q. That was also settled several
13		years after the litigation; is that correct?
14		A. Don't answer that.
15		BY MR. RONDE:
16	67	Q. And in respect of General Motors,
17		that also took several years to get to trial,
18		correct?
19		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that.
20		BY MR. RONDE:
21	68	Q. You would agree with me that it is
22		reasonable for a class proceeding in which there
23		are substantial issues concerning the merits to
24		take at least a year to go to trial?
25		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Irrelevant. Don't

1		answer.	Page 26
2		BY MR. RONDE:	
3	69	Q. And you	would agree me that the
4		claims being brought by t	the Monitor, the litigation
5		trustee, and the pension	administrator, they are
6		not Class Actions, are th	ney?
7		R/F MR. BRZEZINSK	I: Irrelevant.
8		BY MR. RONDE:	
9	70	Q. So there	e are different procedural
10		requirements between this	s litigation and those
11		other three items of liti	gation, correct?
12		R/F MR. BRZEZINSK	I: Don't answer that.
13		BY MR. RONDE:	
14	71	Q. In those	e cases, the creditors are
15		not contingent creditors;	is that correct?
16		R/F MR. BRZEZINSK	I: Don't answer that.
17		BY MR. RONDE:	
18	72	Q. And thos	se cases don't have issues
19		to do with breach of cont	ract or breach of the duty
20		of good faith under franc	chise or common law?
21		R/F MR. BRZEZINSK	I: Don't answer that.
22		MR. RONDE: I	f we could have a couple
23		of minutes.	
24		RECESSED A	AT 12:32 P.M.
25		RESUMED AT	12:35 P.M.

-		Page 27
1		BY MR. RONDE:
2	73	Q. Thank you for that brief break.
3		Just a couple of further questions, and
4		then I'll turn it over to Mr. Faith.
5		To confirm and I would like to take
6		you to tab C of your affidavit or just make
7		reference to that, the amended and restated
8		settlement agreement.
9		Can you confirm for me that no notice
10		of this agreement has been given to-date to
11		proposed Class members in the Oppression Class
12		Action?
13		R/F MR. BRZEZINSKI: Don't answer that. It
14		is irrelevant.
15		BY MR. RONDE:
16	74	Q. And you can confirm to me that no
17		Court has approved this agreement to date?
18		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes.
19		THE DEPONENT: And I will add, I
20		believe it is part of the plan of proceeding.
21		BY MR. RONDE:
22	75	Q. And the plan of proceeding has
23		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Has not happened,
24		though.
25		MR. RONDE: Right, thank you.

	· · · · · · · ·	
1		Page 28 And subject to any questions that arise
2		out of refusals, undertakings, or under
3		advisements, which we reserve our right to continue
4		examination on, I'm going to turn this over to my
5		friend, Mr. Faith.
6		CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FAITH:
7	76	Q. You have refused to provide
8		information underlying the Wishart Class Action
9		settlement with the Monitor in this examination?
10		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes, we have.
11		BY MR. FAITH:
12	77	Q. And you have said, as the basis
13		for that refusal, that this is settlement privilege
14		information?
15		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes.
16		BY MR. FAITH:
17	78	Q. And I take it that there is no
18		current plan to waive that privilege at any time in
19		this proceeding, in the oppression Class
20		proceeding?
21		MR. BRZEZINSKI: If there is, it would
22		be as a result of discussions with the Monitor.
23		MR. FAITH: But there is no plan as of
24		now, that you are aware of, to waive privilege?
25		MR. BRZEZINSKI: The Monitor hasn't

Page 29 contacted us at this point in time to ask for a 1 2 waiver. 3 BY MR. FAITH: 79 4 So you are not aware of any plan 0. 5 to waive privilege over the settlement discussions leading to the settlement with the Monitor? 6 7 Not as of today, no. MR. BRZEZINSKI: BY MR. FAITH: 8 9 80 Now, I understand, Mr. Seretis, Ο. 10 that you would have seen a letter from our firm 11 dated February 28th, 2019, from me, regarding our 12 position on certification; do you recall that 13 letter? 14 If you have a copy of it, I'll be Α. 15 happy to look at it. 16 81 I do. 0. 17 Do you have our responding motion 18 record? 19 MR. GAUDREAU: I have it on my laptop 20 but not printed. 21 MR. BRZEZINSKI: I think we have a copy 2.2 here. 23 BY MR. FAITH: 24 82 You have a copy? 0. 25 Our responding motion record on the

		·
1		Page 30 motion for certification dated March 15, 2019.
2		Under tab 1-B is our letter dated February 28th,
3		2019.
4		If you look at page 2 of that letter,
5		page 27 of the record, we asked the question and
6		you will see that in the third full paragraph:
7		"Does 129 intend to challenge
8		at the joint trial the advice and
9		assumptions on which the directors
10		relied on assessing the merits of
11		the 2013 Class Action?"
12		Do you recall seeing that part of the
13		letter?
14		A. I do see that.
15	83	Q. And your firm responded on March
16		8th that it does not intend to litigate the
17		underlying 2013 claim at the joint trial.
18		Do you remember Mr. Sterns responding?
19		A. Yes, I do.
20	84	Q. And that email is at tab C of the
21		same document.
22		A. Yes.
23	85	Q. Page 30 of the record.
24		And your answer, just to be clear, was
25		contained in the third paragraph. And I say

		D 04
1		Page31 "yours" as your firm's answer, provided by
2		Mr. Sterns, in which he said:
3		"We do not intend to litigate
4		the underlying claim in the dealer
5		class action in the Joint Trial, nor
6		do we believe it necessary or
7		appropriate for your clients to do
8		SO."
9		And you recall that response?
10		A. I see the response, yes.
11	86	Q. I want to be clear, and I believe
12		we have made it clear to you in the past, that we
13		will be asserting the fact that the board of
14		directors received advice about the 2013 Wishart
15		Act Class Action, and we would be relying on the
16		reasonableness of that advice; you know that?
17		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Do you know that?
18		THE DEPONENT: I mean, I haven't seen a
19		Statement of Defence or anything of that nature. I
20		know what you are I know you have said that now.
21		BY MR. FAITH:
22	87	Q. Well, we have indicated that in
23		the past, but I am telling you that now as well.
24		And just to give you a sense of what
25		part of that advice was, if you look at tab D of

1		Page 32 our responding motion record, at the record page
2		53.
3		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Yes, we see it.
4		Are you talking about the solvency
5		test?
6		BY MR. FAITH:
7	88	Q. That's right.
8		So this is the officer's certificate by
9		the then Chief Financial Officer, E.J. Bird,
10		providing the certificate under section 42 of the
11		CBCA to the board of directors of Sears Canada; do
12		you see that?
13		A. I do see that, yes.
14	89	Q. One item that was certified as
15		part of that certificate appears on page 53 of the
16		record under 5(a) of the certificate, in which
17		Mr. Bird provides that:
18		"[] it is unlikely that the
19		Corporation will be required to make
20		payment in respect of any contingent
21		liability within a reasonably
22		foreseeable period."
23		You see that there? I have read out a
24		portion of that paragraph, but you see the portion
25		I have read?

1		Page 33 MR. BRZEZINSKI: We see it.
2		BY MR. FAITH:
3	90	Q. And again, we are telling you now,
4	20	and we have told you before, we'll be relying on
5		the reasonableness of advice provided, such as this
6		advice, that there was no merit to the 2013 Wishart
7		Class Action that would warrant a reserve.
, 8		MR. BRZEZINSKI: We see this document
9		completely differently than you do.
9 10		BY MR. FAITH:
11	91	
	91	Q. All right, but that will be our
12		position.
13		And my question is, will you be
14		asserting evidence in the joint trial to rebut the
15		reasonableness of any opinion that the board of
16		directors received as to the merits of the 2013
17		Wishart Class Action?
18		MR. BRZEZINSKI: When we see it, we'll
19		deal with it.
20		BY MR. FAITH:
21	92	Q. So you can't say at this point
22		that you will not provide evidence as to the merits
23		of the 2013 Wishart Class Action at the joint
24		trial?
25		MR. BRZEZINSKI: We can't say anything

		Page 34
1		until we see the evidence that you have or the
2		defence that you led.
3		MR. FAITH: It is possible that you
4		will?
5		MR. BRZEZINSKI: We don't know.
6		MR. FAITH: You don't know whether it
7		is possible?
8		MR. BRZEZINSKI: We don't know anything
9		until you give us your defence.
10		BY MR. FAITH:
11	93	Q. So I'll put it a different way.
12		You cannot undertake at this date that
13		you will not be introducing evidence as to the
14		merits of the 2013 Wishart Class Action at the
15		joint trial in this matter?
16		MR. BRZEZINSKI: We cannot comment on a
17		hypothetical issue, and we will not comment on a
18		hypothetical issue.
19		MR. FAITH: Thank you. Those are my
20		questions.
21		MR. BRZEZINSKI: Thanks.
22		MR. RONDE: Thank you very much, Mr.
23		Seretis. We appreciate your time.
24		And I think we may have already
25		mentioned it, but subject to any of the

1291079 Ontario Limited v. Sears Canada Inc., et al. ANDY SERETIS on March 29, 2019

1	Page 35 undertakings and refusals and under advisements and
2	any questions that might arise, that concludes Mr.
3	Seretis' examination.
4	Thank you very much for your time.
5	MR. BRZEZINSKI: Thank you all.
6	
7	Adjourned at 12:44 p.m.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Page 36
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, DEANA SANTEDICOLA, RPR, CRR,
4	CSR, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify:
5	That the foregoing proceedings were
б	taken before me at the time and place therein set
7	forth, at which time the witness was put under oath
8	by me;
9	That the testimony of the witness
10	and all objections made at the time of the
11	examination were recorded stenographically by me
12	and were thereafter transcribed;
13	That the foregoing is a true and
14	correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken.
15	
16	
17	
18	Dated this 31st day of March, 2019.
19	
20	
21	n la
22	1/ 1/-
23	NEESON COURT REPORTING INC.
24	PER: DEANA SANTEDICOLA, RPR, CRR, CSR
25	

1291079 Ontario Limited v. Sears Canada Inc., et al. ANDY SERETIS on March 29, 2019

Index: \$80,000,000..agreement

	2013 6:22,24	41 15:5	68 25:21	
\$	30:11,17 31:14 33:6,16,23 34:14	42 15:19 32:10	69 26:3	A
\$80,000,000	2019 6:6 11:11	43 16:1		ability 20:23
19:2	18:5 23:5 29:11	44 17:21	7	23:16 24:6
	30:1,3	45 18:2	7 7:10	absolutely
(21 10:19	46 18:7	70 26:9	19:24
(e) 23:18	2129 12:11	47 18:13	71 26:14	act 7:1,2,10 14:6 23:23 31:15
	22 11:1	48 18:20	72 26:18	action 6:14,21,
1	23 11:6	49 20:7	73 27:2	22,23,24 7:1,2,8,
6 :5 17:22	24 11:10		74 27:16	11,12 8:21 14:7 15:6,21 16:4
I-B 30:2	25 11:13 21:10	5	75 27:22	20:12,14,20 21:4 22:18,24 23:13
1.7 10:2	26 11:20	5 6:25	76 28:7	24:2,24 25:9
10 7:19	27 11:24 30:5 28 12:4	5(a) 32:16	77 28:12	27:12 28:8 30:11 31:5,15 33:7,17,
100 16:4	28 12:4 28th 6:6 29:11	5.1 23:18	78 28:17	23 34:14
101,100,446.77	30:2	50 20:16	79 29:4	actions 8:9,14
14:5	29 12:7	509 15:19,21		26:6
11 7:24 11:11	29th 6:9	51 20:21	8	add 27:19
12 8:3 22:14		52 21:2	8 7:14 21:10,22	addressed
127 23:9	3	53 21:9 32:2,15	80 29:9	Adjourned 35:
129 7:11,25 12:2	3 6:13	54 21:15	81 29:16	administrator
20:8,10 30:7 12:10 6:1	30 12:10 30:23	55 21:20	82 29:24	26:5
12:10 6:1 12:32 26:24	31 12:15	56 21:24	83 30:15	adverse 8:5,14 19 10:2,14,22
12:32 26:24	32 12:19	57 22:8	84 30:20	advice 12:11
12:33 26.25	33 12:22	58 22:13	85 30:23	22:24 30:8 31:14
12.44 35.7 13 8:8	34 13:3	59 23:4	86 31:11	16,25 33:5,6
13 8:13	35 13:6		87 31:22	advise 9:10
15 8:18 30:1	36 13:11	6	88 32:7	advisements 28:3 35:1
16 8:24	37 13:13	6 7:5	89 32:14	affected 18:25
17 9:23	38 14:1	60 23:9	8th 30:16	19:10,19
18 10:1 23:5	39 14:5	61 23:11		affidavit 11:7,8
19 10:6		62 24:1	9	10,13 13:19 14:2 24:9 27:6
	4	63 24:20	9 7:16	afternoon 6:7,8
2	4 6:17	64 25:2	90 33:3	agree 10:19 20:
	4(d) 18:8	65 25:8	91 33:11	25:21 26:3
	1	66 05.40		agreed 19:2
2 6:9 30:4 20 10:12	40 14:11	66 25:12	92 33:21	-

1291079 Ontario Limited v. Sears Canada Inc., et al. ANDY SERETIS on March 29, 2019

18:10

18:11

14:11,25

19:12

33:14

30:10

10:21

13:8

30:9

10:2.14

awards 8:15 **case** 8:24 9:23 16.20 16:13 comment 24:6 19:16.18.21 20:2 10:22 11:1 10:3.13 34:16.17 **couple** 26:22 21:3,6,21 22:5,9, **cases** 26:14,18 **aware** 7:24 8:13 **common** 15:9 27:3 21,25 27:8,10,17 21:12 28:24 29:4 16:14 18:16,17,19 **CBCA** 32:11 **Court** 14:23 15:3 **ahead** 13:23 19:8,9 26:20 17:14 20:10 27:17 **CCAA** 19:4 15:15 17:5 В company 8:4 courtallowed 13:19 certificate 32:8, 9:2 appointed 19:3 16:16 19:9 10,15,16 back 6:22,24 compensation creditors 26:14, allowing 14:20 18:15,22,24 19:7 certification **based** 18:24 15 7:17,20 11:15 amended 11:24 completely **basis** 28:12 17:14 20:17 29:12 CROSS-17:23 18:6 27:7 33:9 30:1 EXAMINATION benefit 22:19 concludes 35:2 amendment 6:4 28:6 certified 20:20 **bind** 20:23 32:14 conduct 24:6 current 28:18 amendments **binds** 21:3 challenge 30:7 confirm 6:10 **Bird** 32:9,17 27:5,9,16 D changing 19:22 **amount** 15:22 Blaney 6:16 consistency 16:2 19:6 **Chief** 32:9 6:19 date 27:17 34:12 **board** 31:13 **Andy** 6:3,6 **claim** 7:3.5 32:11 33:15 constantly 9:14 dated 23:5 29:11 12:17,23 13:4,7,9, answering 30:1,2 breach 26:19 contacted 29:1 14 14:2,6 15:20 16:9 17:1,2,4,8,15 deal 33:19 break 27:2 contained answers 9:18 19:1.11.19 21:4 30:25 dealer 31:4 30:17 31:4 **brought** 6:22,23 anymore 9:19 8:21 18:3 26:4 contents 13:20 **defence** 31:19 **claimed** 16:2,3 appears 32:15 20:4 34:2,9 BRZEZINSKI **claims** 12:16.18. contingent approved 27:17 8:6.10.16.22 9:3. defendants 24 13:2 14:15 8,20,24 10:4,8,16, 15:20 26:15 32:20 8:15 15:6 26:4 argue 14:17 23 11:3,17,22 continue 28:3 DEPONENT **clarify** 21:18 arise 28:1 35:2 12:13 13:15,21 20:13 27:19 31:18 14:3,9,13,16,22 contract 26:19 **arrive** 16:23 **class** 6:21,22,23 15:1,8,11,15,17, determining 7:1,2,7,11 8:8,14, **copies** 17:4 arrived 14:8 23 16:5,12,18,22 18:14,21 19:7 20 14:7 15:6,21 17:3,11 19:15,20 **COPY** 29:14,21,24 15:22 16:17,18 16:4 20:20,23 difference 16:1, 20:2,12,24 21:6 21:3 22:18,23,24 corporate 7:25 8 22:5,11 23:2,7,15, asserting 31:13 23:13,17,22 24:2, 19,24 24:3,8,13, Corporation differently 33:9 24 25:9,22 26:6 25 25:6,10,19,25 32:19 27:11 28:8.19 difficult 24:12 26:7.12.16.21 assessing 30:11 31:5.15 **correct** 7:13 8:9 27:13,18,23 directly 9:16 33:7,17,23 34:14 11:8 12:8,20 17:2 28:10,15,21,25 assets 7:25 8:2 18:9,17 23:14 directors 30:9 29:7.21 31:17 **clear** 19:24 30:24 25:9,13,18 26:11, 32:3 33:1,8,18,25 31:14 32:11 33:16 31:11,12 15 34:5,8,16,21 35:5 **assist** 12:15 **client** 11:2 12:2. discussions **cost** 8:5,15 16:19 28:22 29:5 7 13:8 10:14,22 11:1 С assumptions clients 31:7 document 12:1, **costs** 8:19 10:2 5 13:18 30:21 co-counsel calling 6:25 33:8 award 8:5,19 9:1 counsel 6:13 6:16 7:11 8:18 10:6 **Canada** 19:1 dollar 10:2.14 commencing 12:10 21:18 32:11 15:22 16:8 awarded 8:19 6:1 22:19,24 23:17

1291079 Ontario Limited v. Sears Canada Inc., et al. ANDY SERETIS on March 29, 2019

Index: draft..obstruction

draft 13:6	faith 26:20 27:4		items 26:11	materials 11:15
drafted 13:13	28:5,6,11,16,23 29:3,8,23 31:21	н		matter 7:6 25:4
duty 26:19	32:6 33:2,10,20	hand 7:6 9:17	J	34:15
	34:3,6,10,19	happened		Mcewen 14:18
E	familiar 12:4,25 13:1 17:24	20:18 27:23	January 18:5 23:5	Mcmurtry 6:16
E.J. 32:9	February 29:11	happy 29:15	joint 30:8,17 31:5	means 14:21,22
effects 22:22	30:2	hat 19:25	33:14,23 34:15	members 27:11
23:1	figure 14:6,8	hearing 7:17,20	judgment 25:4	mentioned 34:25
email 30:20	16:11,16,18,24 19:12,25	hundreds 9:15	Justice 14:18	34.25 merit 33:6
enforce 8:25 11:1	file 7:23	hypothetical 34:17,18	K	merits 17:15,19
ensure 6:19	filing 13:9 19:4	·		25:23 30:10 33:16,22 34:14
entitled 19:14	Financial 32:9	I	Kay 6:18	million 10:2
22:1	finding 20:9		Kay's 18:4	15:19,21 16:4,8
eventually 7:20	firm 6:15 7:13 8:3	important 10:20 16:9,10,13 22:1	kind 15:2	minutes 26:23
evidence 14:23 33:14,22 34:1,13	10:13 12:10 23:13 24:1,23 25:8	include 11:14	L	money 22:3
examination	29:10 30:15	included 13:18	lantan oo (o	monitor 16:11 17:4 19:3 20:1,3
6:5,18 9:13 28:4,9	firm's 31:1	14:20 21:25	laptop 29:19	26:4 28:9,22,25
35:3	foreseeable 32:22	indemnified 8:3	law 26:20	29:6
examine 19:9	franchise 26:20	individual 9:1	leading 29:6	motion 11:16 15:2,7 17:13,14,
executed 12:7	franchise-	information	led 34:2	22,23 18:3,4,5,6
execution 12:12	based 6:21	28:8,14	legal 12:11	20:17 21:10 23:5 25:3 29:17,25
exhibit 11:7,8	friend 28:5	inquired 17:16	lengthy 9:9	30:1 32:1
23:6	front 14:17	intend 30:7,16	letter 29:10,13 30:2,4,13	Motors 10:13
experience 8:8	full 30:6	31:3 interrupt 9:14	liability 32:21	25:16 move 23:12
23:14 24:2,9,21 explain 16:1	fully 22:20	introducing	litigate 30:16	multimillion
•	funds 21:20	34:13	31:3	10:14
explained 17:12	future 8:5	irrelevant 8:11	litigation 17:7 25:13 26:4,10,11	
explore 13:19 16:16 22:1		10:8,17,24 11:4,	25.13 20.4, 10, 11	N
extent 7:24	G	18 15:24 17:5 24:14 25:25 26:7	M	nature 31:19
	GAUDREAU	27:14		negotiations
F	29:19	issue 14:12,13, 14 18:17,19 19:8	made 17:7 21:15,18 31:12	16:23
face 8:14	General 10:13 25:16	23:17 24:10 34:17,18	make 27:6 32:19	notice 17:23 18:3,6 27:9
facing 16:9	give 31:24 34:9	issues 9:17 15:9	making 9:4	
fact 8:18 31:13	good 6:7,8 26:20	16:14 18:16 19:9 25:23 26:18	March 6:6 11:11 30:1,15	0
factors 24:7	guess 7:6	item 32:14	30:1,15 material 14:17, 20 15:9 16:21,22	obstruction 9:18

1291079 Ontario Limited v. Sears Canada Inc., et al. ANDY SERETIS on March 29, 2019

obtain 12:1 plan 27:20.22 **proven** 18:25 rebut 33:14 10:3.20 20:3.8.10. 28:18.23 29:4 19:10.19 11,19,22 21:16,19 Officer 32:9 recall 9:2.6.23 22:15 point 23:19 29:1 provide 12:11 10:1 29:12 30:12 officer's 32:8 33:21 22:24 28:7 33:22 31:9 represents **opinion** 33:15 22:16 pointed 24:16 provided 16:11, received 22:19 oppression 21,23 17:1,9 31:1 31:14 33:16 required 32:19 pointing 23:21 33:5 7:6,7 15:20 16:3 requirements receiving 21:20 portion 32:24 20:13 21:4 27:11 providing 32:10 26:10 RECESSED 28:19 position 21:2 purpose 11:13 reserve 28:3 26:24 order 22:20 29:12 33:12 33:7 purposes 11:15 **recital** 12:23 **original** 18:3,4 potential 8:5,14 **respect** 7:2,10 **record** 17:22 **put** 34:11 originally 6:21 preamble 9:8 8:4,19 9:18 10:12 18:5 21:10 23:5 16:3 12:11 14:5 15:19 29:18,25 30:5,23 **prepare** 12:17 Q 16:10 21:4 22:25 owner 9:1 32:1,16 preparing 13:9 23:17 25:16 32:20 **refer** 7:1 12:22 quantum 18:23 responded presented Ρ 19:7 reference 17:8 30:15 16:14 27:7 question 8:11 responding presupposing p.m. 6:1 26:24,25 referred 12:16 29:17,25 30:18 13:22 9:7 10:24 11:21 35:7 13:10,22 16:6 32:1 referring 7:7 **printed** 29:20 paragraph 17:17,18 24:4,6, response 31:9, 9:11 10:7 21:10,22 22:14 privilege 17:18 12 30:5 33:13 10 30:6,25 32:24 refers 12:23 28:13,18,24 29:5 questions 9:5, restated 11:24 21:11 **parcel** 9:12 12,16,20 14:12,25 privileged 27:7 15:1 17:12 24:20 refusal 15:14,16 16:24 17:1 part 9:11 12:24 27:3 28:1 34:20 result 28:22 17:10 28:13 16:23 27:20 30:12 procedural 35:2 RESUMED 31:25 32:15 refusals 9:15.21 26:9 **Quiznos** 25:9 26:25 28:2 35:1 parties 19:24 procedure ridiculous 24:3. refused 13:21 12:24 party 12:2 17:7 R 17:19 22:11 23:24 5 proceeding **past** 31:12,23 28:7 24:7 25:22 27:20, **RONDE** 6:4 8:7, **R/f** 8:6,10,16,22 12,17,23 9:6,10. pay 10:21 refusing 17:9 22 28:19,20 9:3,24 10:4,8,16, 22,25 10:5,11,18, payment 21:11, proceedings **relate** 9:17 23 11:3,17,22 25 11:5,19,23 13,15,18 32:20 23:23 12:13 13:15 14:3, 12:14 13:17,25 relevant 11:14 9 15:17,23 16:5 process 12:24 pension 26:5 14:4,10,14,19,24 13:17 14:3 15:2.7. 20:24 21:6 22:11 9 16:6 17:13 15:4.10.13.16.18. **period** 32:22 produce 14:1 23:2,15,24 24:3, 25 16:7,15,20,25 23:17 24:17 25 25:6,10,19,25 Pet 8:18.21 24:23 proof 12:15,18 17:6,20 19:17,22 **relied** 30:10 26:7,12,16,21 20:6,15 21:1,8 13:9 17:2 Plaintiff 6:14,24 27:13 22:7,12 23:3,8,16, **rely** 19:13 7:12 8:4,20 10:3, proofs 12:17,23 21,25 24:5,11,15, reached 20:3 relying 19:6,15, 15,21 20:4,9,10, 13:1,3,6,13 14:1 19 25:1,7,11,15, 16:25 17:4,8 11,19,22 21:16,19 18,19 31:15 33:4 **read** 12:5.19 20 26:2,8,13,17, 22:15 14:17,20 32:23,25 remember 22 27:1,15,21,25 proper 9:20 15:2 34:22 plaintiffs 8:13 30:18 reasonable **propose** 19:22 22:18.25 25:22 roughly 25:3 represent 19:23 proposed 6:14 Plaintiffs's reasonablenes Representative 18:17,19 20:8,18, 18:25 **S** 31:16 33:5.15 6:14 7:12 8:4,20 22 22:23 27:11

Index: obtain..roughly

AND I SERE IIS UIT				index. Searsyears
S	12:24 submitting	underlying 17:15,18 28:8 30:17 31:4	Y	
Sears 19:1,4 21:5 32:11	17:2 subsequent 7:19	understand 7:4 10:6,12 12:19 17:10 22:20 29:9	year 25:24 years 25:3,13,17	
section 32:10 sense 31:24	substantial 25:23	understanding 6:20 8:1 20:21		
separate 7:8 Seretis 6:3,6,7,	successful 8:15	21:25 22:10 25:2, 5		
10 8:9,25 9:11 17:25 20:8 23:13	summary 25:3	undertake 34:12		
29:9 34:23 Seretis' 35:3	swore 11:10 sworn 6:3,11	undertakings 28:2 35:1		
settled 25:12		unliquidated		
settlement 11:25 16:13,19,24 17:17 19:16,18,21 20:23 21:3 27:8 28:9,13 29:5,6	tab 11:25 17:22 27:6 30:2,20 31:25	15:20 unsecured 19:1,11,19 upfront 21:11,12		
sir 9:13,23 10:19 11:8 14:2,19	talking 32:4 telling 31:23	V		
solvency 32:4 Sotos 22:4 24:9	33:3 terms 6:19 22:21,25	Valu 8:19,21 24:23		
sought 8:25 speak 20:4	test 23:18,19,22 24:7 32:5	valuation 14:14 15:6		
speaks 21:6 24:9	thought 11:14, 17 22:1	viable 19:8 view 15:5		
specific 17:8 speech 9:9	time 20:19 28:18 29:1 34:23 35:4	w		
speeches 9:4 Statement	to-date 27:10 today 6:9 29:7	waive 28:18,24 29:5		
31:19	told 33:4	waiver 29:2		
stayed 7:21 Sterns 30:18	trial 25:17,24 30:8,17 31:5 33:14,24 34:15	warrant 33:7 warrants 22:16		
31:2 stopped 7:22 straight 22:3	trustee 26:5 turn 27:4 28:4	Wishart 7:1,2,10 14:6,7 28:8 31:14 33:6,17,23 34:14		
stream 9:15	U	work 24:23		
strike 23:11 subject 28:1 34:25 submitted	unclear 22:8 underlined 18:9	worked 7:16,19 10:13 25:8 working 7:22 works 7:9		

EXHIBIT NO. ON THE EXAMINATION OF James Koy 1291079 ONEV Sears Consider HELD ON March 29, 2019

Court File No. CV-17-11846-00CL

NEESON COURT REPORTING INC. ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

))

)

13	Barris Of
en la	THE HONOURABLE M
()	JUSTICE HAINEY
3 4	SXI. M
12	
13	FIFTINE DE NY
	IN THE

FRIDAY, THE 8th DAY OF DECEMBER, 2017

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF SEARS CANADA INC., CORBEIL ÉLECTRIQUE INC., S.L.H. TRANSPORT INC., THE CUT INC., SEARS CONTACT SERVICES INC., INITIUM LOGISTICS SERVICES INC., INITIUM COMMERCE LABS INC., INITIUM TRADING AND SOURCING CORP., SEARS FLOOR COVERING CENTRES INC., 173470 CANADA INC., 2497089 ONTARIO INC., 6988741 CANADA INC., 10011711 CANADA INC., 1592580 ONTARIO LIMITED, 955041 ALBERTA LTD., 4201531 CANADA INC., 168886 CANADA INC., AND 3339611 CANADA INC.

(each, an "Applicant", and collectively, the "Applicants")

CLAIMS PROCEDURE ORDER

THIS MOTION, made by the Applicants, pursuant to the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. c-36, as amended (the "CCAA") for an order establishing a claims procedure for the identification and quantification of certain claims against (i) the Applicants and SearsConnect (collectively, the "Sears Canada Entities") and (ii) the current and former directors and officers of the Sears Canada Entities, was heard this day at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

ON READING the Notice of Motion of the Applicants, the Affidavit of Billy Wong sworn on December 1, 2017 including the exhibits thereto, the Eighth Report of FTI Consulting Canada Inc., in its capacity as monitor (the "Monitor"), filed, and on hearing the submissions of respective counsel for the Applicants, the Monitor, Pension Representative Counsel (as defined below), Employee Representative Counsel (as defined below), the Pension Plan Administrator (as defined below), the Superintendent (as defined below), and such other counsel as were present, no one else appearing although duly served as appears from the Affidavits of Service of Justine Erickson sworn December 4, 2017,

SERVICE

1. THIS COURT ORDERS that the time for service of the Notice of Motion and the Motion Record herein is hereby abridged and validated so that this Motion is properly returnable today and hereby dispenses with further service thereof.

DEFINITIONS AND INTERPRETATION

2. THIS COURT ORDERS that any capitalized term used and not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed thereto in the Amended and Restated Initial Order in these proceedings dated June 22, 2017 as amended, restated, supplemented and/or modified from time to time (the "Initial Order").

3. THIS COURT ORDERS that for the purposes of this Order the following terms shall have the following meanings:

- (a) "Advisors" means, collectively, any actuarial, financial, legal and other advisors and assistants;
- (b) "Agent" means the contractual joint venture comprised of Gordon Brothers Canada
 ULC, Merchant Retail Solutions ULC, Tiger Capital Group, LLC and GA Retail
 Canada ULC;

- 2 -

- (c) "Agency Agreements" means: (i) the Amended and Restated Agency Agreement between Sears Canada Inc. and the Agent dated July 12, 2017 and amended and restated on July 14, 2017, and (ii) the Amended and Restated Agency Agreement between Sears Canada Inc. and the Agent dated October 10, 2017;
- (d) "Assessments" means Claims of Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada or of any Province or Territory or Municipality or any other taxation authority in any Canadian or foreign jurisdiction, including, without limitation, amounts which may arise or have arisen under any notice of assessment, notice of objection, notice of reassessment, notice of appeal, audit, investigation, demand or similar request from any taxation authority;

1.

- (e) "Business Day" means a day, other than a Saturday, Sunday or statutory holiday, on which banks are generally open for business in Toronto, Ontario;
- (f) "CCAA Proceedings" means the CCAA proceedings commenced by the Applicants in the Court under Court File No. CV-17-11846-00CL;
- (g) "Claim" means:
 - (i) any right or claim of any Person against any of the Sears Canada Entities, whether or not asserted, including in connection with any indebtedness, liability or obligation of any kind whatsoever of any such Sears Canada Entity to such Person, in existence on the Filing Date, whether or not such right or claim is reduced to judgment, liquidated, unliquidated, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured; disputed, undisputed, legal, equitable, secured, unsecured, perfected, unperfected, present, future, known, or

unknown, by guarantee, surety or otherwise, and whether or not such right is executory or anticipatory in nature, including rights or claims with respect to any Assessment, Construction Claim, Warranty, any claim brought by any representative plaintiff on behalf of a class in a class action, or contract, or by reason of any equity interest, right of ownership of or title to property or assets or right to a trust or deemed trust (statutory, express, implied, resulting, constructive or otherwise), and any right or ability of any Person to advance a claim for contribution or indemnity or otherwise against any of the Sears Canada Entities with respect to any matter, action, cause or chose in action, whether existing at present or commenced in the future, which right or claim, including in connection with indebtedness, liability or obligation, is based in whole or in part on facts that existed prior to the Filing Date, including for greater certainty any claim against any of the Sears Canada Entities for indemnification by any Director or Officer in respect of a D&O Claim (each, a "Pre-Filing Claim", and collectively, the "Pre-Filing Claims");

- (ii) any right or claim of any Sears Supplier against any of the Sears Canada Entities in connection with any non-payment by any such Sears Canada Entity to such Sears Supplier for goods or services supplied to such Sears Canada Entity on or after the Filing Date (each, a "Post-Filing Claim", and collectively, the "Post-Filing Claims");
- (iii) any right or claim of any Person against any of the Sears Canada Entities, including in connection with any indebtedness, liability or obligation of any

- 4 -

kind whatsoever of any such Sears Canada Entity to such Person, arising on or after the Filing Date, including without limitation rights or claims arising with respect to the restructuring, disclaimer, resiliation, termination or breach by such Sears Canada Entity on or after the Filing Date of any contract, lease or other agreement whether written or oral, but excluding any Post-Filing Claims (each, a "Restructuring Period Claim", and collectively, the "Restructuring Period Claims"); and

(iv) any right or claim of any Person against one or more of the Directors and/or Officers howsoever arising, whether or not such right or claim is reduced to judgment, liquidated, unliquidated, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured, disputed, undisputed, legal, equitable, secured, unsecured, perfected, unperfected, present, future, known, or unknown, by guarantee, surety or otherwise, and whether or not such right is executory or anticipatory in nature, including any Assessments and any right or ability of any Person to advance a claim for contribution, indemnity or otherwise against any of the Directors and/or Officers with respect to any matter, action, cause or chose in action, however arising, for which any Director or Officer is alleged to be, by statute or otherwise by law or equity, liable to pay in his or her capacity as a Director or Officer (each a "D&O Claim", and collectively, the "D&O Claims"),

including any Claim arising through subrogation against any Sears Canada Entity or Director or Officer, provided however that in any case "Claim" shall not include an Excluded Claim;

- (h) "Claimant" means any Person asserting a Claim, including without limitation any Construction Claimant, General Creditor Claimant, Landlord Claimant or Intercompany Claimant;
- (i) "Claims Officer" means the individuals designated by the Court pursuant to paragraph 62 of this Order;
- (j) "Claims Process" means the procedures outlined in this Order in connection with the solicitation and assertion of Claims against the Sears Canada Entities and/or the Directors and Officers;
- (k) "Construction Claim" means: (i) a Claim, including a D&O Claim, asserted under the trust provisions of applicable Provincial Lien Legislation or a Claim asserted against the holdback under applicable Provincial Lien Legislation; or (ii) a Claim secured in whole or in part by the registration of a builders' or construction lien under applicable Provincial Lien Legislation against any real property that has been or is owned or leased by any of the Sears Canada Entities, or a Claim secured in whole or in part by any security held in connection with a Vacated or Discharged Lien;
- (1) "Construction Claimant" means a Person asserting a Construction Claim;
- (m) "Construction Claims Bar Date" means 5:00 p.m. on February 15, 2018;
- (n) "Construction Claims Package" means the document package consisting of a Notice of Construction Claim, a blank Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim, a Construction Contractor Instruction Letter, a Construction Sub-Contractor

- 6 -

Instruction Letter and such other materials as the Monitor, in consultation with the Sears Canada Entities, may consider appropriate or desirable;

- (o) "Construction Contractor" means a Construction Claimant contracting directly with the Sears Canada Entities or an agent of the Sears Canada Entities in connection with the improvement of any real property that has been or is owned or leased by any of the Sears Canada Entities;
- (p) "Construction Contractor Instruction Letter" means the instruction letter to Construction Contractors, substantially in the form attached as Schedule "M" hereto, regarding the Notice of Construction Claim, completion of a Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim by a Construction Contractor, and the Claims Process described herein, and directing such Construction Contractors to send a copy of the Notice of Construction Claim and the Construction Sub-Contractor Instruction Letter to all Construction Sub-Contractors with which such Construction Contractor has a direct contractual agreement or engagement in connection with the relevant improvement to any real property that has been or is owned or leased by any of the Sears Canada Entities;
- (q) "Construction Sub-Contractor" means a Construction Claimant not contracting directly with or employed directly by the Sears Canada Entities or an agent of the Sears Canada Entities but who supplied services, materials or work to an improvement to any real property that has been or is owned or leased by any of the Sears Canada Entities under an agreement (written or oral) or engagement with a Construction Contractor or under an agreement or engagement with another subcontractor of any level;

- 7 -

- "Construction Sub-Contractor Instruction Letter" means the instruction letter (r) to be sent by each Construction Contractor and Construction Sub-Contractor to all Construction Sub-Contractors with which each such Construction Contractor or Construction Sub-Contractor has a direct contractual agreement or engagement in connection with the relevant improvement, substantially in the form attached as Schedule "N" hereto, notifying such Construction Sub-Contractors that all Construction Claims in respect of their services as Construction Sub-Contractors shall be included in the Claim of the relevant Construction Contractor for the purposes of this Claims Process and directing such Construction Sub-Contractors to: (i) send a copy of the Notice of Construction Claim and the Construction Sub-Contractor Instruction Letter to all Construction Sub-Contractors with which such Construction Sub-Contractor has a direct contractual agreement or engagement in connection with the relevant improvement, and (ii) contact their Construction Contractor directly to determine and negotiate with their Construction Contractor any rights they may have with respect to any such Construction Contractor's Construction Claim;
- (s) "Court" means the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Commercial List);
- (t) "D&O Claim Instruction Letter" means the letter containing instructions for completing the D&O Proof of Claim form, substantially in the form attached as Schedule "D" hereto;
- (u) "D&O Proof of Claim" means the proof of claim to be filed by Claimants in connection with any D&O Claim, substantially in the form attached as Schedule
 "E" hereto, which shall include all supporting documentation in respect of such

- 8 -

D&O Claim; and for greater certainty, a "D&O Proof of Claim" shall include a D&O Proof of Claim filed online through the Monitor's website;

5

.

•

- (v) "Director" means anyone who is or was or may be deemed to be or have been, whether by statute, operation of law or otherwise, a director or *de facto* director of any of the Sears Canada Entities, in such capacity;
- (w) "Employee" means any (i) active or inactive union or non-union employee of any one of the Sears Canada Entities on or after the Filing Date, including an employee of any one of the Sears Canada Entities who received notice of termination of employment dated on or after the Filing Date; and (ii) former employee of any one of the Sears Canada Entities who was terminated for cause at any time or who received notice of cessation of termination or severance payments dated on or after the Filing Date;
- (x) "Employee Claim" means a Claim, including a D&O Claim, that may be asserted by or on behalf of an Employee, and shall include any Employee Claim arising through subrogation;
- (y) "Employee Claims Process" means a claims process to be approved pursuant to a further Order of this Court that shall, among other things, set forth the procedure for the solicitation and assertion of Employee Claims against the Sears Canada Entities and/or the Directors and Officers;
- (z) "Employee Letter" means the letter from Employee Representative Counsel to be disseminated by the Monitor, in consultation with the Sears Canada Entities and Employee Representative Counsel, to all Employees represented by Employee

Representative Counsel advising, among other things, that their Employee Claims will be dealt with through a separate Employee Claims Process, which letter shall be substantially in the form attached hereto as Schedule "I";

- (aa) "Employee Representative Counsel" means Ursel Phillips Fellows Hopkinson
 LLP;
- (bb) "Employee Representative Counsel's Website" means http://www.upfhlaw.ca/areas-of-practice/sears-canada-employees-and-formeremployees/;
- (cc) "Employee Representatives" means Paul Webber, Nancy Demeter, Sheena Wrigglesworth, Barb Wilser and Darrin Whitney, or such other representatives as may be duly appointed by Employee Representative Counsel;
- (dd) "Excluded Claim" means any:
 - (i) Claim that may be asserted by any beneficiary of the Administration Charge, the FA Charge, the KERP Priority Charge, the Directors' Priority Charge, the KERP Subordinated Charge and the Directors' Subordinated Charge and any other charges granted by the Court in the CCAA Proceedings, with respect to such charges;
 - (ii) Claim by the Agent under the Agency Agreements;
 - (iii) Employee Claim;
 - (iv) Sears Pension Claim;

- (v) Other Pensioner Claim;
- (vi) Monitor Claim; and
- (vii) Claim that may be asserted by any of the Sears Canada Entities against any Directors and/or Officers;

and for greater certainty, shall include any Excluded Claim arising through subrogation;

- (ee) "Filing Date" means June 22, 2017;
- (ff) "General Creditor Claim" means a Claim, other than a Construction Claim or Intercompany Claim;
- (gg) "General Creditor Claimant" means a Person asserting a General Creditor Claim;
- (hh) "General Creditor Claims Bar Date" means 5:00 p.m. on March 2, 2018;
- (ii) "General Creditor Claims Package" means the document package which shall be disseminated by the Monitor to any potential General Creditor Claimant in accordance with the terms of this Order (including, if practicable, by way of email, where electronic addresses are known), consisting of the Notice to General Creditor Claimants, a blank Proof of Claim, a Proof of Claim Instruction Letter, a blank D&O Proof of Claim, and a D&O Claim Instruction Letter, and such other materials as the Monitor, in consultation with the Sears Canada Entities, may consider appropriate or desirable;

- (jj) "General Creditor Post-Filing Claims Bar Date" means 5:00 p.m. on April 2, 2018;
- (kk) "General Creditor Restructuring Period Claims Bar Date" means, in respect of a Restructuring Period Claim, the later of (i) 5:00 p.m. on the date that is 45 days after the date on which the Monitor sends a General Creditor Claims Package with respect to a Restructuring Period Claim and (ii) the General Creditor Claims Bar Date;
- (11) "Intercompany Claim" means any Claim that may be asserted against any of the Sears Canada Entities by or on behalf of any of the Sears Canada Entities or any of their affiliated companies, partnerships, or other corporate entities (and for greater certainty, excluding any Claim that may be asserted against any of the Sears Canada Entities by or on behalf of Sears Holdings Corporation or any of its affiliated companies, partnerships or other corporate entities that are not Sears Canada Entities) and excluding any Monitor Claim;
- (mm) "Intercompany Claimant" means a Person asserting an Intercompany Claim;
- (nn) "Landlord" means a landlord under any real property lease or occupancy agreement for any of the Applicants' leased premises;
- (00) "Landlord Claim" means any Claim, including any D&O Claim, of a Landlord;
- (pp) "Landlord Claimant" means a Landlord asserting a Landlord Claim;
- (qq) "Landlord Claims Bar Date" means, in respect of a Landlord Claim, the later of(i) 5:00 p.m. on the date that is 45 days after the date on which the Monitor sends a

General Creditor Claims Package with respect to a Landlord Claim and (ii) 5:00 p.m. on April 2, 2018;

- (rr) "Meeting" means any meeting of the creditors of the Sears Canada Entities called for the purpose of considering and voting in respect of a Plan;
- (ss) "Monitor Claim" means a Claim, including a D&O Claim and any claim pursued in accordance with section 36.1 of the CCAA, that may be asserted by the Monitor;
- (tt) "Monitor's Website" means http://cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada/;
- (uu) "Monitor's Intercompany Claims Report" shall have the meaning set out in paragraph 60 herein;
- (vv) "Notice of Construction Claim" means the notice, substantially in the form attached as Schedule "K" hereto, advising each Construction Contractor of its Construction Claim (which shall, for greater certainty, be deemed to include the Construction Claims of all Construction Sub-Contractors who provided materials and/or services under an agreement with the Construction Contractor or another Construction Sub-Contractor of any level in connection with the improvement) as valued by the Sears Canada Entities with the assistance of the Monitor based on the books and records of the Sears Canada Entities;
- (ww) "Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim" means the notice, substantially in the form attached as Schedule "L" hereto, which may be delivered to the Monitor by a Construction Contractor or, where appropriate, by a Construction Sub-Contractor disputing a Notice of Construction Claim, with reasons for its dispute;

- (xx) "Notice to General Creditor Claimants" means the notice for publication by the Monitor, substantially in the form attached as Schëdule "A" hereto, which shall include, without limitation: (i) a notice to all Claimants (that are not Sears Suppliers) with potential General Creditor Claims below \$1,000 that such Claimants will-not be provided with a General Creditor Claims Package and should obtain a copy from the Monitor's website or request a copy from the Monitor; (ii) a notice to holders of Warranties stating that no Proofs of Claim are required to be filed in connection with any potential Warranty Claim because all Proofs of Claim with respect to potential Warranty Claims will be deemed to be properly submitted by the Sears Canada Entities, based on the Sears Canada Entities' books and records, on behalf of each Warranty holder, and (iii) a notice informing holders of gift cards and Sears Loyalty Points that all gift cards and Sears Loyalty Points will no longer be accepted by the Sears Canada Entities after January 21, 2018;
- (yy) "Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance" means the form substantially in the form attached as Schedule "F" hereto;
- (zz) "Notice of Revision or Disallowance" means the form substantially in the form attached as Schedule "G" hereto;
- (aaa) "Officer" means anyone who is or was or may be deemed to be or have been, whether by statute, operation of law or otherwise, an officer or *de facto* officer of any of the Sears Canada Entities, in such capacity;
- (bbb) "Order" means this Claims Procedure Order;

- 14 -

- (ccc) "Other Employee Letter" means the letter from the Monitor to be disseminated by the Monitor, in consultation with the Sears Canada Entities, to Employees not represented by Employee Representative Counsel (provided that where such Employees are subject to union representation, the Monitor shall only be required to send such letter to the unions representing the unionized Employees) advising, among other things, that their Employee Claims will be dealt with through a separate Employee Claims Process, which letter shall be substantially in the form attached hereto as Schedule "H";
- (ddd) "Other Pensioner" means any retiree and any current or former employee of the Sears Canada Entities with (i) entitlements under the Supplemental Plan, and any other pension or retirement plan of the Sears Canada Entities (not including the Sears Pension Plan), and/or (ii) other post-employment benefits entitlements;
- (eee) "Other Pensioner Claim" means a Claim, including a D&O Claim, that may be asserted by or on behalf of an Other Pensioner, and shall include any Other Pensioner Claim arising through subrogation;

.

- (fff) "Pensioner" means any Sears Pensioner or Other Pensioner;
- (ggg) "Pensioner Claim" means any Sears Pension Claim or Other Pensioner Claim;
- (hhh) "Pensioner Claims Process" means a claims process to be approved pursuant to a further Order of this Court that shall, among other things, set forth the procedure for the solicitation and assertion of Pensioner Claims against the Sears Canada Entities and/or the Directors and Officers;

With such other changes as may be greed to by Pension Representative Causel, the Pension Plan Administrator, the Sears Canada Entrities and the Monitor -16-

- (iii) "Pensioner Letter" means the letter from Pension Representative Counsel to be disseminated by Pension Representative Counsel, in consultation with the Sears Canada Entities, the Pension Plan Administrator (in respect of the Sears Pension Plan) and the Monitor, to all Pensioners advising, among other things, that their Pensioner Claims will be dealt with through a separate Pensioner Claims Process, which letter shall be substantially in the form attached hereto as Schedule "J";
- (jjj) "Pension Plan Administrator" means Morneau Shepell Ltd. in its capacity as administrator of the Sears Pension Plan;
- (kkk) "Pension Plan Administrator Website" means https://www.pensionwindups.morneaushepell.com/_private/select_plan.asp?DUR L=/en/plan_info/srrp/plan_info.asp;

(111) "Pension Representative Counsel" means Koskie Minsky LLP;

- (mmm)"Pension Representative Counsel's Website" means https://kmlaw.ca/cases/sears-canada/;
- (nnn) "Pensioner Representatives" means Bill Turner, Ken Eady and Larry Moore;
- (000) "Person" means any individual, firm, corporation, limited or unlimited liability company, general or limited partnership, association, trust (including a real estate investment trust), unincorporated organization, joint venture, government or any agency or instrumentality thereof or any other entity;
- (ppp) "Plan" means, as further defined in the Initial Order, any proposed plan of compromise or arrangement that may be filed in respect of any or all of the Sears

Canada Entities pursuant to the CCAA as the same may be amended, supplemented or restated from time to time in accordance the terms thereof;

(qqq) "Pre-Filing Period" means the period prior to the Filing Date;

- (III) "Proof of Claim" means the proof of claim to be filed by General Creditor Claimants in respect of Pre-Filing Claims, Post-Filing Claims and Restructuring Period Claims, substantially in the form attached as Schedule "C" hereto; and for greater certainty, a "Proof of Claim" shall include a Proof of Claim filed online through the Monitor's website;
- (sss) "Proof of Claim Instruction Letter" means the letter containing instructions for completing the Proof of Claim form, substantially in the form attached as Schedule "B" hereto;
- (ttt) "Provincial Lien Legislation" means the Construction Lien Act, R.S.O., 1990, c.
 C.30, the Builders' Lien Act, R.S.A. 2000, c. B-7, the Builders' Lien Act,
 R.S.N.S. 1989, c. 277, the Mechanics' Lien Act, R.S.N.B. 1973, c. M-6, The Builders' Liens Act, C.C.S.M. c. B91, the Builders Lien Act, S.B.C. 1997, c. 45, and any other similar provincial mechanics, builders or construction lien legislation in Canada;
- (uuu) "Restructuring Period" means the period on or after the Filing Date;
- (vvv) "Sears Loyalty Points" means any points issued and outstanding under the Sears Club Reward Program;

- (www) "Sears Peusion Claim" means a Claim, including a D&O Claim, that may be asserted by or on behalf of a Sears Pensioner, Pension Representative Counsel, the Superintendent or the Pension Plan Administrator, and shall include any Sears Pension Claim arising through subrogation;
- (xxx) "Sears Pension Plan" means the Sears Canada Inc. Registered Retirement Plan
 (Reg. #0360065), a pension plan registered under the Ontario Pension Benefits Act,
 R.S.O. 1990, c. P.8 and Income Tax Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. 1 (5th Supp.) with a defined
 benefit component and a defined contribution component;
- (yyy) "Sears Pensioner" means any retiree and any current or former employee of the Sears Canada Entities with entitlements under the Sears Pension Plan;
- (zzz) "Sears Supplier" means any Person who has supplied goods or services to any Sears Canada Entity;
- (aaaa) "Superintendent" means the Ontario Superintendent of Financial Services as administrator of the Pension Benefits Guarantee Fund;
- (bbbb) "Supplemental Plan" means the Sears Canada Inc. Supplementary Retirement Plan, a non-registered supplemental pension plan maintained to provide benefits to eligible participants in the defined benefit component of the Sears Pension Plan;
- (cccc) "Vacated or Discharged Liens" means the builders' or construction liens previously registered against title to any real property that has been or is owned or leased by any of the Sears Canada Entities under applicable Provincial Lien Legislation and that have been vacated pursuant to previous court orders or discharged pursuant to agreements with applicable Construction Claimants, in each

case in accordance with the requirements under applicable Provincial Lien Legislation; and

.....

1

(dddd) "Warranty" means a customer warranty provided by any one of the Sears Canada Entities, including any Sears Protection Agreement but excluding any manufacturer's warranty.

4. THIS COURT ORDERS that all references as to time herein shall mean local time in Toronto, Ontario, Canada, and any reference to an event occurring on a Business Day shall mean prior to 5:00 p.m. on such Business Day unless otherwise indicated herein, and any reference to an event occurring on a day that is not a Business Day shall mean the next following day that is a Business Day.

5. THIS COURT ORDERS that all references to the word "including" shall mean "including without limitation", all references to the singular herein include the plural, the plural include the singular, and any gender includes all genders.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

6. THIS COURT ORDERS that any Claim denominated in a foreign currency shall be converted to Canadian dollars at the Bank of Canada exchange rate in effect at the Filing Date. For reference, the exchange rate that will be applied to Claims denominated in U.S. dollars is 1.3241 CAD/USD.

7. THIS COURT ORDERS that notwithstanding any other provisions of this Order, the solicitation by the Monitor of Proofs of Claim and D&O Proofs of Claim, the delivery by the Monitor of Notices of Construction Claim, and the filing or deemed submission by any Claimant of any Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim shall not, for that reason only, grant any Person any

rights, including without limitation, in respect of the nature, quantum and priority of its Claims or its standing in the CCAA Proceedings, except as specifically set out in this Order.

8. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor, in consultation with the Sears Canada Entities and the applicable Directors and Officers in respect of any D&O Claim, is hereby authorized to use reasonable discretion as to the adequacy of compliance with respect to the manner in which any forms delivered hereunder are completed and executed and the time in which they are submitted, and may, where the Monitor, in consultation with the Sears Canada Entities and the applicable Directors and Officers in respect of any D&O Claim, is satisfied that a Claim has been adequately proven, waive strict compliance with the requirements of this Order, including in respect of the completion, execution and time of delivery of such forms; provided that it is recognized and understood that certain Claims will be contingent in nature and therefore will not contain particulars of such Claims that are not yet known as at the time they are filed.

9. THIS COURT ORDERS that amounts claimed in Assessments shall be subject to this Order and there shall be no presumption of validity or deeming of the amount due in respect of the Claim set out in any Assessment.

10. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicants shall return to Court to seek approval of an Employee Claims Process and a Pensioner Claims Process, which shall be developed in consultation with Employee Representative Counsel, Pension Representative Counsel, the Pension Plan Administrator, the Superintendent, and the Monitor, as appropriate.

MONITOR'S ROLE

÷

11. THIS COURT ORDERS that, in addition to its prescribed rights, duties, responsibilities and obligations under the CCAA, the Initial Order and any other orders of the Court in the CCAA Proceedings, the Monitor is hereby directed and empowered to implement the Claims Process set

- 20 -

out herein and to take such other actions and fulfill such other roles as are authorized by this Order or incidental thereto.

12. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor (i) shall have all of the protections given to it by the CCAA, the Initial Order, any other orders of the Court in the CCAA Proceedings, and this Order, or as an officer of the Court, including the stay of proceedings in its favour; (ii) shall incur no liability or obligation as a result of the carrying out of the provisions of this Order, including in respect of its exercise of discretion as to the completion, execution or time of delivery of any documents to be delivered hereunder, other than in respect of its gross negligence or wilful misconduct; (iii) shall be entitled to rely on the books and records of the Sears Canada Entities and any information provided by the Sears Canada Entities, all without independent investigation, provided that Intercompany Claims are subject to independent investigation by the Monitor as provided in paragraph 60 herein; and (iv) shall not be liable for any claims or damages resulting from any errors or omissions in such books, records or information.

;

13. THIS COURT ORDERS that: (a) the Sears Canada Entities, Officers, Directors, Employees, agents and representatives shall fully cooperate with the Monitor in the exercise of its powers and discharge of its duties and obligations under this Order, and (b) any credit insurers and factors that have: (i) offered services to vendors of the Sears Canada Entities; (ii) have acquired payables of the Sears Canada Entities to such vendors, and/or (iii) have drawn on letters of credit issued by any of the Sears Canada Entities in their favour to satisfy vendor claims as a result of any non-payment by any of the Sears Canada Entities, shall fully cooperate with the Monitor and the Sears Canada Entities by providing information to assist in the assessment of the quantum and validity of Claims.

EMPLOYEE REPRESENTATIVE COUNSEL'S ROLE

14. THIS COURT ORDERS that all Employees hired by the Applicants during the Restructuring Period shall be represented by Employee Representative Counsel pursuant to the Employee Representative Counsel Order dated July 13, 2017 *nunc pro tunc*, unless such Employees specifically notify Employee Representative Counsel that such Employees wish to opt-out of representation by the Employee Representatives and Employee Representative Counsel.

15. THIS COURT ORDERS that Darrin Whitney shall replace Sara Sawyer as an Employee Representative in these CCAA Proceedings, and that Employee Representative Counsel shall hereby be authorized to appoint any additional Employee Representatives as it deems necessary or desirable from time to time.

16. THIS COURT ORDERS that, in addition to the rights, duties, responsibilities and obligations granted to it under the Employee Representative Counsel Order dated July 13, 2017 and any other orders of the Court in the CCAA Proceedings, Employee Representative Counsel is hereby directed and empowered to assist in the establishment and implementation of an Employee Claims Process and the determination of the quantum and validity of Employee Claims for Employees represented by Employee Representative Counsel, in conjunction with the Sears Canada Entities and the Monitor, and to take such other actions and fulfill such other roles as are authorized by this Order or incidental thereto.

17. THIS COURT ORDERS that Employee Representative Counsel, the Employee Representatives and any Advisors retained by Employee Representative Counsel (i) shall have no personal liability or obligations as a result of the performance of its duties in carrying out the provisions of this Order, save and except for liability arising out of gross negligence or wilful misconduct; (ii) shall be entitled to rely on the books and records of the Sears Canada Entities and

- 22 -

any information provided by the Sears Canada Entities, all without independent investigation; and (iii) shall not be liable for any claims or damages resulting from any errors or omissions in such books, records or information.

18. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Sears Canada Entities and the Monitor shall cooperate with Employee Representative Counsel in the exercise of its powers and discharge of its duties and obligations under this Order.

PENSION REPRESENTATIVE COUNSEL'S ROLE

19. THIS COURT ORDERS that, in addition to the rights, duties, responsibilities and obligations granted to it under the Pension Representative Counsel Order dated July 13, 2017 and any other orders of the Court in the CCAA Proceedings, Pension Representative Counsel is hereby directed and empowered to assist in the establishment and implementation of a Pensioner Claims Process and the determination of the quantum and validity of Pensioner Claims in conjunction with the Sears Canada Entities, the Monitor, the Pension Plan Administrator and the Superintendent, and to take such other actions and fulfill such other roles as are authorized by this Order or incidental thereto.

20. THIS COURT ORDERS that Pension Representative Counsel, the Pensioner Representatives and any Advisors retained by Pension Representative Counsel (i) shall have no personal liability or obligations as a result of the performance of its duties in carrying out the provisions of this Order, save and except for liability arising out of gross negligence or wilful misconduct; (ii) shall be entitled to rely on the books and records of the Sears Canada Entities and any information provided by the Sears Canada Entities, all without independent investigation; and (iii) shall not be liable for any claims or damages resulting from any errors or omissions in such books, records or information.

- 23 -

21. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Sears Canada Entities and the Monitor shall cooperate with Pension Representative Counsel in the exercise of its powers and discharge of its duties and obligations under this Order and with the Pension Plan Administrator and Superintendent in carrying out its duties and obligations.

NOTICE OF CLAIMS AND CLAIMS PROCESS

i

22. THIS COURT ORDERS that as soon as practicable, but no later than 5:00 p.m. on December 20, 2017, the Monitor shall cause a Construction Claims Package to be sent to all known Construction Claimants who are Construction Contractors, as evidenced by the books and records of the Sears Canada Entities and at the respective last known addresses as recorded in the Sears Canada Entities' books and records or in the construction lien documentation registered on title to any real property that has been or is owned or leased by any of the Sears Canada Entities, as deemed appropriate by the Monitor with the assistance of the Sears Canada Entities. The Monitor and the Sears Canada Entities shall specify in the Notice of Construction Claim included in the Construction Claims Package the Construction Contractor's Construction Claim as valued by the Sears Canada Entities, in consultation with the Monitor, based on the books and records of the Sears Canada Entities.

23. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Notice of Construction Claim provided to each Construction Contractor shall be deemed to include the Construction Claims of all Construction Sub-Contractors under an agreement (written or oral) with the Construction Contractor or another Construction Sub-Contractor of any level in connection with the improvement to any real property that has been or is owned or leased by any of the Sears Canada Entities. Each Construction Contractor and Construction Sub-Contractor is hereby directed to forward forthwith a copy of the appropriate Notice of Construction Claim and the Construction Sub-Contractor Instruction Letter

- 24 -

to each Construction Sub-Contractor with which it has a direct contractual agreement or engagement in connection with the relevant improvement. Any dispute regarding a Construction Claim of a Construction Sub-Contractor is to be submitted through the Construction Contractor's Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim. For greater certainty, no Construction Sub-Contractor shall be required to submit a separate Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim in respect of its Construction Claim to the extent that such Construction Sub-Contractor's Construction Claim is captured by its Construction Contractor's Notice of Construction Claim or Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim. The Construction Sub-Contractor Instruction Letter shall direct all Construction Sub-Contractors to contact their Construction Contractor directly to review and submit any disputes with respect to their Construction Claims.

24. THIS COURT ORDERS that as soon as practicable, but no later than 5:00 p.m. on December 20, 2017, the Monitor shall cause a General Creditor Claims Package to be sent to:

- (a) each party that appears on the Service List or has requested a General Creditor
 Claims Package; and
- (b) any Person known to the Sears Canada Entities as potentially asserting a General Creditor Claim against any of the Sears Canada Entities (excluding any potential General Creditor Claimant with a potential General Creditor Claim below \$1,000 and that is not a Sears Supplier), as evidenced by and to the respective last known address recorded in the books and records of the Sears Canada Entities.

25. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor shall cause the Notice to General Creditor Claimants to be published at least three (3) times in The Globe and Mail (National Edition) and La Presse, and in such other international publications and with such frequency as is determined by the Monitor in consultation with the Sears Canada Entities. 26. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor shall cause the Notice to General Creditor Claimants, the Employee Letter, the Other Employee Letter, the Pensioner Letter and the General Creditor Claims Package to be posted to the Monitor's Website by no later than 5:00 p.m. on December 13, 2017.

27. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor shall: (i) cause the Employee Letter to be sent to all Employees represented by Employee Representative Counsel, and (ii) cause the Other Employee Letter to be sent to Employees not represented by Employee Representative Counsel (provided that where such Employees are subject to union representation, the Monitor shall only send such letter to the unions representing the unionized Employees), as soon as practicable but no later than 5:00 p.m. on December 20, 2017.

28. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicants shall cause the Employee Letter, the Other Employee Letter and the Pensioner Letter to be posted to the my.sears.ca portal, as soon as practicable but no later than 5:00 p.m. on December 20, 2017.

29. THIS COURT ORDERS that Employee Representative Counsel shall cause the Employee Letter to be posted to Employee Representative Counsel's Website, as soon as practicable but no later than 5:00 p.m. on December 20, 2017.

30. THIS COURT ORDERS that Pension Representative Counsel shall (i) cause the Pensioner Letter to be sent to all Pensioners, and (ii) cause the Pensioner Letter to be posted to Pension Representative Counsel's Website, as soon as practicable but no later than 5:00 p.m. on December 20, 2017. 31. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Pension Plan Administrator shall cause the Pensioner Letter to be posted to the Pension Plan Administrator Website, as soon as practicable but no later than 5:00 p.m. on December 20, 2017.

32. THIS COURT ORDERS that to the extent any Claimant requests documents or information relating to the Claims Process prior to the General Creditor Claims Bar Date, the General Creditor Post-Filing Claims Bar Date, the General Creditor Restructuring Period Claims Bar Date, or the Landlord Claims Bar Date, as applicable, the Monitor shall forthwith send such Claimant a General Creditor Claims Package, and shall direct such Claimant to the documents posted on the Monitor's Website or otherwise respond to the request for documents or information as the Monitor, in consultation with the Sears Canada Entities, may consider appropriate in the circumstances. If the Sears Canada Entities or the Monitor become aware of any further General Creditor Claims after the mailing contemplated in paragraph 24, the Monitor shall forthwith send such potential General Creditor Claimant a General Creditor Claimant a General Creditor Claimant to the documents posted on the Monitor's Website.

33. THIS COURT ORDERS that to the extent any Construction Claimant requests documents or information relating to the Claims Process prior to the Construction Claims Bar Date, or if the Sears Canada Entities or the Monitor become aware of any further Construction Claims, the Monitor shall respond to the request for documents or information as the Monitor, in consultation with the Sears Canada Entities, may consider appropriate in the circumstances, and/or, if appropriate, shall send such Claimant a Construction Claims Package.

34. THIS COURT ORDERS that any notices of disclaimer or resiliation delivered after the date of this Order to potential General Creditor Claimants in connection with any action taken by the Sears Canada Entities to restructure, disclaim, resiliate, terminate or breach any contract, lease

or other agreement, whether written or oral, pursuant to the terms of the Initial Order, shall be accompanied by a General Creditor Claims Package.

35. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Claims Process and the forms of Notice to General Creditor Claimants, Proof of Claim Instruction Letter, D&O Claim Instruction Letter, Employee Letter, Other Employee Letter, Pensioner Letter, Proof of Claim, D&O Proof of Claim, Notice of Revision or Disallowance, Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance, Notice of Construction Claim, Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim, Construction Contractor Instruction Letter, and Construction Sub-Contractor Instruction Letter are hereby approved, subject to any minor nonsubstantive changes to the forms as the Monitor and the Sears Canada Entities may consider necessary or desirable to be made from time to time.

36. THIS COURT ORDERS that the sending of the Construction Claims Package, the Construction Sub-Contractor Instruction Letter, the Employee Letter, the Other Employee Letter, the Pensioner Letter, and the General Creditor Claims Package to the applicable Persons as described above, and the publication of the Notice to General Creditor Claimants, in accordance with this Order, shall constitute good and sufficient service and delivery of notice of this Order, the Construction Claims Bar Date, the General Creditor Claims Bar Date, the General Creditor Post-Filing Claims Bar Date, the General Creditor Restructuring Period Claims Bar Date and the Landlord Claims Bar Date on all Persons who may be entitled to receive notice and who may wish to assert a Claim, and no other notice or service need be given or made and no other document or material need be sent to or served upon any Person in respect of this Order.

FILING OF PROOFS OF CLAIM

(A) **Pre-Filing Claims**

37. THIS COURT ORDERS that any General Creditor Claimant, excluding any Landlord Claimant, that intends to assert a Pre-Filing Claim or D&O Claim relating to the Pre-Filing Period shall file a Proof of Claim or D&O. Proof of Claim, as applicable, with the Monitor on or before the General Creditor Claims Bar Date. Any General Creditor Claimant may file a Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim through the online portal on the Monitor's website, and such Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim shall be deemed to have been received by the Monitor as of the time it is submitted on the Monitor's website. For the avoidance of doubt, a Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim, as applicable, must be filed by every such General Creditor Claimant in respect of every such Pre-Filing Claim or D&O Claim relating to the Pre-Filing Period, regardless of whether or not a legal proceeding in respect of such Pre-Filing Claim or D&O Claim has been previously commenced.

38. THIS COURT ORDERS that any General Creditor Claimant, excluding any Landlord Claimant, that does not file a Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim, as applicable, so that such Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim is received by the Monitor on or before the General Creditor Claims Bar Date, or such later date as the Monitor may agree in writing or the Court may otherwise direct:

- (a) be and is hereby forever barred, estopped and enjoined from asserting or enforcing any such Pre-Filing Claim or any such D&O Claim relating to the Pre-Filing Period and all such Pre-Filing Claims or D&O Claims shall be forever extinguished;
- (b) will not be permitted to vote at any Meeting on account of such Pre-Filing Claim(s)
 or D&O Claim(s) relating to the Pre-Filing Period;

- 29 -

- (c) will not be entitled to receive further notice with respect to the Claims Process or these proceedings unless the Monitor and/or the Sears Canada Entities become aware that such General Creditor Claimant has any other Claim; and
- (d) will not be permitted to participate in any distribution under any Plan on account of such Pre-Filing Claim(s) or D&O Claim(s).
- (B) **Post-Filing Claims**

39. THIS COURT ORDERS that after the date of this Order, upon becoming aware of a potential Post-Filing Claim, the Monitor shall send a General Creditor Claims Package to the General Creditor Claimant in respect of such Post-Filing Claim in the manner provided for herein or may direct such potential Claimant to the documents posted on the Monitor's website.

40. THIS COURT ORDERS that any General Creditor Claimant, excluding any Landlord Claimant, that intends to assert a Post-Filing Claim shall file a Proof of Claim with the Monitor on or before the General Creditor Post-Filing Claims Bar Date. Any General Creditor Claimant, excluding any Landlord Claimant, may file a Proof of Claim through the online portal on the Monitor's website, and such Proof of Claim shall be deemed to have been received by the Monitor as of the time it is submitted on the Monitor's website.

41. THIS COURT ORDERS that any General Creditor Claimant, excluding any Landlord Claimant, that does not file a Proof of Claim in respect of a Post-Filing Claim so that such Proof of Claim is received by the Monitor on or before the General Creditor Post-Filing Claims Bar Date, or such later date as the Monitor may agree in writing or the Court may otherwise direct:

- (a) be and is hereby forever barred, estopped and enjoined from asserting or enforcing any such Post-Filing Claim and all such Post-Filing Claims shall be forever extinguished;
- (b) will not be permitted to vote at any Meeting on account of such Post-Filing Claim(s);

į.

- (c) will not be entitled to receive further notice with respect to the Claims Process or these proceedings unless the Monitor and/or the Sears Canada Entities become aware that such General Creditor Claimant has any other Claim; and
- (d) will not be permitted to participate in any distribution under any Plan on account of such Post-Filing Claim(s).

(C) Restructuring Period Claims

42. THIS COURT ORDERS that after the date of this Order, upon becoming aware of a circumstance giving rise to a potential Restructuring Period Claim, the Monitor shall send a General Creditor Claims Package to the General Creditor Claimant in respect of such Restructuring Period Claim in the manner provided for herein or may direct such potential Claimant to the documents posted on the Monitor's Website.

43. THIS COURT ORDERS that any General Creditor Claimant, excluding any Landlord Claimant, that intends to assert a Restructuring Period Claim or D&O Claim relating to the Restructuring Period shall file a Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim, as applicable, with the Monitor on or before the General Creditor Restructuring Period Claims Bar Date. Any General Creditor Claimant, excluding any Landlord Claimant, may file a Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim through the online portal on the Monitor's website, and such Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim shall be deemed to have been received by the Monitor as of the time it is submitted on the Monitor's website. For the avoidance of doubt, a Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim must be filed by every such General Creditor Claimant in respect of every such Restructuring Period Claim or D&O Claim relating to the Restructuring Period, regardless of whether or not a legal proceeding in respect of such Restructuring Period Claim or D&O Claim has been previously commenced.

44. THIS COURT ORDERS that any General Creditor Claimant, excluding any Landlord Claimant, that does not file a Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim, as applicable, so that such Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim is received by the Monitor on or before the General Creditor Restructuring Period Claims Bar Date, or such later date as the Monitor may agree in writing or the Court may otherwise direct:

- (a) be and is hereby forever barred, estopped and enjoined from asserting or enforcing any such Restructuring Period Claim or any such D&O Claim relating to the Restructuring Period and all such Restructuring Period Claims or D&O Claims shall be forever extinguished;
- (b) will not be permitted to vote at any Meeting on account of such Restructuring
 Period Claim(s) or D&O Claim(s);
- (c) will not be entitled to receive further notice with respect to the Claims Process or these proceedings unless the Monitor and/or the Sears Canada Entities become aware that such General Creditor Claimant has any other Claim; and
- (d) will not be permitted to participate in any distribution under any Plan on account
 of such Restructuring Period Claim(s) or D&O Claim(s).

(D) Landlord Claims

45. THIS COURT ORDERS that any Landlord Claimant that intends to assert a Landlord Claim shall file a Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim, as applicable, with the Monitor on or before the Landlord Claims Bar Date. Any Landlord Claimant may file a Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim through the online portal on the Monitor's website, and such Proof of Claim or. D&O Proof of Claim shall be deemed to have been received by the Monitor as of the time it is submitted on the Monitor's website. For the avoidance of doubt, a Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim, as applicable, must be filed by every Landlord Claimant in respect of every Landlord Claim, regardless of whether or not a legal proceeding in respect of such Claim has been previously commenced.

•

46. THIS COURT ORDERS that any Landlord Claimant that does not file a Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim, as applicable, so that such Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim is received by the Monitor on or before the Landlord Claims Bar Date, or such later date as the Monitor may agree in writing or the Court may otherwise direct:

- (a) be and is hereby forever barred, estopped and enjoined from asserting or enforcing any such Landlord Claim and all such Landlord Claims shall be forever extinguished;
- (b) will not be permitted to vote at any Meeting on account of such Landlord Claim(s);
- (c) will not be entitled to receive further notice with respect to the Claims Process or these proceedings unless the Monitor and/or the Sears Canada Entities become aware that such Landlord Claimant has any other Claim; and

(d) will not be permitted to participate in any distribution under any Plan on account of such Landlord Claim(s).

47. THIS COURT ORDERS that the provisions of paragraphs 37, 38, 40, 41, and 43 to 46 herein shall not apply to Intercompany Claims or any Claims with respect to Warranties. Proofs of Claim for all Claims with respect to Warranties shall be deemed to have been properly submitted as Pre-Filing Claims or Restructuring Period Claims, as applicable, in accordance with the applicable requirements of this Order.

ADJUDICATION OF CLAIMS OTHER THAN INTERCOMPANY CLAIMS

48. THIS COURT ORDERS that, for greater certainty, the procedures outlined in paragraphs 49 to 59 herein shall not apply to the adjudication of Intercompany Claims.

Construction Claims

49. THIS COURT ORDERS that if a Construction Claimant disputes the amount of the Claim, including any D&O Claim, as set out in the Notice of Construction Claim, such Construction Claimant shall ensure that the Construction Contractor who received such Notice of Construction Claim shall deliver to the Monitor a Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim. All Notices of Dispute of Construction Claim must be received by the Monitor by no later than the Construction Claims Bar Date.

50. THIS COURT ORDERS that, in the event that a dispute raised in a Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim is not settled within a time period or in a manner satisfactory to the Monitor, in consultation with the Sears Canada Entities and the applicable Directors and Officers in respect of any D&O Claim included in a Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim, the Monitor shall refer the dispute raised in the Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim to a Claims Officer or the Court for adjudication at its election. For greater certainty, any party may file additional evidence,

- 34 -

documentation, reports or information on any hearing to resolve the issues raised in a Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim and no party will object to the filing of such additional evidence on the basis that such evidence, documentation, report or information was not included in the initial Notice of Construction Claim or Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim.

51. THIS COURT ORDERS that if a Construction Contractor does not deliver to the Monitor a completed Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim, and no other Notices of Dispute of Construction Claim have been received by the Monitor from any Construction Sub-Contractors in respect of such Claim, by the Construction Claims Bar Date disputing the Construction Claim as set out in a Notice of Construction Claim, then all Construction Claimants associated with such Notice of Construction Claim shall be deemed to have accepted the Construction Contractor's Construction Claim and no such Construction Claimant shall have any further right to dispute same.

52. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor shall make reasonable efforts to promptly deliver a copy of any Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim that asserts a Construction Claim against any of the Directors and Officers to such named Directors and Officers.

General Creditor Proofs of Claim

53. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor, in consultation with the Sears Canada Entities, shall review each Proof of Claim submitted in accordance with this Order and received on or before the General Creditor Claims Bar Date, the General Creditor Post-Filing Claims Bar Date, the General Creditor Restructuring Period Claims Bar Date, or the Landlord Claims Bar Date, as applicable, and shall accept, revise or reject each Claim set forth in each such Proof of Claim.

54. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor shall make reasonable efforts to promptly deliver a copy of any D&O Proofs of Claim, Notices of Revision or Disallowance with respect to any D&O Claim, and Notices of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance with respect to any D&O Claim, to the applicable Directors and Officers named therein.

55. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor, in consultation with the Sears Canada Entities and the applicable Directors and Officers named in any D&O Proof of Claim, and any counsel for such Directors and Officers, shall review each D&O Proof of Claim submitted in accordance with this Order and received on or before the General Creditor Claims Bar Date, the General Creditor Restructuring Period Claims Bar Date or the Landlord Claims Bar Date, as applicable. The Monitor shall accept, revise or reject each Claim set forth in each such D&O Proof of Claim, provided that the Monitor shall not accept or revise any portion of a D&O Claim absent consent of the applicable Directors and Officers or further Order of the Court.

56. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor shall notify the General Creditor Claimant who has delivered such Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim, as applicable, that such Claim has been revised or rejected and the reasons therefor, by sending a Notice of Revision or Disallowance by no later than July 31, 2018 or such later date as ordered by the Court on application by the Monitor.

57. THIS COURT ORDERS that any General Creditor Claimant who intends to dispute a Notice of Revision or Disallowance hereof shall:

(a) deliver a completed Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance, along with the reasons for the dispute, to the Monitor by no later than thirty (30) days after the date on which the General Creditor Claimant is deemed to receive the Notice of Revision or Disallowance, or such other date as may be agreed to by the Monitor in writing (provided that any General Creditor Claimant may file such Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance through the online portal on the Monitor's website, and such Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance through the online portal on the Monitor's website, and such Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance shall be deemed

to have been received by the Monitor as of the time it is submitted on the Monitor's website); and

(b) in the event that a dispute raised in a Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance is not settled within a time period or in a manner satisfactory to the Monitor, in consultation with the Sears Canada Entities and the applicable Directors and Officers in respect of any D&O Claim, the Monitor shall refer the dispute raised in the Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance to a Claims Officer or the Court for adjudication at its election. For greater certainty, any party may file additional evidence, documentation, reports or information on any hearing to resolve the issues raised in a Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance and no party will object to the filing of such additional evidence on the basis that such evidence, documentation, report or information was not included in the initial Proof of Claim, D&O Proof of Claim or Notice of Revision or Disallowance.

58. THIS COURT ORDERS that where a General Creditor Claimant that receives a Notice of Revision or Disallowance does not file a completed Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance by the time set out in paragraph 57(a), such General Creditor Claimant's Claim or D&O Claim relating to such Claim shall be deemed to be as set out in the Notice of Revision or Disallowance and such General Creditor Claimant shall have no further right to dispute same.

59. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor, in consultation with the Sears Canada Entities and the applicable Directors and Officers in respect of any D&O Claim, may refer any Claim to a Claims Officer or the Court for adjudication at its election by sending written notice to the applicable parties at any time.

- 37 -

INTERCOMPANY CLAIMS

60. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor shall prepare a report to be served on the Service List and filed with the Court for the Court to consider, detailing its review of all Intercompany Claims and assessing in detail with reasonably sufficient particulars and analysis the validity and quantum of such Claims (the "Monitor's Intercompany Claims Report"). The Monitor's Intercompany Claims Report shall be served on or before the General Creditor Claims Bar Date, unless otherwise ordered by this Court on application by the Monitor and shall contain a recommendation with respect to the next steps to be taken, if any, with respect to the determination and adjudication of Intercompany Claims. For greater certainty, nothing in the Monitor's Intercompany Claims as the Court sees fit, including without limitation, the validity, priority or quantum of such Intercompany Claims.

61. THIS COURT ORDERS that each Intercompany Claim identified in the Monitor's Intercompany Claims Report shall be deemed to have been properly submitted through a Proof of Claim in respect of such Intercompany Claim by the Intercompany Claimant as if such Claim was a Pre-Filing Claim or Restructuring Period Claim, as applicable, in accordance with the requirements of this Order, and any Intercompany Claims not included in the Monitor's Intercompany Claims Report shall be deemed to be a General Creditor Claim barred pursuant to paragraph 38 of this Order.

CLAIMS OFFICER

62. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Hon. Mr. James Farley, Q.C., and such other Persons as may be appointed by the Court from time to time on application of the Monitor, in consultation with the Sears Canada Entities, be and are hereby appointed as Claims Officers for the Claims Process. The Monitor, in consultation with the Sears Canada Entities, is hereby permitted to seek

- 38 -

the Court's referral of a disputed Construction Claim to a Construction Lien Master, who shall be appointed as a Claims Officer hereunder, in accordance with applicable Provincial Lien Legislation.

63. THIS COURT ORDERS that a Claims Officer shall determine the validity and amount of disputed Claims in accordance with this Order and to the extent necessary may determine whether any Claim or part thereof constitutes an Excluded Claim and shall provide written reasons. A Claims Officer shall determine all procedural matters which may arise in respect of his or her determination of these matters, including the manner in which any evidence may be adduced. A Claims Officer shall have the discretion to determine by whom and to what extent the costs of any hearing before a Claims Officer shall be paid.

1.1.1

64. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor, the General Creditor Claimant, the Sears Canada Entities and the applicable Directors and Officers in respect of any D&O Claim may, within ten (10) days of such party receiving notice of a Claims Officer's determination of the value of a General Creditor Claimant's Claim, appeal such determination or any other matter determined by the Claims Officer in accordance with paragraph 63 or otherwise to the Court by filing a notice of appeal, and the appeal shall be initially returnable for scheduling purposes within ten (10) days of filing such notice of appeal.

65. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor, any Construction Claimant, including a Construction Sub-Contractor, the Sears Canada Entities and the applicable Directors and Officers in respect of any D&O Claim relating to a Construction Claim may, within ten (10) days of such party receiving notice of a Claims Officer's determination of the value of a Construction Contractor's Construction Claim, appeal such determination or any other matter determined by the Claims Officer in accordance with paragraph 63 or otherwise to the Court by filing a notice of

- 39 -

appeal, and the appeal shall be initially returnable for scheduling purposes within ten (10) days of filing such notice of appeal.

66. THIS COURT ORDERS that, if no party appeals the determination of value of a Claim by a Claims Officer in accordance with the requirements set out in paragraphs 64 and 65 above, the decision of the Claims Officer in determining the value of the Claim shall be final and binding upon the Sears Canada Entities, the Monitor, the applicable Directors and Officers in respect of a D&O Claim and the Claimant, and there shall be no further right of appeal, review or recourse to the Court from the Claims Officer's final determination of a Claim.

67. THIS COURT ORDERS that the provisions of paragraphs 62 to 66 herein shall not apply . to Intercompany Claims,

NOTICE OF TRANSFEREES

68. THIS COURT ORDERS that, from the date of this Order until seven (7) days prior to the date fixed by the Court for any distribution in the CCAA Proceedings or any other proceeding, including a bankruptcy, leave is hereby granted to permit a Claimant to provide to the Monitor notice of assignment or transfer of a Claim to any third party, and that no assignment or transfer of a partial Claim shall be permitted.

69. THIS COURT ORDERS that, subject to the terms of any subsequent Order of this Court, if, after the Filing Date, the holder of a Claim transfers or assigns the whole of such Claim to another Person, neither the Monitor nor the Sears Canada Entities shall be obligated to give notice to or otherwise deal with the transferee or assignee of such Claim in respect thereof unless and until actual notice of transfer or assignment, together with satisfactory evidence of such transfer or assignment, shall have been received and acknowledged by the Monitor in writing and thereafter such transferee or assignee shall, for the purposes hereof, constitute the "Claimant" in respect of the whole of such Claim. Any such transferee or assignee of a Claim shall be bound by any notices given or steps taken in respect of such Claim in accordance with this Order prior to receipt and acknowledgement by the Monitor of satisfactory evidence of such transfer or assignment. A transferee or assignee of a Claim takes the Claim subject to any rights of set-off to which the Sears Canada Entities and/or the applicable Directors and Officers may be entitled with respect to such Claim. For greater certainty, a transferee or assignee of a Claim is not entitled to set-off, apply, merge, consolidate or combine any Claim assigned or transferred to it against or on account or in reduction of any amounts owing by such Person to the Sears Canada Entities or the applicable Directors and Officers.

SERVICE AND NOTICE

70. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor may, unless otherwise specified by this Order, serve and deliver or cause to be served and delivered the Construction Claims Package, the Employee Letter, the Other Employee Letter and the General Creditor Claims Package, and any letters, notices or other documents, to the appropriate Claimants, Employees, Pensioners, unions, or other interested Persons by forwarding true copies thereof by prepaid ordinary mail, courier, personal delivery, facsimile transmission or email to such Persons at the physical or electronic address, as applicable, last shown on the books and records of the Sears Canada Entities or, where applicable, as set out in such Claimant's Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim.

71. THIS COURT ORDERS that Pension Representative Counsel may, unless otherwise specified by this Order, serve and deliver or cause to be served and delivered the Pensioner Letter, and any letters, notices or other documents, to the Pensioners by forwarding true copies thereof by prepaid ordinary mail, courier, personal delivery, facsimile transmission or email to such Persons

- 41 -

at the physical or electronic address, as applicable, last shown on the books and records of the Sears Canada Entities.

72. THIS COURT ORDERS that such service and delivery of any documents in connection with this Claims Process shall be deemed to have been received: (i) if sent by ordinary mail, on the third Business Day after mailing to an address within Ontario, the fifth Business Day after mailing to an address within Canada (other than within Ontario), and the tenth Business Day after mailing to an address internationally; (ii) if sent by courier or personal delivery, on the next Business Day following dispatch; and (iii) if delivered by facsimile transmission or email by 5:00 p.m. on a Business Day, on such Business Day and if delivered after 5:00 p.m. or other than on a Business Day, on the following Business Day.

73. THIS COURT ORDERS that any notice or communication required to be provided or delivered by a Claimant to the Monitor under this Order shall, unless otherwise specified in this Order, be in writing in substantially the form, if any, provided for in this Order and will be sufficiently given only if delivered by prepaid ordinary mail, registered mail, courier, personal delivery, facsimile transmission or email addressed to:

> FTI Consulting Canada Inc., Sears Canada Monitor TD Waterhouse Tower 79 Wellington Street West Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104 Toronto, ON M5K 1G8

Attention:Sears Canada Claims ProcessEmail:searscanada@fticonsulting.comFax:416-649-8101

Subject to paragraphs 37, 43 and 57(a) hereto, any such notice or communication delivered by a Claimant shall be deemed received upon actual receipt by the Monitor thereof during normal

business hours on a Business Day, or if delivered outside of normal business hours, the next Business Day.

74. THIS COURT ORDERS that if, during any period during which notices or other communications are being given pursuant to this Order, a postal strike or postal work stoppage of general application should occur, such notices or other communications sent by ordinary or registered mail and then not received shall not, absent further Order of this Court, be effective and notices and other communications given hereunder during the course of any such postal strike or work stoppage of general application shall only be effective if given by courier, personal delivery, facsimile transmission or email in accordance with this Order.

MISCELLANEOUS

75. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Sears Canada Entities, the Monitor, Employee Representative Counsel, Pension Representative Counsel, the Pension Plan Administrator and the Superintendent may from time to time apply to this Court to extend the time for any action which the Sears Canada Entities, the Monitor, Employee Representative Counsel, Pension Representative Counsel, the Pension Plan Administrator or the Superintendent is required to take if reasonably required to carry out its duties and obligations pursuant to this Order and for advice and directions concerning the discharge of its powers and duties under this Order or the interpretation or application of this Order.

76. THIS COURT ORDERS that nothing in this Order shall prejudice the rights and remedies of any Directors or Officers or other Persons under the Directors' Priority Charge, the Directors' Subordinated Charge or any applicable insurance policy or prevent or bar any Person from seeking recourse against or payment from the Sears Canada Entities' insurance or any Director's or Officer's liability insurance policy or policies that exist to protect or indemnify the Directors or

- 43 -

Officers or other Persons, whether such recourse or payment is sought directly by the Person asserting a Claim from the insurer or derivatively through the Director or Officer or any Sears Canada Entity; provided, however, that nothing in this Order shall create any rights in favour of such Person under any policies of insurance nor shall anything in this Order limit, remove, modify or alter any defence to such Claim available to the insurer pursuant to the provisions of any insurance policy or at law; and further provided that any Claim or portion thereof for which the Person receives payment directly from, or confirmation that he or she is covered by, the Sears Canada Entities' insurance or any Director's or Officer's liability insurance or other liability insurance policy or policies that exist to protect or indemnify the Directors or Officers or other Persons shall not be recoverable as against a Sears Canada Entity or Director or Officer as applicable.

77. THIS COURT ORDERS that this Order shall have full force and effect in all provinces and territories in Canada.

78. THIS COURT HEREBY REQUESTS the aid and recognition of any court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative bodies, having jurisdiction in Canada or in the United States of America, to give effect to this Order and to assist the Sears Canada Entities, the Monitor and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order. All courts, tribunals, regulatory and administrative bodies are hereby respectfully requested to make such orders and to provide such assistance to the Sears Canada Entities and to the Monitor, as an officer of this Court, as may be necessary or desirable to give effect to this Order, to grant representative status to the Monitor in any foreign proceeding, or to assist the Sears Canada Entities and the Monitor and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order.

ENTERED AT / INSCRIT À TORONTO ON / BOOK NO: LE / DANS LE REGISTRE NO:

DEC 0 8 2017

Haine

PER / PAR:

SCHEDULE A

NOTICE TO CREDITORS AND OTHERS OF FILING OF CLAIMS AGAINST THE SEARS CANADA ENTITIES AND/OR THEIR DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

RE: NOTICE OF CLAIMS PROCESS AND CLAIMS BAR DATE IN COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT PROCEEDINGS OF SEARS CANADA INC., CORBEIL ÉLECTRIQUE INC., S.L.H. TRANSPORT INC., THE CUT INC., SEARS CONTACT SERVICES INC., INITIUM LOGISTICS SERVICES INC., INITIUM COMMERCE LABS INC., INITIUM TRADING AND SOURCING CORP., SEARS FLOOR COVERING CENTRES INC., 173470 CANADA INC., 2497089 ONTARIO INC., 6988741 CANADA INC., 10011711 CANADA INC., 1592580 ONTARIO LIMITED, 955041 ALBERTA LTD., 4201731 CANADA INC., 168886 CANADA INC., 3339611 CANADA INC. and SEARSCONNECT (COLLECTIVELY, THE "SEARS CANADA ENTITIES")

.

;

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on [December 8], 2017, the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Commercial List) issued an order (the "Claims Procedure Order") in the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* proceedings of the Sears Canada Entities, commencing a claims procedure (the "Claims Process") for the purpose of identifying and determining all Claims against the Sears Canada Entities and their respective Directors and Officers (including former directors and officers). Capitalized terms used but not defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Claims Procedure Order. Please review the Claims Procedure Order for the complete definitions of "Claim", "Pre-Filing Claim", "Restructuring Period Claim", "Post-Filing Claim", "Construction Claim", "Landlord Claim" and "D&O Claim" to which the Claims Process applies.

The Claims Procedure Order requires that all Persons who assert or wish to assert a Claim against the Sears Canada Entities, whether unliquidated, contingent or otherwise, and all Persons who assert a Claim against Directors or Officers of the Sears Canada Entities, <u>MUST file a Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim, as applicable, with FTI Consulting Canada Inc. in its capacity as Monitor of the Sears Canada Entities (the "Monitor") on or before 5:00 p.m. (Toronto lime) on March 2, 2018 (or (i) in the case of a Restructuring Period Claim, on or before the applicable Restructuring Period Claims Bar Date, (ii) in the case of a Post-Filing Claim, on or before April 2, 2018, and (iii) in the case of a Landlord Claim, on or before the applicable Landlord Claims Bar Date).</u>

Certain Claimants are exempted from the requirement to file a Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim, as applicable, at this time including: (a) current or former employees of the Sears Canada Entities, whose Claims (of any type) are to be addressed in a future claims process being developed by the Sears Canada Entities and the Monitor, working in conjunction with Employee Representative Counsel, Pension Representative Counsel, the Pension Administrator and the Superintendent; (b) holders of Construction Claims, as Construction Contractors (as defined in the Claims Procedure Order) will be contacted by the Monitor in respect of such Construction Claims; and (c) holders of any customer warranty provided by a Sears Canada Entity for any Claim in respect of such warranty.

Please also take notice that effective as of January 21, 2018, Sears Loyalty Points and gift cards will no longer be honoured by the Sears Canada Entities.

The General Creditor Claims Bar Date is 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on March 2, 2018. Proofs of Claim in respect of Pre-Filing Claims against one or more of the Sears Canada Entities, and

D&O Proofs of Claim against any of the Directors and/or Officers of the Sears Canada Entities in respect of the Pre-Filing Period (*i.e.*, Claims arising prior to June 22, 2017), <u>must be</u> completed and filed with the Monitor on or before the General Creditor Claims Bar Date.

The General Creditor Restructuring Period Claims Bar Date is 5:00 pm (Toronto time) on the date that is the later of (i) 45 days after the date on which the Monitor sends a General Creditor Claims Package with respect to a Restructuring Period Claim and (ii) the General Creditor Claims Bar Date. Proofs of Claim and D&O Proofs of Claim in respect of Restructuring Period Claims must be completed and filed with the Monitor on or before the General Creditor Restructuring Period Claims Bar Date.

The General Creditor Post-Filing Claims Bar Date is 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on April 2, 2018. Proofs of Claim in respect of Post-Filing Claims (*i.e.*, claims for non-payment of goods or services supplied to a Sears Canada Entity on or after June 22, 2017) must be completed and filed with the Monitor on or before the General Creditor Post-Filing Claims Bar Date.

The Landlord Claims Bar Date is 5:00 pm (Toronto time) on the date that is the later of (i) 45 days after the date on which the Monitor sends a General Creditor Claims Package with respect to a Landlord Claim and (ii) April 2, 2018. Proofs of Claim and D&O Proofs of Claim in respect of Landlord Claims must be completed and filed with the Monitor on or before the Landlord Claims Bar Date.

Only Proofs of Claim and D&O Proofs of Claim <u>actually received</u> by the Monitor on or before 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on March 2, 2018 (or in the case of (i) a Restructuring Period Claim, on or before the Restructuring Period Claims Bar Date, (ii) in the case of a Post-Filing Claim, on or before the General Creditor Post-Filing Claims Bar Date, or (iii) in the case of a Landlord Claim, on or before the Landlord Claims Bar Date) will be considered filed on time.

FAILURE TO FILE A PROOF OF CLAIM OR D&O PROOF OF CLAIM SO IT IS RECEIVED BY THE APPLICABLE CLAIMS BAR DATE WILL RESULT IN YOUR CLAIM BEING BARRED AND EXTINGUISHED FOREVER.

Pursuant to the Claims Procedure Order, General Creditor Claims Packages, including the form of Proof of Claim and D&O Proof of Claim, will be sent by the Monitor to all known General Creditor Claimants with potential Claims above \$1,000, and to all Sears Suppliers.¹ A copy of the Claims Procedure Order, the General Creditor Claims Package (including copies of the Proof of Claim and D&O Proof of Claim forms), and other public information concerning these CCAA Proceedings be found Monitor's website may also at the at cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada.

Claimants can also, and are in fact strongly encouraged to, submit their Proofs of Claim or D&O Proofs of Claim, as applicable, at this website.

Claimants requiring further information or claim documentation, or who wish to submit a Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim to the Monitor, may contact the Monitor at the following address:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., Sears Canada Monitor

¹ This sentence to be deleted from all forms of Notice included in a General Creditor Claims Package.

TD Waterhouse Tower 79 Wellington Street West Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104 Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Sears Canada Claims Process

 Tel.:
 416-649-8113

 Toll Free:
 1-855-649-8113

 Fax No::
 -416-649-8101

 Email:
 searscanada@fticonsulting.com

DATED this _____ day of December, 2017.

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., in its capacity as Court-appointed Monitor of the Sears Canada Entities

SCHEDULE B

CLAIMANT'S GUIDE TO COMPLETING THE PROOF OF CLAIM FORM FOR CLAIMS AGAINST THE SEARS CANADA ENTITIES¹

This Guide has been prepared to assist Claimants in filling out the Proof of Claim form for Claims against the Sears Canada Entities. If you have any additional questions regarding completion of the Proof of Claim form, please consult the Monitor's website at <u>cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada</u> or contact the Monitor, whose contact information is shown below.

Please note that this is a guide only, and that in the event of any inconsistency between the terms of this guide and the terms of the Claims Procedure Order made on [December 8], 2017 (the "Claims Procedure Order"), the terms of the Claims Procedure Order will govern. Unless otherwise defined, all capitalized terms used herein have the meanings given to them in the Claims Procedure Order.

A copy of the Claims Procedure Order and additional copies of the Proof of Claim form may be found at the Monitor's website. <u>Claimants can, and are in fact strongly encouraged to, submit</u> their Proof of Claim at the Monitor's website at cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada.

Note further that certain Claimants are <u>exempted</u> from the requirement to file a Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim, as applicable, at this time including:

- (a) current or former employees of the Sears Canada Entities, whose Claims (of any type) are to be addressed in a future claims process being developed by the Sears Canada Entities and the Monitor, working in conjunction with Employee Representative Counsel, Pension Representative Counsel, the Pension Administrator and the Superintendent;
- (b) holders of Construction Claims, as Construction Contractors (as defined in the Claims Procedure Order) will be contacted by the Monitor in respect of such Construction Claims; and
- (c) holders of any customer warranty provided by a Sears Canada Entity, as the Sears Canada Entities will be deemed to have already filed Proofs of Claim on behalf of each warranty holder for the purposes of this Claims Process.

SECTION 1 – DEBTOR(S)

i inte

2 The full name of each Sears Canada Entity against which the Claim is asserted must be listed (see footnote 1 for complete list of Sears Canada Entities). If there are insufficient lines to record each such name, attach a separate schedule indicating the required information.

¹ The "Sears Canada Entities" are Sears Canada Inc., Corbeil Électrique Inc., S.L.H. Transport Inc., The Cut Inc., Sears Contact Services Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Trading and Sourcing Corp., Sears Floor Covering Centres Inc., 173470 Canada Inc., 2497089 Ontario Inc., 6988741 Canada Inc., 10011711 Canada Inc., 1592580 Ontario Limited, 955041 Alberta Ltd., 4201731 Canada Inc., 168886 Canada Inc., 3339611 Canada Inc., and SearsConnect.

SECTION 2(a) – CLAIMANT

- 1 A separate Proof of Claim must be filed by each legal entity or person asserting a Claim against the Sears Canada Entities, or any of them.
- 2 The Claimant shall include any and all Claims it asserts against the Sears Canada Entities, or any of them, in a single Proof of Claim.

.....

- 3 The full legal name of the Claimant must be provided.
- ••• ••• •
- 4 If the Claimant operates under a different name or names, please indicate this in a separate schedule in the supporting documentation.
- 5 If the Claim has been acquired via assignment or other transfer from another party, Section 2(b) must also be completed.
- 6 Unless the Claim is assigned or transferred, all future correspondence, notices, etc. regarding the Claim will be directed to the Claimant at the address indicated in this section.

SECTION 2(b) – PARTICULARS OF ORIGINAL CLAIMANT FROM WHOM YOU ACQUIRED CLAIM, IF APPLICABLE

- 1 If the Claimant acquired its Claim by assignment or other transfer from an original holder of the Claim, then Section 2(b) must be completed, and all documents evidencing the assignment must be attached.
- 2 The full legal name of the original holder of the Claim must be provided.
- 3 If the original holder of the Claim operates under a different name or names, please indicate this in a separate schedule in the supporting documentation.

SECTION 3 – AMOUNT AND TYPE OF CLAIM

Amount

- 1 If the Claim is a *Pre-Filing* Claim within the meaning of the Claims Procedure Order, then indicate the amount that each appropriate Sears Canada Entity was and still is indebted to the Claimant in the space reserved for Pre-Filing Claims in the "Amount of Claim" column, including interest up to and including June 22, 2017.
- 2 If the Claim is a *Restructuring Period* Claim within the meaning of the Claims Procedure Order, then indicate the Claim amount that each appropriate Sears Canada Entity was and still is indebted to the Claimant in the space reserved for Restructuring Period Claims in the "Amount of Claim" column (which is below the space reserved for Pre-Filing Claims).

For reference, a "**Restructuring Period Claim**" means any right or claim of any Person against any of the Sears Canada Entities, including in connection with any indebtedness,

liability, or obligation of any kind whatsoever of any such Sears Canada Entity to such Person arising on or after June 22, 2017, including without limitation rights or claims with respect to the restructuring, disclaimer, resiliation, termination or breach by such Sears Canada Entity on or after June 22, 2017 of any contract, lease or other agreement whether written or oral, but excluding any Post-Filing Claims.

3 If the Claim is a Post-Filing Claim within the meaning of the Claims Procedure Order, then indicate the Claim amount that each appropriate Sears Canada Entity was and still is indebted to the Claimant in the space reserved for Post-Filing Claims in the "Amount of Claim" column-(which is below the space reserved for Restructuring Period Claims).

For reference "Post-Filing Claim" means any right or claim of any Sears Supplier against any of the Sears Canada Entities in connection with any non-payment by any such Sears Canada Entity to such Sears Supplier for goods or services supplied to such Sears Canada Entity on or after June 22, 2017.

- 4 If the Claim is a *Landlord* Claim within the meaning of the Claims Procedure Order, then indicate the amount of the Landlord Claim that is a Pre-Filing Claim, Restructuring Period Claim, or Post-Filing Claim, as applicable, in the space reserved for such Claims in the "Amount of Claim" column.
- 5 If there are insufficient lines to record each Claim amount, attach a separate schedule indicating the required information.

Currency

- 1 The amount of the Claim must be provided in the currency in which it arose.
- 2 Indicate the appropriate currency in the "Currency" column.
- 3 If the Claim is denominated in multiple currencies, use a separate line to indicate the Claim amount in each such currency. If there are insufficient lines to record these amounts, attach a separate schedule indicating the required information.
- 4 If necessary, currency will be converted in accordance with the Claims Procedure Order.

Whether Claim is Secured and Value of Security

- 1 Check the appropriate box if the Claim recorded on that line is a secured claim. If it is, indicate the value which you ascribe to the assets charged by your security in the adjacent column.
- 2 If the Claim is secured, on a separate schedule provide full particulars of the security, including the date on which the security was given, the value which you ascribe to the assets charged by your security and the basis for such valuation and attach a copy of the security documents evidencing the security.

3

SECTION 4 – SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

1 Attach to the Proof of Claim form all particulars of the Claim and supporting documentation, including amount, and description of transaction(s) or agreement(s), or legal breach(es) giving rise to the Claim, any claim assignment/transfer agreement or similar document, if applicable, the name of any guarantor(s) which has guaranteed the Claim, the amount of invoices, particulars of all credits, discounts, etc. claimed, as well as a description of the security, if any, granted by the affected Sears Canada Entity to the Claimant and the estimated value of such security.

SECTION 5 – CERTIFICATION

- 1 The person signing the Proof of Claim should:
 - (a) be the Claimant or authorized representative of the Claimant;
 - (b) have knowledge of all the circumstances connected with this Claim;
 - (c) assert the Claim against the Debtor(s) as set out in the Proof of Claim and certify all supporting documentation is attached; and
 - (d) have a witness to its certification.
- 2 By signing and submitting the Proof of Claim, the Claimant is asserting the Claim against each Sears Canada Entity named as a "Debtor" in the Proof of Claim.

SECTION 6 – FILING OF CLAIM

- 1 If your Claim is a <u>Pre-Filing Claim</u> within the meaning of the Claims Procedure Order (excluding, for greater certainty, any Pre-Filing Claim that may be asserted by a Landlord), the Proof of Claim <u>MUST be returned to and received by the Monitor on or before 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on March 2, 2018 (the "General Creditor Claims Bar <u>Date"</u>).</u>
- If your Claim is a <u>Restructuring Period Claim</u> within the meaning of the Claims Procedure Order (and see item 2 of Section 3 above for an excerpt of the relevant definition) (and excluding, for greater certainty, any Restructuring Period Claim that may be asserted by a Landlord), the Proof of Claim <u>MUST be returned to and received by the Monitor by 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on the date (the "General Creditor Restructuring Period Claims Bar Date") that is the later of (i) the date that is 45 days after the date on which the Monitor sends a General Creditor Claims Package with respect to a Restructuring Period Claim and (ii) the General Creditor Claims Bar Date.</u>
- 3 If your Claim is a <u>Post-Filing Claim</u> within the meaning of the Claims Procedure Order (and see item 3 of Section 3 above for an excerpt of the relevant definition) (and excluding, for greater certainty, any Post-Filing Claim that may be asserted by a Landlord), the Proof of Claim <u>MUST be returned to and received by the Monitor on or before 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on April 2, 2018 (the "General Creditor Post-Filing Claims Bar Date").</u>

If your Claim is a <u>Landlord Claim</u> within the meaning of the Claims Procedure Order (including, for greater certainty, any Pre-Filing Claim, Post-Filing Claim or Restructuring Period Claim of a Landlord), the Proof of Claim <u>MUST be returned to and received by</u> the Monitor by 5:00 p.m. (Teronto time) on the date (the "Landlord Claims Bar Date") that is the later of (i) the date that is 45 days after the date on which the Monitor sends a General Creditor Claims Package with respect to a Landlord Claim and (ii) April 2, 2018.

Claimants are strongly encouraged to complete and submit their Proof of Claim on the Monitor's online claims submission portal which can be found at <u>cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada</u>. If not submitted at the online portal, Proofs of Claim must be delivered to the Monitor by prepaid ordinary mail, registered mail, courier, personal delivery, facsimile transmission or email at the following address:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., Sears Canada Monitor TD Waterhouse Tower 79 Wellington Street West Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104 Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Sears Canada Claims Process

Fax No.: 416-649-8101 Email: searscanada@fticonsulting.com

4

5

Ŧ

Failure to file your Proof of Claim so that it is actually received by the Monitor on or before 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on the General Creditor Claims Bar Date, the General Creditor Restructuring Period Claims Bar Date, the General Creditor Post-Filing Claims Bar Date or the Landlord Claims Bar Date, as applicable, WILL result in your Claim being forever barred and you will be prevented from making or enforcing your Claim against the Sears Canada Entities. In addition, you shall not be entitled to further notice of and shall not be entitled to participate as a creditor in the Sears Canada Entities' CCAA proceedings.

5

SCHEDULE C PROOF OF CLAIM FORM FOR CLAIMS AGAINST THE SEARS CANADA ENTITIES¹

Note: Claimants are strongly encouraged to complete and submit their Proof of Claim on the Monitor's online claims submission portal which can be found at <u>cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada</u>.

NAME OF SEARS CANADA ENTITY OR ENTITIES (THE "DEBTOR(S)") THE CLAIM IS BEING MADE AGAINST

Debtor(s):

2 (A) PARTICULARS OF CLAIMANT

1

Ŋ

Full Legal Name of Claimant:

Full Mailing Address of Claimant:

Telephone Number of Claimant:

Facsimile Number of Claimant:

E-mail Address of Claimant:

Attention (Contact Person):

¹ The "Sears Canada Entities" are Sears Canada Inc., Corbeil Électrique Inc., S.L.H. Transport Inc., The Cut Inc., Sears Contact Services Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Trading and Sourcing Corp., Sears Floor Covering Centres Inc., 173470 Canada Inc., 2497089 Ontario Inc., 6988741 Canada Inc., 10011711 Canada Inc., 1592580 Ontario Limited, 955041 Alberta Ltd., 4201731 Canada Inc., 168886 Canada Inc., 3339611 Canada Inc., and SearsConnect.

(B) PARTICULARS OF ORIGINAL CLAIMANT FROM WHOM YOU ACQUIRED CLAIM, IF APPLICABLE

(i) Has the Claimant acquired this Claim by assignment? Yes 🗌 No 🗍

(ii) If yes, attach documents evidencing assignment and provide full particulars of the original Claimant from whom the Claim was acquired from:

Full Legal Name of original Claimant:	
Full Mailing Address of original Claimant:	
Telephone Number of original Claimant:	
Facsimile Number of original Claimant:	1
E-mail Address of original Claimant:	
Attention (Contact Person):	

3 AMOUNT AND TYPE OF CLAIM

The Debtor was and still is indebted to the Claimant as follows:

Currency:	Amount of <u>Pre-Filing</u> Claim (including interest up to and including June 22, 2017) ² :	Whether Claim is Secured:	Value of Security Held, if any³:
		Yes 🗍 No 🗍	
		Yes 🗌 No 🗍	
		Yes 🗌 No 🗌	

² Interest accruing from the Filing Date (June 22, 2017) shall not be included in any Claim.

.

³ If the Claim is secured, provide full particulars of the security, including the date on which the security was given, the value for which you ascribe to the assets charged by your security, the basis for such valuation and attach a copy of the security documents evidencing the security. This information may be provided in a separate schedule, if necessary.

Currency:	Amount of <u>Restructuring Period</u> Claim:	Whether Clair Secured:	n is	Value of Security Held, if any:
·		Yes 🗌 No []	
	·	Yes 🗌 No [
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes 🗌 No [

Currency:	Amount of Post-Filing Claim:	Whether Claim Secured:	is	Value of Security Held, if any:
P		Yes 🗌 No 🗌]	
		Yes 🗌 No 🗌]	
		Yes 🔲 No 🗌]	·

4 DOCUMENTATION

Provide all particulars of the Claim and supporting documentation, including amount, and description of transaction(s) or agreement(s), or legal breach(es) giving rise to the Claim, including any claims assignment/transfer agreement or similar document, if applicable, and amount of invoices, particulars of all credits, discounts, etc. claimed, description of the security, if any, granted by the affected Debtor to the Claimant and estimated value of such security.

5 CERTIFICATION

I hereby cert	ify that:		
 (a) I am the Claimant or authorized representative of the Claimant. (b) I have knowledge of all the circumstances connected with this Claim. (c) The Claimant asserts this Claim against the Debtor(s) as set out above. (d) Complete documentation in support of this Claim is attached. 			
Signature:		Witness: (signature)	·
Name:			
Title:		(print)	_
Dated at	this	day of, 20,	

3

6 FILING OF CLAIM AND APPLICABLE DEADLINES

<u>For Pre-Filing Claims</u> (except Pre-Filing Claims that may be asserted by a Landlord), this Proof of Claim must be returned to and received by the Monitor by 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on March 2, 2018 (the "General Creditor Claims Bar Date").

For Restructuring Period Claims (except Restructuring Period Claims that may be asserted by a Landlord), this Proof of Claim must be returned to and received by the Monitor by 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on the later of (i) the date that is 45 days after the date on which the Monitor sends a General Creditor Claims Package with respect to a Restructuring Period Claim and (ii) the General Creditor Claims Bar Date (the "General Creditor Restructuring Period Claims Bar Date").

<u>For Post-Filing Claims</u> (except Post-Filing Claims that may be asserted by a Landlord), this Proof of Claim must be returned to and received by the Monitor by 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on April 2, 2018 (the "General Creditor Post-Filing Claims Bar Date").

<u>For Landlord Claims</u> (including, for greater certainty, any Pre-Filing Claim, Post-Filing Claim or Restructuring Period Claim of a Landlord), this Proof of Claim must be returned to and received by the Monitor by 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on the later of (i) the date that is 45 days after the date on which the Monitor sends a General Creditor Claims Package with respect to a Landlord Claim and (ii) April 2, 2018 (the "Landlord Claims Bar Date").

In each case, completed forms must be delivered to the Monitor by prepaid ordinary mail, registered mail, courier, personal delivery, facsimile transmission or email at the following address:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., Sears Canada Monitor TD Waterhouse Tower 79 Wellington Street West Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104 Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Sears Canada Claims Process

Fax No.: 416-649-8101 Email: searscanada@fticonsulting.com

Alternatively, Claimants can, and in fact are strongly encouraged to, complete and submit their Proof of Claim on the Monitor's online claims submission portal which can be found at <u>ofcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada</u>.

Failure to file your Proof of Claim so that it is actually received by the Monitor on or before 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on the General Creditor Claims Bar Date, the General Creditor Restructuring Period Claims Bar Date, the General Creditor Post-Filing Claims Bar Date or the Landlord Claims Bar Date, as applicable, WILL result in your Claim being forever barred and you will be prevented from making or enforcing your Claim against the Sears Canada Entities. In addition, you shall not be entitled to further notice of and shall not be entitled to participate as a creditor in the Sears Canada Entities' CCAA proceedings.

SCHEDULE D

CLAIMANT'S GUIDE TO COMPLETING THE D&O PROOF OF CLAIM FORM FOR CLAIMS AGAINST DIRECTORS AND/OR OFFICERS OF THE SEARS CANADA ENTITIES¹

This Guide has been prepared to assist Claimants in filling out the D&O Proof of Claim form for Claims against the Directors and/or Officers (present and former) of the Sears Canada Entities. If you have any additional questions regarding completion of the D&O Proof of Claim, please consult the Monitor's website at <u>cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada</u> or contact the Monitor, whose contact information is shown below.

The D&O Proof of Claim form is for Claimants asserting a Claim against any Directors and/or Officers of the Sears Canada Entities, and NOT for Claims against the Sears Canada Entities themselves. For Claims against the Sears Canada Entities, please use the form titled "Proof of Claim Form for Claims against the Sears Canada Entities", which is available on the Monitor's website at cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada.

Please note that this is a guide only, and that in the event of any inconsistency between the terms of this guide and the terms of the Claims Procedure Order made on [December 8], 2017 (the "Claims Procedure Order"), the terms of the Claims Procedure Order will govern. Unless otherwise defined, all capitalized terms used herein have the meanings given to them in the Claims Procedure Order.

Additional copies of the D&O Proof of Claim form may be found at the Monitor's website. <u>Claimants can, and are in fact strongly encouraged to, submit their D&O Proofs of Claim at the</u> Monitor's website at cfcanada.<u>fticonsulting.com/searscanada</u>.

SECTION 1 – DEBTOR(S)

1 The full name of all the Directors and/or Officers (present and former) of the Sears Canada Entities against whom the Claim is asserted must be listed. If there are insufficient lines to record each such name, attach a separate schedule indicating the required information.

SECTION 2(a) – ORIGINAL CLAIMANT

- 1 A separate D&O Proof of Claim must be filed by each legal entity or person asserting a Claim against the Sears Canada Entities' Directors or Officers.
- 2 The Claimant shall include any and all D&O Claims it asserts against the Sears Canada Entities' Directors or Officers in a single D&O Proof of Claim.
- 3 The full legal name of the Claimant must be provided.

¹ The Sears Canada Entities" are Sears Canada Inc., Corbeil Électrique Inc., S.L.H. Transport Inc., The Cut Inc., Sears Contact Services Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Trading and Sourcing Corp., Sears Floor Covering Centres Inc., 173470 Canada Inc., 2497089 Ontario Inc., 6988741 Canada Inc., 10011711 Canada Inc., 1592580 Ontario Limited, 955041 Alberta Ltd., 4201731 Canada Inc., 168886 Canada Inc., 3339611 Canada Inc., and SearsConnect.

- 4 If the Claimant operates under a different name or names, please indicate this in a separate schedule in the supporting documentation.
- 5 If the D&O Claim has been acquired from another party, Section 2(b) must also be completed.
- 6 Unless the D&O Claim is assigned or transferred, all future correspondence, notices, etc. regarding the Claim will be directed to the address and contact indicated in this section.

SECTION 2(b) – PARTICULARS OF ORIGINAL CLAIMANT FROM WHOM YOU ACQUIRED CLAIM, IF APPLICABLE

- 1 If the Claimant acquired its D&O Claim by assignment or other transfer, then Section 2(b) must be completed.
- 2 The full legal name of the original holder of the D&O Claim must be provided.
- 3 If the original holder of the D&O Claim operates under a different name or names, please indicate this in a separate schedule in the supporting documentation.

SECTION 3 – AMOUNT AND TYPE OF D&O CLAIM OF CLAIMANT AGAINST DEBTOR(S)

- 1 If the D&O Claim arose in respect of the period prior to June 22, 2017, then indicate the amount the Director(s) and/or Officer(s) was/were and still is/are indebted to the Claimant in the space reserved for D&O Claims in respect of the Pre-Filing Period in the "Amount of Claim" column, including interest up to and including June 22, 2017.²
- 2 If the D&O Claim arose in respect of the period on or after June 22, 2017, then indicate the amount the Director(s) and/or Officer(s) was/were and still is/are indebted to the Claimant in the space reserved for D&O Claims in respect of the Restructuring Period in the "Amount of Claim" column.
- 3 If there are insufficient lines to record each D&O Claim amount, attach a separate schedule indicating the required information.

CURRENCY

- 1 The amount of the D&O Claim must be provided in the currency in which it arose.
- 2 Indicate the appropriate currency in the Currency column.
- 3 If the D&O Claim is denominated in multiple currencies, use a separate line to indicate the Claim amount in each such currency. If there are insufficient lines to record these amounts, attach a separate schedule indicating the required information.
- 4 If necessary, currency will be converted in accordance with the Claims Procedure Order.

² Interest accruing from the Filing Date (June 22, 2017) shall not be included in any Claim.

SECTION 4 – DOCUMENTATION

1 Attach to the D&O Proof of Claim form all particulars of the Claim and supporting documentation, including amount and description of transaction(s) or agreement(s), and the legal basis for the D&O Claim against the specific Directors or Officers at issue.

SECTION 5 – CERTIFICATION

- 1 The person signing the D&O Proof of Claim should:
 - (a) be the Claimant or authorized representative of the Claimant;
 - (b) have knowledge of all the circumstances connected with this D&O Claim;
 - (c) assert the Claim against the Debtor(s) as set out in the D&O Proof of Claim and certify all supporting documentation is attached; and

ŧ.,

.

- (d) have a witness to its certification.
- 2 By signing and submitting the D&O Proof of Claim, the Claimant is asserting the Claim against the Debtor(s) named in the D&O Proof of Claim.

SECTION 6 – FILING OF CLAIM AND APPLICABLE DEADLINES

- 1 All D&O Proofs of Claim in respect of D&O Claims arising prior to June 22, 2017 (except D&O Claims that may be asserted by a Landlord) <u>MUST be received by the Monitor on or before 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on March 2, 2018</u> (the "General Creditor Claims Bar Date").
- 2 All D&O Proofs of Claim in respect of D&O Claims arising on or after June 22, 2017 (except D&O Claims that may be asserted by a Landlord) <u>MUST be received by the</u> <u>Monitor by 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on the date (the "General Creditor Restructuring</u> <u>Period Claims Bar Date") that is the later of (i) the date that is 45 days after the date on</u> which the Monitor sends a General Creditor Claims Package with respect to a <u>Restructuring Period Claim and (ii) the General Creditor Claims Bar Date</u>.
- 3 All D&O Proofs of Claim that may be asserted by a Landlord, whether arising before or after June 22, 2017, <u>MUST be received by the Monitor by 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on</u> the date (the "Landlord Claims Bar Date") that is the later of (i) the date that is 45 days after the date on which the Monitor sends a General Creditor Claims Package with respect to a Landlord Claim and (ii) April 2, 2018.
- 4 Claimants are strongly encouraged to complete and submit their D&O Proof of Claim on the Monitor's online claims submission portal which can be found at <u>cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada</u>. If not submitted at the online portal, Proofs of Claim must be delivered to the Monitor by prepaid ordinary mail, registered mail, courier, personal delivery, facsimile transmission or email at the following address:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., Sears Canada Monitor TD Waterhouse Tower 79 Wellington Street West Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104 Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention:Sears Canada Claims ProcessFax No.:416-649-8101Email:searscanada@fticonsulling.com

Failure to file your D&O Proof of Claim so that it is actually received by the Monitor on or <u>before 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on the General Creditor Claims Bar Date</u>, the General Creditor Restructuring Period Claims Bar Date or the Landlord Claims Bar Date, as applicable, WILL result in your Claim being barred and you will be prevented from making or enforcing your Claim against the Directors and Officers of the Sears Canada Entities. In addition, you shall not be entitled to further notice of and shall not be entitled to participate as a creditor in the Sears Canada Entities' CCAA proceedings.

SCHEDULE E D&O PROOF OF CLAIM FORM FOR CLAIMS AGAINST DIRECTORS OR OFFICERS OF THE SEARS CANADA ENTITIES¹

This form is to be used only by Claimants asserting a Claim against any Directors and/or Officers of the Sears Canada Entities and NOT for Claims against the Sears Canada Entities themselves. For Claims against the Sears Canada Entities, please use the form titled "Proof of Claim Form for Claims against the Sears Canada Entities", which is available on the Monitor's website at cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada.

1 NAME(S) OF OFFICER(S) AND/OR DIRECTOR(S) (THE "DEBTOR(S)") THE CLAIM IS BEING MADE AGAINST:

Debtor(s):

2 (A) PARTICULARS OF CLAIMANT

Full Legal Name of Claimant:

Full Mailing Address of Claimant:

Telephone Number of Claimant:

Facsimile Number of Claimant:

E-mail Address of Claimant:

Attention (Contact Person):

¹ The Sears Canada Entities" are Sears Canada Inc., Corbeil Électrique Inc., S.L.H. Transport Inc., The Cut Inc., Sears Contact Services Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Trading and Sourcing Corp., Sears Floor Covering Centres Inc., 173470 Canada Inc., 2497089 Ontario Inc., 6988741 Canada Inc., 10011711 Canada Inc., 1592580 Ontario Limited, 955041 Alberta Ltd., 4201731 Canada Inc., 168886 Canada Inc., 3339611 Canada Inc., and SearsConnect.

(B) PARTICULARS OF ORIGINAL CLAIMANT FROM WHOM YOU ACQUIRED CLAIM, IF APPLICABLE

(i) Has the Claimant acquired this Claim by Assignment? Yes 🗌 No 🗍

(ii) If yes, attach documents evidencing assignment and provide full particulars of the original Claimant from whom you acquired the Claim from:

Full Legal Name of original Claimant:

Full Mailing Address of original Claimant:

Telephone Number of original Claimant:

Facsimile Number of original Claimant:

E-mail Address of original Claimant:

Attention (Contact Person):

3 AMOUNT OF CLAIM

The Debtor(s) was/were and still is/are indebted to the Claimant as follows:

Name(s) of Director(s) and/or Officers	Currency	Amount of D&O Claim in respect of the <u>Pre-Filing</u> <u>Period</u> (including interest up to and including June 22, 2017)	Amount of D&O Claim in respect of the <u>Restructuring</u> <u>Period</u>
		to a second s	
	· ····		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

4 **DOCUMENTATION**

Provide all particulars of the D&O Claim and supporting documentation, including any Claim assignment/transfer agreement or similar documentation, if applicable, and including amount and description of transaction(s) or agreement(s), and the legal basis for the D&O Claim against the specific Directors or Officers at issue.

5 CERTIFICATION

I hereby cert	if y that:			
(a) (b) (c) · (d)	 (b) I have knowledge of all the circumstances connected with this Claim. (c) The Claimant asserts this Claim against the Debtor(s) as set out above. 			
Signature:		Witness:	(signature)	
Name:		<u> </u>		
Tille:		(print)		
Dated at	this	_ day of		

6 FILING OF CLAIMS AND APPLICABLE DEADLINES

All D&O Proofs of Claim in respect of D&O Claims arising prior to June 22, 2017 (except D&O Claims that may be asserted by a Landlord) <u>MUST be received by the Monitor on or before 5:00</u> <u>p.m. (Toronto time) on March 2, 2018</u> (the "General Creditor Claims Bar Date").

All D&O Proofs of Claim in respect of D&O Claims arising on or after June 22, 2017 (except D&O Claims that may be asserted by a Landlord) <u>MUST be returned to and received by the Monitor by 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on the later of (i) the date that is 45 days after the date on which the Monitor sends a General Creditor Claims Package with respect to a Restructuring Period Claim and (ii) the General Creditor Claims Bar Date (the "General Creditor Restructuring Period Claims Bar Date").</u>

All D&O Proofs of Claim that may be asserted by a Landlord, whether arising before or after June 22, 2017, <u>MUST be received by the Monitor by 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on the date (the</u> "Landlord Claims Bar Date") that is the later of (i) the date that is 45 days after the date on which the Monitor sends a General Creditor Claims Package with respect to a Landlord Claim and (ii) April 2, 2018.

In each case, completed forms must be delivered to the Monitor by prepaid ordinary mail, registered mail, courier, personal delivery, facsimile transmission or email at the following address:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., Sears Canada Monitor TD Waterhouse Tower 79 Wellington Street West Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104 Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Sears Canada Claims Process

Fax No.: 416-649-8101 Email: searscanada@fticonsulting.com

Alternatively, Claimants can, and in fact are strongly encouraged to, complete and submit their D&O Proof of Claim on the Monitor's online claims submission portal which can be found at cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada.

Failure to file your D&O Proof of Claim so that it is actually received by the Monitor on or before 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on the General Creditor Claims Bar Date, the General Creditor Restructuring Period Claims Bar Date or the Landlord Claims Bar Date, as applicable, WILL result in your Claim being barred and you will be prevented from making or enforcing your Claim against the Directors and Officers of the Sears Canada Entities. In addition, you shall not be entitled to further notice of and shall not be entitled to participate as a creditor in the Sears Canada Entities' CCAA proceedings.

SCHEDULE F

NOTICE OF DISPUTE OF REVISION OR DISALLOWANCE REGARDING A CLAIM AGAINST THE SEARS CANADA ENTITIES OR THEIR DIRECTOR OR OFFICERS¹

Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Order of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Commercial List) in the CCAA proceedings of the Sears Canada Entities dated [December 8], 2017 (the "Claims Procedure Order"). You can obtain a copy of the Claims Procedure Order on the Monitor's website at cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada.

1 PARTICULARS OF CLAIMANT

2

(Claim Reference Number: 'as indicated in Notice of Revision or Disallowance) Full Legal Name of Claimant:	
l	Full Mailing Address of Claimant:	
٦	elephone Number of Claimant:	
F	acsimile Number of Claimant:	
E	E-mail Address of Claimant:	
A	ttention (Contact Person):	
	· · ·	<u>. </u>
	RTICULARS OF ORIGINAL CLAIMAN IM, IF APPLICABLE	IT FROM WHOM YOU ACQUIRED THE
(i)	Have you acquired this Claim (If yes, attach documents evidencing	n by Assignment? Yes 🗍 No 🗍 <i>assignment)</i>
(ii)	Full legal name of original Claimant: _	

¹ The "Sears Canada Entities" are Sears Canada Inc., Corbeil Électrique Inc., S.L.H. Transport Inc., The Cut Inc., Sears Contact Services Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Trading and Sourcing Corp., Sears Floor Covering Centres Inc., 173470 Canada Inc., 2497089 Ontario Inc., 6988741 Canada Inc., 10011711 Canada Inc., 1592580 Ontario Limited, 955041 Alberta Ltd., 4201731 Canada Inc., 168886 Canada Inc., 3339611 Canada Inc., and SearsConnect.

DISPUTE OF REVISION OR DISALLOWANCE OF CLAIM:

The Claimant hereby disagrees with the value of its Claim, as set out in the Notice of Revision or Disallowance dated ______, and asserts a Claim as follows:

Type of Claim	Amount allowed by Monitor as unsecured (Notice of Revision or Disallowance)	Amount allowed by Monitor as secured (Notice of Revision or Disallowance)	Amount claimed by Claimant as unsecured	Amount claimed by Claimant as secured
A. Pre-Filing Claim	\$	\$	\$	\$ \$
B. Restructuring Period Claim	\$	\$	\$	\$
C. Post-Filing Claim	\$	\$	\$	\$
D. D&O Claim in respect of Pre-Filing Period	\$	\$	\$	\$
E. D&O Claim in respect of Restructuring Period	\$	\$	\$	\$
F. Total Claim	\$	\$	\$	\$

(Insert particulars of your Claim per the Notice of Revision or Disallowance, and the value of your Claim as asserted by you).

4 REASONS FOR DISPUTE

3

(Provide full particulars of why you dispute the Monitor's revision or disallowance of your Claim as set out in the Notice of Revision or Disallowance, and provide all supporting documentation, including amount, description of transaction(s) or agreement(s) giving rise to the Claim, name of any guarantor(s) which has guaranteed the Claim, and amount of Claim allocated thereto, date and number of all invoices, particulars of all credits, discounts, etc. claimed. The particulars provided must support the value of the Claim as stated by you in item 3, above.)

2

(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing	• <u>•</u> ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing		·····	
(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
(Print name of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing	DATED this	day of	20
corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			, 20
corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
corporation, the name of the Claimant and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			·
name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.) (Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
(Signature of Claimant, or, if the Claimant is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			Dispute of Revision or Disallowance.)
corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing			(Signature of Claimant or if the Claimant is a
signing officer of the corporation that is executing			
			corporation, the signature of the authorized
Disallowance.)			•

This Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance MUST be submitted to the Monitor at the below address by no later than 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on the day that is thirty (30) days after this Notice of Revision or Disallowance is deemed to have been received by you (in accordance with paragraph [72] of the Claims Procedure Order, a copy of which can be found on the Monitor's website at cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada). Delivery to the Monitor may be made by ordinary prepaid mail, registered mail, courier, personal delivery, facsimile transmission or email to the address below. Claimants can also, and are in fact strongly

encouraged to, submit their Notices of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance online by such deadline at the Monitor's website at cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada.

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., Sears Canada Monitor TD Waterhouse Tower 79 Wellington Street West Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104 Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Altention: Sears Canada Claims Process

Fax No.:416-649-8101Email:searscanada@fticonsulting.com

In accordance with the Claims Procedure Order, except in the case of forms submitted at the Monitor's online claims portal which are deemed received at the time they are submitted, notices shall be deemed to be received by the Monitor upon <u>actual receipt</u> thereof by the Monitor during normal business hours on a Business Day, or if delivered outside of normal business hours, on the next Business Day.

IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A NOTICE OF DISPUTE OF REVISION OR DISALLOWANCE WITHIN THE PRESCRIBED TIME PERIOD, YOUR CLAIM AS SET OUT IN THE NOTICE OF REVISION OR DISALLOWANCE WILL BE BINDING UPON YOU.

SCHEDULE G NOTICE OF REVISION OR DISALLOWANCE

Regarding Claims against the Sears Canada Entities¹ or D&O Claims against the Directors and/or Officers of the Sears Canada Entities

TO: [INSERT NAME AND ADDRESS OF CLAIMANT] (the "Claimant")

FROM: FTI Consulting Canada Inc., in its capacity as Court-appointed Monitor of the Sears Canada Entities (the "Monitor")

RE: Claim Reference Number:

Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Notice of Revision or Disallowance shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Order of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Commercial List) in the CCAA proceedings of the Sears Canada Entities dated [December 8], 2017 (the "Claims Procedure Order"). You can obtain a copy of the Claims Procedure Order on the Monitor's website at <u>ofcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada</u>.

Pursuant to the Claims Procedure Order, the Monitor hereby gives you notice that it has reviewed your Proof of Claim or D&O Proof of Claim and has revised or disallowed all or part of your purported Claim. Subject to further dispute by you in accordance with the Claims Procedure Order, your Claim will be as follows:

Type of Claim	Amount as submitted Original Currency	Amount allowed by Monitor	Amount allowed as secured	Amount allowed as unsecured
A. Pre-Filing Claim	\$	\$	\$	\$
B. Restructuring Period Claim	\$	\$	\$	\$
C. Post-Filing Claim	\$	\$	\$	\$
D. D&O Claim in respect of Pre- Filing Period	\$	\$	\$	\$
E. D&O Claim in respect of Restructuring Period	\$	\$	\$	\$

¹ The "Sears Canada Entities" are Sears Canada Inc., Corbeil Électrique Inc., S.L.H. Transport Inc., The Cut Inc., Sears Contact Services Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Trading and Sourcing Corp., Sears Floor Covering Centres Inc., 173470 Canada Inc., 2497089 Ontario Inc., 6988741 Canada Inc., 10011711 Canada Inc., 1592580 Ontario Limited, 955041 Alberta Ltd., 4201731 Canada Inc., 168886 Canada Inc. 3339611 Canada Inc. and SearsConnect.

1

1	F. Total Claim	 \$	\$ \$	\$
l				

Reasons for Revision or Disallowance:

If you intend to dispute this Notice of Revision or Disallowance, you must, by no later than 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on the day that is thirty (30) days after this Notice of Revision or Disallowance is deemed to have been received by you (in accordance with paragraph [72] of the Claims Procedure Order), deliver a Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance to the Monitor (by ordinary prepaid mail, registered mail, courier, personal delivery, facsimile transmission or email) at the address listed below. Claimants can also, and are in fact strongly encouraged to, submit their Notices of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance forms online by such deadline at the Monitor's website at cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada.

If you do not dispute this Notice of Revision or Disallowance in the prescribed manner and within the aforesaid time period, your Claim shall be deemed to be as set out herein.

If you agree with this Notice of Revision or Disallowance, there is no need to file anything further with the Monitor.

The address of the Monitor is set out below:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., Sears Canada Monitor TD Waterhouse Tower 79 Wellington Street West Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104 Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Sears Canada Claims Process

Fax No.: 416-649-8101 Email: <u>searscanada@fticonsulting.com</u>

In accordance with the Claims Procedure Order, except in the case of forms submitted at the Monitor's online claims portal which are deemed received at the time they are submitted, notices shall be deemed to be received by the Monitor upon <u>actual receipt</u> thereof by the Monitor during normal business hours on a Business Day, or if delivered outside of normal business hours, on the next Business Day.

The form of Notice of Dispute of Revision or Disallowance is enclosed and can also be accessed on the Monitor's website at <u>cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada</u>.

IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A NOTICE OF DISPUTE OF REVISION OR DISALLOWANCE WITHIN THE PRESCRIBED TIME PERIOD, THIS NOTICE OF REVISION OR DISALLOWANCE WILL BE BINDING UPON YOU. į

DATED this _____ day of _____, 20___.

FTI Consulting Canada Inc.

. ¢

SCHEDULE H OTHER EMPLOYEE LETTER

(LETTERHEAD OF THE MONITOR)

•, 2017

TO:

Active and former employees of the Sears Canada Entities represented by International Brotherhood of Electrical-Workers, Local 213 c/o McMahon, Morrison, Watts Box 314, 4346 Colonel Talbot Road London, Ontario N6P 1P9

Attention: J. Craig Morrison

AND TO: Active and former employees of the Sears Canada Entities represented by UNIFOR c/o UNIFOR Unifor Legal Department, Local 1000 2015 Placer Court Toronto Ontario M2H 3H9

Attention: Anthony Dale

AND TO: Active and former employees of the Sears Canada Entities represented by Le Syndicat des Métallos c/o Le Syndicat des Métallos, Local 9153 565, boulevard Crémazie Est, Bureau 5100 Montréal, Québec H2M 2V8

Attention: •

- AND TO: Present and former members of senior management of the Sears Canada Entities who the Monitor and the Sears Canada Entities believe may wish to assert a Claim against any of the Sears Canada Entities or their respective Directors or Officers
- AND TO: Employees who have opted out of representation by Ursel Phillips Fellows Hopkinson LLP ("Employee Representative Counsel")
- AND TO: Former employees who were terminated for cause and who the Monitor and the Sears Canada Entities believe may wish to assert a Claim against any of the Sears Canada Entities or their respective Directors or Officers

To whom it may concern:

Re: Current Claims Process in the CCAA Proceedings of the Sears Canada Entities (Court File No. CV-17-11846-00CL)

Recently, on [December 8], 2017, the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Commercial List) issued an order (the "Claims Procedure Order") in the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act

1

proceedings of Sears Canada Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates¹ (collectively, the "Sears Canada Entities"), commencing a claims procedure (the "Claims Process") for the purpose of identifying and determining all Claims against the Sears Canada Entities and their respective current and former directors and officers.

Notwithstanding the commencement of the Claims Process, certain classes of persons are currently <u>exempted</u> from the requirement to file any proofs of claim. You are receiving this letter because you fall into one of the categories of such exempted persons, which includes any:

- active or inactive union or non-union employee of any one of the Sears Canada Entities on or after June 22, 2017, including an employee of any one of the Sears Canada Entities who received notice of termination of employment dated on or after June 22, 2017; and
- (ii) former employee of any one of the Sears Canada Entities who was terminated for cause at any time or who received notice of cessation of termination or severance payments dated on or after June 22, 2017.

(collectively, the "Employee Claimants").

Please be advised that the current Claims Process <u>does not include</u> claims of Employee Claimants. Employee claims will be dealt with through a <u>separate Employee Claims</u> <u>Process</u>. That includes any claims you may have against the directors and officers and any claims not related to your compensation.

To be clear, there is NO need at this time for you to take action in connection with this Claims Process, or file any proof of claim in respect of any claim you may have against any of the Sears Canada Entities or their respective current and former directors and officers.

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., as Court-appointed Monitor (the "Monitor") and the Sears Canada Entities are at present working to develop a future claims process (the "Employee Claims **Process**") to address all claims (of any type) of Employee Claimants. A similar process is also being developed in respect of claims relating to pension entitlements and other post-employment benefits.

In developing this separate Employee Claims Process, the Monitor and the Sears Canada Entities are working with various stakeholders, including: (a) Employee Representative Counsel, who serves as representative counsel to non-unionized current and former employees of the Sears Canada Entities, other than members of senior management, with respect to such employees' interests other than pension entitlements and other post-employment benefits matters (and who, for greater clarity, does not represent the interests of persons listed as recipients to this letter); (b) Koskie Minsky LLP, who serve as representative counsel to, among others, non-unionized retirees and active and former employees of the Sears Canada Entities with respect to pension entitlements and other post-employment benefits matters; (c) the Ontario Superintendent of Financial Services as administrator of the Pension Benefits

¹ The "Sears Canada Entities" are Sears Canada Inc., Corbeil Électrique Inc., S.L.H. Transport Inc., The Cut Inc., Sears Contact Services Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Trading and Sourcing Corp., Sears Floor Covering Centres Inc., 173470 Canada Inc., 2497089 Ontario Inc., 6988741 Canada Inc., 10011711 Canada Inc., 1592580 Ontario Limited, 955041 Alberta Ltd., 4201731 Canada Inc., 168886 Canada Inc., 3339611 Canada Inc., and SearsConnect.

Guarantee Fund; and (d) Morneau Shepell Inc., as administrator of the Sears Canada Inc. Registered Retirement Plan.

Additional information will be made available to you as this process continues. For now, the only action you may need to take is to advise the Monitor of a change of address.

Once the Employee Claims Process has been established, the Monitor will provide information regarding the process and any claims forms to be filed thereunder to you. This information will also be available on the Monitor's website at <u>cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada/</u>. We would recommend checking the Monitor's website periodically/monthly.

If you have questions with respect to the foregoing, you may contact the Monitor at:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., Sears Canada Monitor TD Waterhouse Tower 79 Wellington Street West Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104 Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Sears Canada Claims Process

 Tel.:
 416-649-8113

 Toll Free:
 1-855-649-8113

 Fax No.:
 416-649-8101

 Email:
 searscanada@fticonsulting.com

Yours truly,

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., in its capacity as Court-appointed Monitor of the Sears Canada Entities

SCHEDULE I EMPLOYEE LETTER

(LETTERHEAD OF EMPLOYEE REPRESENTATIVE COUNSEL)

December •, 2017

.

. .

.

To the Non-Unionized Active and Former Employees of Sears Canada Entities:

Re: Current Claims Process in the CCAA proceedings of the Sears Canada Entities (Court File No. CV-17-11846-00CL)

As you know, Sears Canada Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, the "Sears Canada Entities") filed for and were granted creditor protection under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* ("CCAA"), pursuant to an order of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Commercial List) (the "Court").

In connection with the Sears Canada Entities' CCAA proceedings, Ursel Phillips Fellows Hopkinson LLP ("Employee Representative Counsel") was appointed to represent the interests of the non-unionized Active Employees and Former Employees of the Sears Canada Entities, other than with respect to the Sears Canada Entities' pension plans and other postemployment benefit entitlements. Information about the proceedings and matters of specific interest to employees may be found at Employee Representative Counsel's website at http://www.upfhlaw.ca/areas-of-practice/sears-canada-employees-and-former-employees.

The purpose of this letter is to inform you that on [December 8], 2017, the Court issued an order (the "Claims Procedure Order") commencing a claims procedure (the "Claims Process") for the purpose of identifying and determining claims against the Sears Canada Entities and their respective current and former directors and officers.

Notwithstanding the commencement of the Claims Process, certain classes of persons are currently <u>exempted</u> from the requirement to file any proofs of claim. As a non-unionized Active Employee or Former Employee of one of the Sears Canada Entities (an "Employee"), you fall into one of the categories of such exempted persons.

Please be advised that the current Claims Process <u>does not include</u> claims of Employees. Employee claims will be dealt with through a <u>separate Employee Claims Process</u> (as described below). That includes any claims you may have against the directors and officers and claims not related to your compensation.

To be clear, there is NO need at this time for you to take action in connection with this Claims Process or file any proof of claim in respect of any claim you may have against the Sears Canada Entities or their respective current and former directors and officers.

Employee Claims Process

Employee Representative Counsel is currently working with the Sears Canada Entities and the Monitor, among others, to develop a separate claims process (the "Employee Claims Process"), to address all claims (of any type) of current or former employees of the Sears Canada Entities. A similar process is also being developed in respect of claims relating to pension entitlements and other post-employment benefits. Any claims against the directors and/or officers of the Sears Canada Entities that you may have as an Employee will also be part of the Employee Claims Process. In addition, if you have a claim against the Sears Canada Entities for a matter not related to your compensation, that claim will also be dealt with through the Employee Claims Process.

Additional information will be made available to you as this process continues. For now, the only action you may need to take is to advise the Monitor and/or Employee Representative Counsel of a change of address.

Once the Employee Claims Process has been established, the Monitor will provide information regarding the process and any claims forms to be filed thereunder to you. This information will also be available on the Monitor's website at <u>cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada/</u>. At present, it is difficult to estimate when the Employee Claims Process will be established but it should be over the course of the next two to three months. We would also recommend checking the Monitor's website (<u>cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada/</u>) periodically/monthly.

If you have any questions with respect to any of the above information, please contact us at our toll-free number at 1-844-855-8352 or our email at <u>SearsCanadaEmployees@upfhlaw.ca</u>.

Yours truly,

Ursel Phillips Fellows Hopkinson LLP

Susan Ursel

SCHEDULE J PENSIONER LETTER

December •, 2017

Andrew J. Hatnay ahatnay@kmlaw.ca

1

Via Regular Mail

Dear Sir/Madam:

Re: Sears Canada Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, "Sears Canada") Representation of Non-Union Employees and Retirees with Pension and OPEB Entitlements in Sears Canada's proceedings (the "CCAA Proceedings") under the *Companies' Creditors* Arrangement Act, R.S.C., 1985, c. C-36 (the "CCAA") Our File No. 17/1312

We are the Representative Counsel to Ken Eady, Larry Moore, and William Turner, who were appointed by the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Commercial List) as Representatives of all non-union employees and retirees of Sears Canada¹ who have entitlements to pension benefits and other post-employment benefits such as health benefits, life insurance, and supplemental pension (collectively, "OPEBs") in the CCAA Proceedings. For the purposes of this letter, the non-union employees and retirees shall be collectively referred to as the "Pensioners".

We are writing further to our letter dated July 26, 2017 to provide information on the claims process (the "Claims Process") that Sears Canada established and the Court recently approved. The purpose of this Claims Process is to identify and determine claims from creditors for amounts owing to them by Sears Canada and/or Sears Canada's current and former officers and directors.

Status of the Sears Canada CCAA proceedings

On June 22, 2017, Sears Canada obtained Court protection from its creditors under the CCAA. At the same time, the Court appointed FTI Consulting Canada Inc. as the Monitor. Generally, the Monitor's role is to monitor and regularly report to the Court and stakeholders on Sears Canada's activities while it is under CCAA protection and to interact with creditors in a fair and impartial manner.

There have been a number of developments over the past few months. Sears Canada is not restructuring to continue as a viable company. Instead, on October 13, 2017, Sears Canada brought a motion before the Court for approval that it liquidate its remaining inventory. The Court approved the liquidation. Sears Canada has begun the process of liquidating the inventory in all of the remaining stores and selling all of its other assets. Sears Canada is continuing with the store liquidation process through January, 2018.

¹ (other than senior management of Sears Canada and any person who opted out of representation by Koskie Minsky LLP)

The General Claims Process

During the Claims Process, the Monitor will accept claims from creditors (subject to certain exemptions) for amounts they claim to be owing by Sears Canada and/or their current and former directors and officers.

Generally, the Claims Process will involve an initial assessment of each creditor's claim by the Monitor, in consultation with Sears Canada, after which creditors will be notified whether their claim has either been accepted or revised or disallowed in whole or in part. The claims of creditors that have been revised or disallowed will have the opportunity to respond further, after which the Monitor and Sears Canada may reconsider the claim or attempt to settle the claim(s) with the creditor. If a resolution cannot be reached, the dispute may be referred for adjudication by a Claims Officer who will decide the issues in dispute and render a decision. A creditor may appeal a decision of a Claims Officer. The process is intended to determine the total amount of debts owed by Sears Canada to its creditors.

The future Pensioner Claims Process

The Claims Process that is currently underway is for general creditors of Sears Canada and <u>does not</u> include claims for amounts owing to pension plans or to Pensioners in relation to pension benefits or terminated OPEBs. A separate claims process will be commenced in the future for all such claims in respect of losses of pension benefits and OPEBs. Koskie Minsky LLP as Representative Counsel will work with its actuarial advisors and other parties to ensure that these claims are appropriately valued and submitted in the Pensioner Claims Process. We will provide further information about the Pensioner Claims Process once that process has been finalized and commenced. A similar process is also being developed in respect of employee-related claims.

As a Pensioner, you do not need to make individual claims related to your pension benefits or OPEBs at this time. In addition, any claims you may have against the directors and officers, or claims you may have that are not related to your pension or OPEB entitlements, will be dealt with in the separate Pensioner Claims Process.

At this time, there is no need for you to take any action in connection with the current Claims Process.

We will continue to provide updates to you as the CCAA proceedings move forward, and post updates on our firm website for Sears Canada's Pensioners. You can access our firm website at www.kmlaw.ca/searsrepcounsel for information.

If you have any questions or concerns, call our toll-free hotline at 1-800-244-7120, or e-mail us at searsrepcounsel@kmlaw.ca.

We trust the above is helpful. We wish you the best for the holiday season.

Yours truly,

cc.

-5

KOSKIE MINSKY LLP

Andrew J. Hatnay

Client Committee Amy Tang, Barbara Walancik, Natercia McLellan (Communications Manager), Koskie Minsky LLP

SCHEDULE K NOTICE OF CONSTRUCTION CLAIM FOR CLAIMS AGAINST THE SEARS CANADA ENTITIES AND/OR THEIR DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

TO: [INSERT NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTOR AND/OR ITS COUNSEL]

RE:

Claim Reference Number:	
General Description of improvement including Project /	
Store Location:	
If applicable, Preservation and	
Perfection Dates (with	
registration nos.):	
If applicable, Amount of Lien(s)	
registered on title:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

This notice is issued pursuant to the Claims Process for, among other things, identifying and determining all Construction Claims against the Sears Canada Entities¹ and/or their respective Directors and Officers, which was approved by the Order of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Commercial List) in the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* proceedings of the Sears Canada Entities on [December 8], 2017 (the "Claims Procedure Order"). Capitalized terms not defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Claims Procedure Order. A copy of the Claims Procedure Order can be obtained from the website of FTI Consulting Canada Inc., the Court-appointed Monitor of the Sears Canada Entities (the "Monitor"), at <u>cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada/</u>.

According to the books, records and other relevant information in the possession of the Sears Canada Entities, the Construction Claim of the Construction Contractor, inclusive of Construction Claims of any and all other Construction Claimants at any level in connection with the relevant improvement, is set out in the table below. Note that the term "Construction Claim" also includes any D&O Claim(s) relating thereto.

¹ The "Sears Canada Entities" are Sears Canada Inc., Corbeil Électrique Inc., S.L.H. Transport Inc., The Cul Inc., Sears Conlact Services Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Trading and Sourcing Corp., Sears Floor Covering Centres Inc., 173470 Canada Inc., 2497089 Ontario Inc., 6988741 Canada Inc., 10011711 Canada Inc., 1592580 Ontario Limited, 955041 Alberta Ltd., 4201731 Canada Inc., 168886 Canada Inc., 3339611 Canada Inc., and SearsConnect.

Specific	Amount	Type of Construction Claim					
Debtor		Against a Sears Canada Entity under trust provisions of applicable Provincial Lien Legislation	trust provisions of applicable	registration of a builders' or construction llen, or by any	portion of		
	\$						
	\$	The second se					
	\$						
Total:	\$						

* Amount is in Canadian Dollars. All Construction Claims in an original currency other than Canadian Dollars are converted to Canadian Dollars using the Bank of Canada exchange rate on June 22, 2017.

If you, as the Construction Contractor on behalf of yourself and all Connected Sub-Contractors, agree that the foregoing determination accurately reflects the Construction Claim (including any D&O Claim(s) relating thereto), you are not required to respond to this Notice of Construction Claim. If there is *disagreement* with the determination of the Construction Claim as set out herein, you must complete the enclosed Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim and deliver such executed Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim to the Monitor such that it is received by the Monitor by 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on February 15, 2018 (the "Construction Claims Bar Date").

<u>Please note</u> that the Construction Claim as set out herein is deemed to include the Construction Claims of you as the Construction Contractor and the Construction Claims of any and all Construction Sub-Contractors under an agreement (written or oral) or otherwise engaged by you as the Construction Contractor or any other Construction Sub-Contractor at any level, in each case in connection with the relevant improvement (each, a "Connected Sub-Contractor" and together the "Connected Sub-Contractors").

However, <u>pursuant to the terms of the Claims Procedure Order</u>, you are to dispute the above <u>Construction Claim on behalf of yourself and any Connected Sub-Contractor with respect to any</u> <u>disputed amount by submitting a Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim</u>. For greater certainty, no Connected Sub-Contractor shall be required to submit a separate Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim in respect of their portion of the above Construction Claim – rather, any such disagreement by a Connected Sub-Contractor is to be included in the Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim submitted by the Construction Contractor.

As a result of the co-ordination that will be required between the Construction Contractor and the Connected Sub-Contractors, the Claims Procedure Order <u>requires you</u>, as the <u>Construction Contractor</u>, to send as soon as possible a copy of both your Notice of Construction Claim and the enclosed Construction Sub-Contractor Instruction Letter to all Construction Sub-Contractors in a direct contractual agreement or engagement with you in connection with the relevant improvement and ensure that <u>every Construction Sub-Contractor Sub-Contractor Sub-Contractor</u> sends as soon as possible a copy of both your Notice of Construction Claim and a Construction Sub-Contractor Instruction Letter to all Construction Sub-Contractor Instruction Letter to all Construction Sub-Contractor Sub-Contractor Instruction Letter to all Construction Sub-Contractors with whom they are in a direct contractual agreement or engagement in connection with the relevant improvement.

If a completed Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim in respect of the Construction Claim set out in the Notice of Construction Claim is not received by the Monitor by the Construction Claims Bar Date, then both you and all Connected Sub-Contractors in connection with the relevant improvement shall be deemed to have accepted the Construction Claim set out therein, and no such Construction Claimant shall have any further right to dispute the same as against the Sears Canada Entities and/or their Directors and Officers.

Since you, as the Construction Contractor, are to file the Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim on behalf of yourself and all Connected Sub-Contractors, <u>it is your responsibility</u>, as the Construction Contractor, to give each Connected Sub-Contractor the opportunity to determine and negotiate with you, any rights they may have with respect to the Construction Claim and incorporate it into the Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim.

IF A NOTICE OF DISPUTE OF CONSTRUCTION CLAIM IS NOT RECEIVED BY THE MONITOR WITHIN THE PRESCRIBED TIME PERIOD, THE CONSTRUCTION CLAIM AS SET OUT IN THE NOTICE OF CONSTRUCTION CLAIM WILL BE BINDING ON YOU AND ALL CONNECTED SUB-CONTRACTORS.

This Notice of Construction Claim does not affect any Claim other than the Construction Claim referred to herein. This Notice of Construction Claim should include all Construction Claims (as defined in the Claims Procedure Order) that you may have. If you believe it does not contain the entirety of your Construction Claim, you must include your whole Construction Claim in the Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim. If you (or any other Person, including any Connected Sub-Constructor) have any Claim that is not a Construction Claim, then you (or such other Person) must file that Claim separately in accordance with the Claims Procedure Order.

Construction Contractors requiring further information or claim documentation, or who wish to submit a Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim to the Monitor, may contact the Monitor at the following address:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., Sears Canada Monitor TD Waterhouse Tower 79 Wellington Street West Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104 Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Sears Canada Claims Process

 Tel.:
 416-649-8113

 Toll Free:
 1-855-649-8113

 Fax No.:
 416-649-8101

 Email:
 searscanada@fticonsulting.com

DATED at Toronto, this _____ day of December, 2017.

SCHEDULE L NOTICE OF DISPUTE OF CONSTRUCTION CLAIM FOR CONSTRUCTION CLAIMS AGAINST THE SEARS CANADA ENTITIES¹ AND/OR THEIR DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

Capitalized terms not defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Order of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Commercial List) in the CCAA Proceedings of the Sears Canada Entities on [December 8], 2017 (the "Claims Procedure Order") or the Notice of Construction Claim. A copy of the Claims Procedure Order can be found on the Monitor's website at cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada/.

1 (A) PARTICULARS OF CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTOR

Full Legal Name of Construction Contractor:

Full Mailing Address of Construction Contractor:

Telephone Number of Construction Contractor:

Facsimile Number of Construction Contractor:

E-mail Address of Construction Contractor:

Attention (Contact Person):

(B) PARTICULARS OF CONSTRUCTION SUB-CONTRACTOR, IF APPLICABLE

- (i) Does a Construction Sub-Contractor at any level in connection with the relevant improvement dispute the Construction Claim as set out in the Notice of Construction Claim? Yes □ No □
- (ii) If yes, attach documents evidencing (a) such Construction Sub-Contractor's relationship to the Construction Contractor and/or Construction Sub-Contractor who agreed with or engaged them to provide goods/services/work in

¹ The "Sears Canada Entities" are Sears Canada Inc., Corbeil Électrique Inc., S.L.H. Transport Inc., The Cut Inc., Sears Contact Services Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Trading and Sourcing Corp., Sears Floor Covering Centres Inc., 173470 Canada Inc., 2497089 Ontario Inc., 6988741 Canada Inc., 10011711 Canada Inc., 1592580 Ontario Limited, 955041 Alberta Ltd., 4201731 Canada Inc., 168886 Canada Inc., 3339611 Canada Inc., and SearsConnect.

connection with the relevant improvement; and (b) provide full contact particulars in the table below of such Construction Sub-Contractor and each *other* Construction Sub-Contractor in the contractual "chain" between such Construction Sub-Contractor and the Construction Contractor. If there is insufficient space below for such particulars, include them in a separate attached schedule.

Full Legal Name of Construction Sub-Contractor:

Full Mailing Address of Construction Sub-Contractor:

Telephone Number of Construction Sub-Contractor:

Facsimile Number of Construction Sub-Contractor:

E-mail Address of Construction Sub-Contractor:

Attention (Contact Person):

2 DISPUTE OF CLAIM AS SET OUT IN NOTICE OF CONSTRUCTION CLAIM

The Construction Contractor on behalf of itself and all Connected Sub-Contractors hereby disagrees with the value of the Construction Claim as set out in the Notice of Construction Claim dated ______ and asserts the following Construction Claim as set out in the following table:

(Insert particulars of your Claim as per the Notice of Construction Claim, and the value of your Construction Claim(s) as asserted by you)

Type of Construction Claim	Name of Specific Debtor Claimed Against	Amount set out in Notice of Construction Claim	Amount claimed by Construction Contractor on behalf of itself and all Connected Sub-Contractors
A. Against a Sears Canada Entity under trust provisions of applicable Provincial Lien Legislation	<u></u>	\$	\$
B. Against a Director or Officer under trust provisions of applicable Provincial Lien Legislation		\$	\$

 C. Secured by registration of a builders' or construction lien, or by any security held in connection with a Vacated or Discharged Lien		\$ \$	
D. Unsecured portion of Construction Claim			
 E. Total Claim	an a	\$ \$	

3 REASONS FOR DISPUTE

(Provide full particulars below as to the reason why the Construction Contractor on behalf of itself and all Connected Sub-Contractors disputes the assessment of its Construction Claim(s) as set out in the Notice of Construction Claim, and provide all supporting documentation. This includes, without limitation, amounts, description of transaction(s) or agreement(s) giving rise to the Construction Claim(s), name of any guarantor(s) which has guaranteed the payment of Construction Claim(s), and any amount allocated thereto, the date and number of all invoices and supporting documentation, particulars of all credits, discounts, etc. claimed, the full particulars of each person for whom the services or materials were provided to by a given Construction Claimant related to the Construction Claim, a brief description of the services or materials supplied by each Construction Claimant, each contract price or subcontract price, the date of each Construction Claimant's date of last supply, copies of each contract/subcontract at issue including any change orders, amendments, and purchase orders or other related documents. The particulars provided must support the value of the Construction Claim(s) as stated by you in section 2, above.)

DATED this ______ day of ______, 20____

(Print name of Construction Contractor, or, if the Construction Contractor is a corporation, the name of the Construction Contractor and the name of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim.)

(Signature of Construction Contractor, or, if the Construction Contractor is a corporation, the signature of the authorized signing officer of the corporation that is executing this Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim.)

This Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim MUST be submitted to the Monitor at the below address by no later than 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on February 15, 2018. Delivery to the Monitor may be made by ordinary prepaid mail, registered mail, courier, personal delivery, facsimile transmission or email to the address below.

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., Sears Canada Monitor TD Waterhouse Tower 79 Wellington Street West Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104 Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Sears Canada Claims Process

Fax No.: 416-649-8101 Email: <u>searscanada@fticonsulting.com</u>

In accordance with the Claims Procedure Order, notices shall be deemed to be received by the Monitor upon <u>actual receipt</u> thereof by the Monitor during normal business hours on a Business Day, or if delivered outside of normal business hours, on the next Business Day.

IF A NOTICE OF DISPUTE OF CONSTRUCTION CLAIM IS NOT RECEIVED BY THE MONITOR WITHIN THE PRESCRIBED TIME PERIOD, THE CONSTRUCTION CLAIM AS SET OUT IN THE NOTICE OF CONSTRUCTION CLAIM WILL BE BINDING ON YOU AND ALL CONNECTED SUB-CONTRACTORS.

SCHEDULE M INSTRUCTION LETTER FOR CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTORS WITH CONSTRUCTION CLAIMS AGAINST THE SEARS CANADA ENTITIES¹ AND/OR THEIR DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

CLAIMS PROCEDURE ORDER

On [December 8], 2017, the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Commercial List) (the "Court") issued an order (the "Claims Procedure Order") in the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* proceedings of the Sears Canada Entities, commencing a claims procedure (the "Claims Process") for the purpose of identifying and determining, among other things, all Construction Claims against the Sears Canada Entities and/or their respective Directors and Officers. Reference should be made to the Claims Procedure Order for the complete definition of "Construction Claim", but in general it includes all:

- Claims under the trust provisions of applicable provincial builders' lien or construction lien legislation and Claims asserted against the holdback under such legislation;
- (b) Claims secured in whole or in part by the registration of a builders' lien or construction lien under such legislation against any real property that has been or is owned or leased by any of the Sears Canada Entities; and
- (c) Claims secured by any security held in connection with a Vacated or Discharged Lien.

Capitalized terms not defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Claims Procedure Order, a copy of which can be obtained from the website of FTI Consulting Canada Inc., the Court-appointed Monitor (the "Monitor") of the Sears Canada Entities, at <u>ofcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada/</u>.

You have received this letter because, as indicated by the enclosed Notice of Construction Claim, you have been identified as a Construction Contractor with a Construction Claim. This letter provides general information about the Claims Process as related to Construction Claims, the obligations of Construction Contractors thereunder, and instructions for completing a Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim form.

CLAIMS PROCESS, OBLIGATIONS, AND INSTRUCTIONS TO CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTORS

If you, as the Construction Contractor on behalf of yourself and all Connected Sub-Contractors, disagree with the assessment of the Construction Claim as stated in a Notice of Construction Claim, you must complete the Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim in accordance with the guidelines herein and deliver the executed Notice of Dispute of

¹ The "Sears Canada Entities" are Sears Canada Inc., Corbell Electrique Inc., Si.,H. Transport Inc., The Cut Inc., Sears Contact Services Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Trading and Sourcing Corp., Sears Floor Covering Centres Inc., 173470 Canada Inc., 2497089 Ontario Inc., 6988741 Canada Inc., 10011711 Canada Inc., 1592580 Ontario Limited, 955041 Alberta Ltd., 4201731 Canada Inc., 168886 Canada Inc., 3339611 Canada Inc., and SearsConnect.

Construction Claim to the Monitor such that it is received by no later than 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on February 15, 2018 (the "Construction Claims Bar Date").

<u>Please note</u> that a Construction Contractor's Construction Claim in relation to a given improvement is deemed to include the Construction Claims of the Construction Contractor and all Construction Claims of any and all Construction Sub-Contractors under an agreement (written or oral) or otherwise engaged by the Construction Contractor or any other Construction Sub-Contractor at any level in connection with the relevant improvement (each, a "Connected Sub-Contractor" and together the "Connected Sub-Contractors").

For greater certainty, no Connected Sub-Contractor shall be required to submit a separate Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim in respect of their portion of the above Construction Claim – rather, any such disagreement by a Connected Sub-Contractor is to be included in the Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim submitted by the Construction Contractor.

As a result of the co-ordination that will be required between the Construction Contractor and the Connected Sub-Contractors, the Claims Procedure Order <u>requires you</u>, as the <u>Construction Contractor</u>, to send as soon as possible a copy of both your Notice of Construction Claim and the enclosed Construction Sub-Contractor Instruction Letter to all Construction Sub-Contractors in a direct contractual agreement or engagement with you in connection with the relevant improvement and take steps to ensure that <u>every Construction Sub-Contractor</u> sends as soon as possible a copy of both your Notice of Construction Claim and a Construction Sub-Contractor Instruction Letter to all Construction Sub-Contractor sends as soon as possible a copy of both your Notice of Construction Claim and a Construction Sub-Contractor Instruction Letter to all Construction Sub-Contractors with whom they are in a direct contractual agreement or engagement with in connection with the relevant improvement.

If a completed Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim in respect of the Construction Claim set out in the Notice of Construction Claim is not received by the Monitor by the Construction Claims Bar Date, then both you and all Connected Sub-Contractors in connection with the relevant improvement shall be deemed to have accepted the Construction Claim set out therein, and no such Construction Claimant shall have any further right to dispute the same as against the Sears Canada Entities and/or their Directors and Officers.

Since you, as the Construction Contractor, are to file the Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim on behalf of yourself and all Connected Sub-Contractors, <u>it is your responsibility</u>, as the Construction Contractor, to give each Connected Sub-Contractor the opportunity to determine and negotiate with you, any rights they may have with respect to the Construction Claim and incorporate it into the Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim.

IF A NOTICE OF DISPUTE OF CONSTRUCTION CLAIM IS NOT RECEIVED BY THE MONITOR WITHIN THE PRESCRIBED TIME PERIOD, THE CONSTRUCTION CLAIM AS SET OUT IN THE NOTICE OF CONSTRUCTION CLAIM WILL BE BINDING ON YOU AND ALL CONNECTED SUB-CONTRACTORS.

Construction Claimants requiring further information or claim documentation may contact the Monitor at the following address:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., Sears Canada Monitor TD Waterhouse Tower 79 Wellington Street West Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104 Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Sears Canada Claims Process

 Tel.:
 416-649-8113

 Toll Free:
 1-855-649-8113

 Fax No.:
 416-649-8101

 Email:
 searscanada@fticonsulting.com

ţ

ł,

GUIDE TO COMPLETING THE NOTICE OF DISPUTE OF CONSTRUCTION CLAIM FORM

Please note that this is a guide only, and that in the event of any inconsistency between the terms of this guide and the terms of the Claims Procedure Order made on [December 8], 2017, the terms of the Claims Procedure Order will govern. The guide provides instructions by sections corresponding to the headings of the Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim.

SECTION 1(A) – PARTICULARS OF CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTOR

• •

- 1 Enter the reference number of the Construction Claim as indicated at the top of the Notice of Construction Claim.
- 2 The full legal name of the Construction Contractor and its current particulars must be provided.
- 3 If the Construction Contractor operates under a different name or names, please indicate this in a separate schedule in the supporting documentation.

.....

SECTION 1(B) - PARTICULARS OF CONSTRUCTION SUB-CONTRACTOR, IF APPLICABLE

- 1 If a Construction Sub-Contractor at any level in connection with the relevant improvement disputes the Construction Claim as set out in the Notice of Construction Claim, attach documents evidencing (a) such Construction Sub-Contractor's relationship to the Construction Contractor and/or Construction Sub-Contractor who agreed with or engaged them to provide goods/services/work in connection with the relevant improvement; and (b) provide full contact particulars in the table below of such Construction Sub-Contractor and each *other* Construction Sub-Contractor in the contractual "chain" between such Construction Sub-Contractor and the Construction Contractor. If there is insufficient space on the form for such particulars, include them in a separate attached schedule.
- 2 The full legal name of each relevant Construction Sub-Contractor and its current particulars must be provided.
- 3 If any such Construction Sub-Contractor operates under a different name or names, please indicate this in a separate schedule to be prepared and attached by you.

SECTION 2 - DISPUTE OF CLAIM AS DETERMINED IN NOTICE OF CONSTRUCTION CLAIM

- Indicate both the amount set out in the Notice of Construction Claim and the amount asserted by you, as the Construction Contractor and on behalf of all Connected Sub-Contractors, for each Construction Claim: (i) against a Sears Canada Entity under trust provisions of applicable Provincial Lien Legislation, (ii) against a Director or Officer under trust provisions of applicable Provincial Lien Legislation, (iii) secured by registration of a builders' lien or construction lien, or secured by any security held in connection with a Vacated or Discharged Lien, and (iv) to the extent applicable, any unsecured portion of such Construction Claim.
- 2 Each specific Sears Canada Entity, Director or Officer claimed against must be named in the appropriate column.

- 3 If the amount claimed is in a currency other than Canadian dollars, please indicate this in the table.
- 4 If necessary, currency will be converted in accordance with the Claims Procedure Order.

SECTION 3 – REASONS FOR DISPUTE

- 1 Provide full particulars of why the Construction Contractor on behalf of itself and all Connected Sub-Contractors disputes the determination of the Construction Claim as set out in the Notice of Construction Claim. If there is insufficient space on the form for such particulars, provide it on a separate schedule.
- 2 Attach all supporting documentation, including without limitation amount, description of transaction(s) or agreement(s) giving rise to the Construction Claim(s), name of any guarantor(s) which has guaranteed payment of the Construction Claim(s), and any amount allocated thereto, the date and number of all invoices and supporting documentation, particulars of all credits, discounts, etc. claimed, the full particulars of each person for whom the services or materials were provided to by a given Construction Claimant related to the Construction Claimant, each contract price or subcontract price, the date of each Construction Claimant's date of last supply, date of substantial performance if applicable, copies of each contract/subcontract at issue including any change orders, amendments, and purchase orders or other related documents.
- 3 The particulars provided must support the value of the Construction Claim as stated by you in Section 2 above.
- 4 The Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim is to be signed only by the Person submitting the Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim.

FILING OF NOTICE OF DISPUTE OF CONSTRUCTION CLAIM

The Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim <u>MUST be received by the Monitor on or before</u> <u>5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on the Construction Claims Bar Date of February 15, 2018</u> by prepaid ordinary mail, registered mail, courier, personal delivery, facsimile transmission or email at the following address:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., Sears Canada Monitor TD Waterhouse Tower 79 Wellington Street West Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104 Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Sears Canada Claims Process Fax No.: 416-649-8101

Email: <u>searscanada@fticonsulting.com</u>

IF A NOTICE OF DISPUTE OF CONSTRUCTION CLAIM IS NOT RECEIVED BY THE MONITOR WITHIN THE PRESCRIBED TIME PERIOD, THE CONSTRUCTION CLAIM AS SET OUT IN THE NOTICE OF CONSTRUCTION CLAIM WILL BE BINDING ON YOU AND ALL CONNECTED SUB-CONTRACTORS.

SCHEDULE N

INSTRUCTION LETTER FOR CONSTRUCTION SUB-CONTRACTORS REGARDING CONSTRUCTION CLAIMS AGAINST THE SEARS CANADA ENTITIES AND/OR THEIR DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS¹

CLAIMS PROCEDURE ORDER

On [December 8], 2017, the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Commercial List) (the "Court") issued an order (the "Claims Procedure Order") in the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* proceedings of the Sears Canada Entities, commencing a claims procedure (the "Claims Process") for the purpose of identifying and determining, among other things, all Construction Claims against the Sears Canada Entities and their respective Directors and Officers. Reference should be made to the Claims Procedure Order for the complete definition of "Construction Claim", but in general it includes all:

 Claims under the trust provisions of applicable provincial builders' lien or construction lien legislation and Claims asserted against the holdback under such legislation; ī

.....

:

÷

:

- (b) Claims secured in whole or in part by the registration of a builders' lien or construction lien under such legislation against any real property that has been or is owned or leased by any of the Sears Canada Entities; and
- (c) Claims secured by any security held in connection with a Vacated or Discharged Lien.

Capitalized terms not defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Claims Procedure Order, a copy of which can be obtained from the website of FTI Consulting Canada Inc., the Court-appointed Monitor (the "Monitor") of the Sears Canada Entities, at cfcanada.fticonsulting.com/searscanada/.

You have received this letter because you have been identified as a Construction Sub-Contractor who has supplied services or materials or work to an improvement to real property that has been or is owned or leased by a Sears Canada Entity (the "Improvement"), *AND* have done so under an agreement or engagement with either the Construction Contractor (i.e., who has the direct contractual relationship with one of the Sears Canada Entities) OR under an agreement or engagement with another subcontractor of any level.

You therefore may have a Construction Claim against the Sears Canada Entities and/or their Directors and Officers, and this letter has been sent to you to provide general information about the Claims Process as it relates to Construction Claims, your obligations in the Claims Process as a Construction Sub-Contractor, and your responsibility to ensure that any Construction Claims you may have with respect to a given Improvement are accounted for in the

¹ The "Sears Canada Entities" are Sears Canada Inc., Corbeil Électrique Inc., S.L.H. Transport Inc., The Cul Inc., Sears Contact Services Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Logistics Services Inc., Initium Commerce Labs Inc., Initium Trading and Sourcing Corp., Sears Floor Covering Centres Inc., 173470 Canada Inc., 2497089 Ontario Inc., 6988741 Canada Inc., 10011711 Canada Inc., 1592580 Ontario Limited, 955041 Alberta Ltd., 4201731 Canada Inc., 168886 Canada Inc., 3339611 Canada Inc., and SearsConnect.

Construction Claim of the Construction Contractor (i.e., the party who has the direct contractual relationship with one of the Sears Canada Entities) in relation to that Improvement.

RESPONSIBILITIES OF CONSTRUCTION SUB-CONTRACTORS IN CLAIMS PROCESS

As a part of the Claims Process, the Construction Contractor relevant to the Improvement has received a Notice of Construction Claim indicating the Construction Claim (including any D&O Claim relating thereto) as valued by the Sears Canada Entities, in consultation with the Monitor.

Please note that, under the Claims Procedure Order, any Construction Claims you may have as a Construction Sub-Contractor as well as the Construction Claims of any other Construction Sub-Contractor at any level in relation to the Improvement (each, a "Connected Sub-Contractor") are deemed to be included in that Construction Claim.

If you believe that the Construction Claim as set out in the Notice of Construction Claim that has been sent to the Construction Contractor is incorrect, then the Construction Contractor, on behalf of itself and all Connected Sub-Contractors, including you, is able to dispute such Construction Claim by completing and submitting a Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim to the Monitor such that it must be received by no later than 5:00 p.m. (Toronto time) on February 15, 2018 (the "Construction Claims Bar Date"). However, it is the Construction Contractor's responsibility (and not you or any other Connected Sub-Contractor) to submit a Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim to dispute the Construction Claim. For greater certainty, neither you nor any other Construction Sub-Contractor is required to submit a separate Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim in respect of its Construction Claim to the extent that such Construction Sub-Contractor's Construction Claim is captured by the Construction Contractor's Notice of Construction Claim or Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim. Please contact the Monitor should you believe that your Construction Claim is not fully captured by the Construction Contractor's Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim (or Notice of Construction Claim if a Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim is not submitted by the Construction Contractor).

If a Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim is not received by the Monitor by the Construction Claims Bar Date, then all Construction Claimants relevant to the Construction Claim (including you and all other Connected Sub-Contractors) shall be deemed to have accepted the Construction Claim set out in the Notice of Construction Claim, and no such Construction Claimant shall have any further right to dispute the same as against the Sears Canada Entities and/or their Directors and Officers.

<u>It is your responsibility</u> as a Construction Sub-Contractor to contact the Construction Contractor directly to:

- (a) determine and negotiate with the Construction Contractor any rights you, as the Construction Sub-Contractor, may have with regard to the Construction Contractor's aggregate Construction Claim; and
- (b) ensure that any Construction Claim you may have is accounted for either in the Construction Claim as assessed in the Notice of Construction Claim sent to the Construction Contractor, or in a Notice of Dispute of Construction Claim to be submitted by the Construction Contractor within the prescribed time period.

Note that the Claims Procedure Order <u>further requires you, as a Construction Sub-Contractor</u>, to send <u>as soon as possible</u> a copy of the Notice of Construction Claim and this Construction Sub-Contractor Instruction Letter to all Construction Sub-Contractors in a direct contractual agreement or engagement with you in connection with the Improvement. This is intended to give all Connected Sub-Contractors at every level the opportunity to determine and negotiate with the Construction Contractor any rights they may have with respect to the Construction Claim.

Construction Sub-Contractors requiring further information should contact their Construction Contractor, but may also contact the Monitor at the following address:

FTI Consulting Canada Inc., Sears Canada Monitor TD Waterhouse Tower 79 Wellington Street West Suite 2010, P.O. Box 104 Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Attention: Sears Canada Claims Process

 Tel.:
 416-649-8113

 Toll Free:
 1-855-649-8113

 Fax No.:
 416-649-8101

 Email:
 searscanada@fticonsulting.com

Court File No: CV-17-11846-00CL

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF SEARS CANADA INC., CORBEIL ÉLECTRIQUE INC., S.L.H. TRANSPORT INC., THE CUT INC., SEARS CONTACT SERVICES INC., INITIOM LOGISTICS SERVICES INC., INITIOM COMMERCE LABS INC., INITIOM TRADING AND SOURCING CORP., SEARS FLOOR COVERING CENTRES INC., 173470 CANADA INC., 2497089 ONTARIO INC., 6988741 CANADA INC., 16011711 CANADA INC., 1592580 ONTARIO LIMITED, 955041 ALBERTA LTD., 4201531 CANADA INC., 168886 CANADA INC., AND 3339611 CANADA INC.

•

Applicants

Ontario SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST Proceeding commenced at Toronto

CLAIMS PROCEDURE ORDER

;

OSLER, HOSKIN & HARCOURT LLP P.O. Box 50, 1 First Canadian Place Toronto, ON M5X 1B8

Marc Wasserman LSUC# 44066M Tel: 416.862.4908

Jeremy Dacks LSUC# 41851R Tel: 416.862.4923

Michael De Lellis LSUC# 48038U Tel: 416.862.5997

Karin Sachar LSUC# 59944E Tel: 416.862.5949 Fax: 416.862.6666

Lawyers for the Applicants

IN THE MATTER OF the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, as amended

Court File No: CV-17-11846-00CL

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF SEARS CANADA INC., CORBEIL ÉLECTRIQUE INC., S.L.H. TRANSPORT INC., THE CUT INC., SEARS CONTACT SERVICES INC., INITIUM LOGISTICS SERVICES INC., INITIUM COMMERCE LABS INC., INITIUM TRADING AND SOURCING CORP., SEARS FLOOR COVERING CENTRES INC., 173470 CANADA INC., 2497089 ONTARIO INC., 6988741 CANADA INC., 10011711 CANADA INC., 1592580 ONTARIO LIMITED, 955041 ALBERTA LTD., 4201531 CANADA INC., 168886 CANADA INC., AND 3339611 CANADA INC.

Applicants

Ontario SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST Proceeding commenced at Toronto

CLAIMS PROCEDURE ORDER

OSLER, HOSKIN & HARCOURT LLP P.O. Box 50, 1 First Canadian Place Toronto, ON M5X 1B8

Marc Wasserman LSUC# 44066M Tel: 416.862.4908

Jeremy Dacks LSUC# 41851R Tel: 416.862.4923

Michael De Lellis LSUC# 48038U Tel: 416.862.5997

Karin Sachar LSUC# 59944E Tel: 416.862.5949 Fax: 416.862.6666

Lawyers for the Applicants

1291079 ONTARIO INC. Plaintiff and SEARS CANADA INC. ET AL. Defendant

Court File No. CV-19-617792-00CL

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

PROCEEDING COMMENCED AT TORONTO

SUPPLEMENTARY RECORD OF THE DEFENDANTS WILLIAM C. CROWLEY, WILLIAM R. HARKER, DONALD CAMPBELL ROSS, EPHRAIM J. BIRD, JAMES MCBURNEY and DOUGLAS CAMPBELL (MOTION FOR CERTIFICATION)

CASSELS BROCK & BLACKWELL LLP

2100 Scotia Plaza, 40 King Street West Toronto, ON M5H 3C2 Fax: 416.640.3108

 William J. Burden LSO #: 15550F

 Tel:
 416.869.5963

 Fax:
 416.640.3019

 bburden@casselsbrock.com

Wendy Berman LSO #: 32748J

Tel: 416.860.2926 Fax: 416.640.3107 wberman@casselsbrock.com

John N. Birch LSO #: 38968U

Tel: 416.860.5225 Fax: 416.640.3057 jbirch@casselsbrock.com

Lawyers for the Defendants William C. Crowley, William R. Harker, Donald Campbell Ross, Ephraim J. Bird, James McBurney and Douglas Campbell